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ALL OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES
right, we're going to call the meeting to order. First we're going to do the pledge of allegiance.
(Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All
right, I'll call the meeting to order. The first item on the agenda is 772 Dune Road. I think we should start JR, why don't you introduce yourself.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Why
don't you explain why you're here.
MR. DI CIOCCIO: I'm JR

DiCioccio. I'm filling in for the Village Attorney Joseph Prokop just on this application. Over the past week or so I've reviewed all of the previous submissions and reviewed all of the hearing transcripts, I think there were four of them. So

I'm pretty much, you know, up to speed on where we're at, what's happened in the past.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So one thing $I$ would just like to add is that we spoke briefly to Joe Prokop and there was a list of items from -- Aram produced the list after our meeting last time and some of those items have arrived as late as yesterday.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Here's a list if you want (indicating).

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay,
so we're catching up on this items. I think there's 14 items. I don't know that we really need to go through the list because $I$ thought that Aram -- should we go through it.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: No.
I'm just using it as a reference.
I'm not saying you have to -- alright?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's
a good place to start.

MR. HULME: So 772 Dune
Road, we're looking for some
variances as the Board is aware.
Just a little bit of background,
the property was the subject to a 2006 Zoning Board approval, which, if followed through on, would
create three lots; one lot 19,732
square feet with a home on it; the
second lot would have been 19,737
square feet, which would have been
vacant and there was a reserve lot
of 13,161 square feet, which was to
remain undeveloped. And at that
time the approximate lot size was

52,630 square feet in total. And
if you add up the numbers that $I$
just mentioned to you, you would
come to that number.

So let's fast forward to
late 2022, early 2023. After many years of protracted litigation with the Town Trustees, a settlement was reached wherein a part of 772 was transferred to the into the ownership of the Trustees. And in addition, $I$ would like the Board to take notice that in the interim between 2006 and 2022, this particular parcel of property lost 7,651 square feet of shoreline. So that disappeared. As reflected on the subdivision map last dated October 5, 2023, which was recently filed with this Board, what is being proposed now is a first lot that is --

MR. DI CIOCCIO: 15,000 --
MR. HULME: Thank you.
15,100 square feet, a second lot
that is 17,790 square feet and what is effectively a reserve lot of 12,079 square feet, which is now
titled in the hands of the Town Trustees.

Our entree to this Board
was as a result of a Planning Board application for the subdivision application, which took place in February of 2023, wherein the Planning Board denied the subdivision application based on its need for various variances.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: You're saying the Planning Board denied this subdivision application in 2023?

MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. DI CIOCCIO: Oh, I
didn't know that.

MR. HULME: A version of
this; an early version of this.
MR. DI CIOCCIO: Oh.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So do
we have that denial?

MR. HULME: I don't
believe it was in writing. It was
just an oral motion made.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Denied based upon?

MR. HULME: Its need for variances.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Okay, sending it back to the Zoning Board?

MR. HULME: Sending it to
the Zoning Board to get the
variances necessary.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Sending it back, as opposed to
denying it?

MR. HULME: Well, the

Planning Board denied it because
they couldn't grant it because they
needed variances and that was our invitation to come and visit with you guys.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: And
then, if you got your variances,
they still have to approve it?

MR. HULME: Yes. Assuming
variances granted here, we'd have to go back to the Zoning Board -the Planning Board for the ultimate approval of the map. So, also recently
submitted and actually submitted a couple times over time, hopefully you all have the neighborhood analysis packet that $I$ filed ten days ago. That included a number of exhibits. Exhibit $A$ is the one

I want to talk to you about right now. That one is a detail of what

I believe to be the variances
necessary for the subdivision. It's Exhibit A to that packet. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
(Perusing) Okay, here we go.
MR. HULME: And you can
review those. For the proposed Lot 1 , there's an area variance with variance and then side yard variances mostly related to the
fact that there's an existing house on Lot Number 1 .

And then on proposed Lot Number 2, we're looking for area variances, as well as a small variance for the flagpole that serves Lot Number 2 and also
looking for the necessary relief to apply the four-tenths rule to the side yard, the side yard for the Lot Number 2 .

MR. DI CIOCCIO: So I'm
just looking at the call of the meeting and it doesn't have the -any variance request for the accessory structure on Lot 1 unless I'm missing it.

MR. HULME: We talked about that and you added it, I thought.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The accessory structure?

MR. HULME: Yeah.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: What do
you mean, the deck?
MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Just
treating the deck as part of the house really. I didn't think to look for a separate variance for the deck.

MR. HULME: All right. We
do call that a 1.9 foot side yard on that side.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I'm just
saying, the variance you say you're
looking for is not what we have.
So just keep that in mind.
MR. HULME: I think the
creator of the advertiser is saying
that he's assumed all of that
relief into the one --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think what JR is saying --
MR. DI CIOCCIO: It
doesn't matter what the average is.
It says --
MR. HULME: Well, if we
need to readvertise --
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
MR. DI CIOCCIO: -- that's
when you need to get a building
permit. If you don't meet the code, then you have to come back.

MR. HULME: Okay, got it.
So moving on, the bulk of the
memorandum that $I$ filed had to do with a neighborhood analysis. And
the first step, $I$ think, in doing a neighborhood analysis is deciding what the neighborhood is and so in my memo, I discussed that.

I also, as Exhibit B,
provided this, which was a view, as
requested, of four different
possibilities of neighborhoods.
The first one being -- if $I$ can
read upsidedown -- is from Cove
Lane all the way to the Village
property line and that's
5,200 square feet in length.
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The second one is from
Cove Lane to 748 Dune Lane, which is approximately putting the subject property in the middle of that area.

The third one is from 798
Dune Road to 752 Dune Road, which is a 1,500 square foot plan.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
Linear feet, right?
MR. HULME: Linear feet,
centered around the property. And the last possible neighborhood was from 782 to 756, which is
approximately 1,000 feet. And in thinking about this a little bit, I think the first cut of the neighborhood is the Zoning District. So, you know, one possible conclusion that could be reached as to the neighborhood within which this property exists is the R-40 neighborhood, which the entire Village is situated.
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$$
\text { Starting at the } 1,000 \text { foot }
$$ and $I$ don't think that's reflective of the neighborhood at all. The definition that $I$ found of the neighborhood is substantially similar. And in a 1,000 foot length, that's Pike's Beach parking lot is contained in there, and it says there's almost no lots at all. There's no real significant line of demarcation that suggests why the neighborhood should only be that wide. So I'm not sure that that's an actual appropriate definition.

I think on the whole other end of things, ocean side of Dune Road, different neighborhood than the bay side of Dune Road. So I think it's appropriate to limit the neighborhood analysis to the bay
side.

As I said, I think the
1,000-foot neighborhood is too
small and there's nothing within
that 1,000 feet that says that you should stop there or start there.

I think that's the same problem with the other two intermediate ones. I think that the
neighborhood that $I$ proposed from
Cove Lane to the Village border was actually an appropriate definition of what the neighborhood that this particular lot sits in.

Cove Lane and Dune Lane are very different areas in the Village, $I$ think, and developed in very different ways than the rest the Village. But $I$ think from Cove Lane to the -- you know, I don't think we can go beyond the Village border to decide what the neighborhood is, but from Cove Lane to the Village boundary is a whole
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series of lots that, as the data will suggest, some of them are significantly larger, some of them are significantly smaller than the subject lots would be if the variance was granted.

I think before I get into the data itself, $I$ want to talk for a few minutes about the methodology that $I$ adopted in developing this data. And basically what $I$ did for the most part is $I$ went on the Town's GIS system where they have a tax map, which is essentially what Exhibit $B$ is overlaid onto the property.

And they identify
specifically from the tax --
perhaps, the assessor's point of view, what the size of the lot is.

Okay? So that is the lot size that
I adopted. You accepted a couple of cases, which I've identified where I actually got this.
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The second thing that I
wanted to say about that is, as it pertains to the lot width, I did, in fact, scale it off of the tax map using the scaling devices available on the GIS, however, what I did is, to the extent that $I$ had surveys, $I$ confirmed that the numbers that $I$ was generating by scaling the GIS information was, in fact, equivalent to, at least in those particular instances, the surveys that $I$ had, thereby proving the method that $I$ choose in that area.

The third thing that I
want you to take notice of is if you look along the shoreline, you will see tax maps that extend into
the water, as well as tax maps that do not extend quite to the water.

So rather than trying make an
adjustment to each of those lots, it seemed to me that there were
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sufficient lots of either size that by averaging the data over the neighborhood, any error introduced by the fact that some were bigger than actual and some were smaller than actual would then average out and the results that I came up with would be a representative analysis of the neighborhood as a whole.

And remember, we're
applying this neighborhood analysis to the neighborhood, not to any one particular lot. So to the extent that one particular lot may be, in fact, a little bit bigger or a little bit smaller, in and of itself, doesn't, $I$ don't believe, negatively impact the overall results.

So the easy one here, I
think, is the lot width. And, as I indicated in the table that is in my memorandum, which $I$ filed ten days ago, the average lot width in
biggest is 130 , the smallest is 40
with a standard deviation, which
Mr. Terchunian explained to you the meaning of that, of approximately 20. What we are proposing are 56 and 70, so kind of at the low range, but certainly well within with the range and well within the standard deviation for that range for the properties.

And then $I$ did the same thing with the lot area and as you can see from that data, although the average size was a little bit bigger than what we're proposing, the areas that we are proposing were well within the range of the max and the min as defined also by the standard deviation, which was calculated for those features.

So then as it pertains to
what $I$ believe -- well, I did it for all the neighborhoods, but as
it pertains to what $I$ believe is
the neighborhood, which is from

Cove Lane to both the western -eastern Village line. I created what's known as a scattergram, which has a data point for each of the properties that was analyzed and I compared lot width to lot
area and you can see that the two
lots in question have been
highlighted in that data. And, again, $I$ grant that it's towards the lower end of the range, but it's certainly not outside the range of sizes and shapes that exist in the -- in what $I$ have defined as -- what I believe to be the appropriate definition of the neighborhood.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Do we
know if those -- I guess the
minimum lot width, the minimum lot
area, do we know if those were
created as a result of variances by
this Board?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

That's a good question.

MR. HULME: No, they're not, for the most part.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Because I'm the oldest one on the Board and I don't think that I was part of any of them.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I don't mean like this actual Board, but the Zoning Board in general.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
thought a lot of the small lots, and we even have some on the beach side, that were just part of when this was just bungalows and they just preexisted. I don't know how many of these -- okay, you can answer.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The
answer is that these lots were
created in the $20^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $30^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$
through the Heelbarb (phonetic)
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subdivision. So they all preexist zoning in the Town, as well as the creation of the Village.

MR. HULME: One other
thing that $I$ wanted to say about
the methodology that $I$ went about. There are a couple of lots which we originally excluded from this
analysis because they were
off-scale of the Skudrna, Pinellas,

Antonucci. But in both cases of

Pinellas and Skudrna, there's an
approved Zoning Board, which
creates a lot of a certain size and of certain widths, so $I$ did include those.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Aren't those about 80 feet?

MR. HULME: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
mean, to your original point, I
think Antonucci, Skudrna are anomalies.

MR. HULME: Right.
for that reason, they should be looked at that way.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: And they're also not flag lots.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right.

MR. HULME: We're not here
to get approval for a flag lot.

There's no prohibition in the

Village Code for flag lots. They
are legally constituted lots.

There is, in fact, regulations
under the Village Code that say how
you deal with a flag lot. For
example, the lot width for a flag
lot is measured not at the
flagpole, but internal to the lot.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I was
reading that this morning. It does say -- it says for approved flag lots.

MR. HULME: Right.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: So how do we -- I guess I'll ask Aram. When
are flag lots considered approved?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well,
they either, I would say, they preexist the Village, which a bunch of them do or they're created by application to the Zoning Board and Planning Board.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So it
doesn't do that for either. It's not a preexisting condition and it hasn't been approved.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: No.

MR. HULME: Right, but
there's no prohibition in the

Village Code.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
don't think we're saying there is.

MR. HULME: And the
important thing about that is, if it's not specifically prohibited, then it's allowed under applicable law. You have to construe the law in favor of the applicant, not in the favor of the Village. So you
have to assume that flag lots are permitted. And the building inspector agrees with you because he did not require us to get a variance for the creation of the flag lot.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Just
back to baby steps. I don't think that we're here because this Board is against a subdivision flag lot. We're here because we're looking for variances that would enable that for that reason, correct?

MR. HULME: Yes, I agree with that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the
variances, some of them being substantial, okay, and therefore - MR. HULME: But I don't
think out of keeping with the neighborhood. But that's what you guys have to decide, whether you agree with that or hot not.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right,
but, again, some of your analysis goes from Cove Lane to the eastern end of the Village and excludes everything to the west.

MR. HULME: Right.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Which
is a substantial piece of West

Hampton Dunes. I'm not sure of the exact percentage, but maybe it's half, maybe it's more.

MR. HULME: Okay, but I
think my - -

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And I
understand your position and I
understand why you're --

MR. HULME: If you want me to go back and look at every lot in the Village, $\quad$ can do that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We're
trying to look at everything as a whole - -

MR. HULME: Right.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: -- and
this Board is trying to be fair and
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all the things that go along with it and use the same principals that we've been taught to move forward. And we're all listening to what you're saying and looking at what you produce, but some of it, like I said, I'm looking at on short notice, but continue on, please. MR. HULME: Okay. BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: You were talking about Skudrna and -MR. HULME: That's as a matter of -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: -- and

I appreciate that's an anomaly. MR. HULME: Yes, but on
the idea -- you know, I started out my comments by saying that a court of law may very well find it to be within the neighborhood, but I think that if $I$ went through that analysis, we would still come up with a situation where we are within the minimums and the
think redefining the neighborhood is going to change the result.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Let me ask another question.

MR. HULME: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Maybe
it's in the stuff you submitted and
I just haven't seen it yet. Of the nonconforming lots in West Hampton Dunes, how many of them that are similar to this situation have a flag lot on it?

MR. HULME: I don't know. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

What are their widths? I was going to ask, is there eight in the neighborhood; is it ten? And what are the end-of-the-day widths? So is this lot going to be similar to those and what percentage of those is in the whole Village? CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think there's about 14 or 15 flag
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lots in the all of west Hampton
Dunes. That's a guess from months ago looking at it on Google Maps.

So following what $I$ was saying
earlier, $I$ don't know how many of those are nonconforming unless...

MR. HULME: They're all
nonconforming.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well,

I'm saying out of the --

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Are you
saying that the Zoning Board has
never granted a variance that would allow for a subdivision that
creates a flag lot?

MR. HULME: I think that's
probably the case.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No,
that's not the case. The case is
the Zoning Board has granted three variances to create flag lots; one on this property, one on the property next door and one for Pinellas. Those are all
subdivisions approved by the Zoning Board that have flag lots.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: You said

Pinellas?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes,

Pinellas.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

What year was that on?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's in
the material submitted. So 772,

774 and $I$ don't remember Pinellas' address.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: 782.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: 782?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

MR. HULME: 772 and 776,
which is a guesstimate.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.

MR. HULME: That's a flag lot.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Oh, you're right.

MR. HULME: So 772, 776 .

MR. TERCHUNIAN: 774 .

MR. HULME: 774 and 778 .

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: How
about 782?

MR. DI CIOCCIO: So you're saying there's four previous applications - -

MR. HULME: For flag lots.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: -- where
variance were granted.

MR. HULME: Where
variances were granted to allow the creation of flag lots.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So how do we look at those four and see those four? In other words, how do we look at those four and understand lot area coverage, whatever it was --

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I guess you'd have to get the surveys and the decisions.

MR. HULME: Which have been --

MR. DI CIOCCIO: They're
already provided $I$ believe.

MR. HULME: Yes, I've
submitted at least the decisions.

Pinellas is submitted. Well, I
think we may have to readvertise that one.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I don't
think so actually. There was some parenthesis, it says accessory. So Aram did know, even though he didn't know he did.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Oh.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You're
smarter than you know.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Thank

God.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Aram, you mentioned 782, did that originally have two houses on it?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
Where is the flag lot on 782? How
am I missing that?

MR. HULME: 782, is that Pinellas?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

MR. HULME: So Pinellas
never went back to the Planning

Board to get the subdivision
approved, but the Zoning Board approved a subdivision with a flag lot, very similar to this.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
Currently there is no flag lot at 782 ?

MR. HULME: No, because he hasn't gone to the Planning Bord yet.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Is that a
similar situation to the applicant?

Did his lot decrease in size as well?

MR. HULME: It did early
on. Not since -- no. That was before. I think he was --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: He wasn't part of the lawsuit though?

MR. HULME: He was in the lawsuit, but $I$ think he settled.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I don't
know.

MR. HULME: Well, why
don't $I$ do this: Since that is of great interest, $I$ will analyze that and the other four and provide that information. But in the original

772 and the original 77 -- is
Gessin 76?
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Yes.
MR. HULME: The scheme was
two lots with a reserve lot. And
now the reserve lot has become a
lot of the Trustees, but it
achieves the same -- my point is
that it achieves the same result.

So I did want to speak again for a
few minutes about the prior
variance that this --

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Can I
ask one clarifying question?

MR. HULME: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: On
the Schedule A, Item 4, I just want to make sure $I$ understand that.

Total side yard of 14 feet where 60 feet is required. How can there be a 60-foot side yard required in a 70-foot lot?

MR. HULME: That's the requirement in the Village Code under R-40. The total --

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Is that
total?

MR. HULME: The total side yard.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

It's supposed to be 150-foot lot.

So that would be 30 on each side
even though none of the lots are --
our code says 150 feet, right?

MR. HULME: No. You're
code specifically says the total
side yards need to be 60 feet and were proposing a lot that's going
to have a 14-foot side yard.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: The standard lot, let's say, is 150?

MR. HULME: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
if you take 60 off 150 , you have a
90-foot wide house. Even though it doesn't exist in most properties, that's where it comes from.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
Because the reason $I$ was asking was like people that have lots of this size, I don't remember them coming and asking for a variance for side yard.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
because the Village has automatic
relief granted for lots that
preexist the formation of the

Village. So if there's a
preexisting lot that's narrower --
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Okay.
So this is a newly created lot, so
we have to go back to the --
right.

MR. HULME: I agree with
you.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
just want to make sure $I$ was understanding that correctly.

MR. HULME: Right, and I
understand the Board's concern
about precedential value of other applications and $I$ don't
necessarily agree with that as it pertains to other lots. But I would suggest that the fact that
this lot itself received a variance that created two lots back in 2006, it's not -- I'm not arguing that someplace else in the Village somebody got to do something so I should be able to do it here. What I'm suggesting with that is that we did -- technically, what we're trying to do now is create two lots. And we did it in 2006 and
the Zoning Board said okay. And so I think that that is --

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But
those lots were more than 19,000-square feet and now you're down to 15 and 17 .

MR. HULME: Correct.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: You
know, you would probably be making the same argument if you were down to 12 and 12 .

MR. HULME: I would.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
know.

MR. HULME: And I would still believe $I$ was correct. At the end of the day, what are you getting? You're getting two houses on two lots. You already said that that's okay in this part of the Village. That's my point.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Did
the Planning Board approve that subdivision after the variance
were --

MR. HULME: No. As we
discussed last time, the lawsuit
came. Everything stopped. We
never got -- Harvey was quicker
than we were. He got his Planning Board approval. We did not. So

Harvey has his two lots and we didn't.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: On

774 ?

MR. HULME: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But wasn't it originally 776?

MR. HULME: 776, I'm
sorry.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: No.

774 is the one that he's building.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: The
one he's putting the second house on.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: 774 .
the first subdivision that was done prior to this subdivision and the Gessin subdivision. Then Gessin subdivided 776 and went to the Planning Board and got his approval and created two lots there.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Did 774 originally have two homes?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: One more question. How come proposed Lot Number 2 doesn't require that same --

MR. HULME: Because it's existing and there's a section of the code that says nonconforming conditions are allowed to remain. There's a house there already and we're looking for relief -- I'm sorry, ask your question again. BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I'm saying Lot 1 requires this 60 -foot -- the variance because of the 60
foot - -

MR. HULME: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: This one doesn't require (indicating) --

MR. HULME: Yes, it does and I think that --

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: It doesn't say that.

MR. HULME: Yes, it does.

It says -- I did it in shorthand.
The advertising did it in a much more complete way.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: It
doesn't say it here (indicating).

MR. HULME: Well, it's
side yard and total side yard
relief to be calculated using the four-tenths rule. It says that.

The way it was advertised was compared to the 40 feet -- compared to the requirement.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Okay, understood. Thank you.

MR. HULME: It's just a
little shorthand. I got tired of writing.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: As a good salesman told me once, stop talking. You made the sale. Just kidding.
(Laughter.)

MR. HULME: So I think
that's all $I$ wanted to say so far.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So now
that we're going back, one of the things that we asked for, and perhaps you've given it to us, is, if you were to comply with the original variance, do we have anything that shows that? In other words, if you didn't ask for anything different than what you asked for before, do we have that? MR. HULME: Yes, we have the map from before. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No, I understand.

MR. HULME: And you have
relief that you granted.
MR. DI CIOCCIO: There's
no way that they can do what they
were approved for before because
the --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
understand. So it would have to be
smaller homes, whatever it is. So
the question was, as of right,
whether you're looking at the
previous variance, we've talked
about that variance being not
existing anymore or versus it being
reissued. I wanted --
MR. DI CIOCCIO: It
doesn't apply because it can't.
There's not enough - -
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
understand that the land has shrunk
and therefore it's smaller, but --
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
the settlement with the Town.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right,
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service(631) 727-1107
because of the settlement with the Town.

MR. HULME: The settlement is about 12,000 square feet of the missing lot. The original variance had a reserve area of about 13,000 square feet. So the reserve area -- the effective reserve area is the same. What the hardship -there's a good word -- the hardship that my client faces in this adventure is that she lost 70-square feet of property to the bay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
guess maybe I'm saying it the wrong way. Now that we've brought up

Mr. Gessin's house to the west
because he acted faster, quicker, whatever reason, he was able to do it, correct?

MR. HULME: That seems to be the case.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: With
the existing variance that he was issued in 2006?

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Well, I
think that if the applicant acted quicker and --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But - -

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Let's
just say they got those variances.

I think the settlement would have been different because they would have taken -- the Town Trustees would have taken a portion of the lot where the -- of the lot, the proposed Lot 2. If they would have built everything --

MR. HULME: So the steps
for the Gessin lot was: Variances were granted; Planning Board approved; subdivision complete; litigation starts. Okay?

Litigation goes forward; litigation settles.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: What
year was the litigation settled

MR. TERCHUNIAN: 2023 .

MR. HULME: Recently.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Oh, recently?

MR. HULME: Yes. The
steps in Fabrizio, the case that we're here before, was Zoning Board approval; litigation; no Planning Board. So the Gessin property was fully divided and recognized as separate lots prior to the litigation. So that's why he's where he is and that's why we are back here.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But
also 774 had two homes originally
from before the Village was
created.

MR. HULME: True.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes, but
that's not the Gessin property.

Gessin is 776 .

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: We're

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
he has a subdivision on 776 because it looks like the lot -- after what he lost, it looks tiny. It doesn't even look -- I mean, it must be less than 20,000 feet, I'm guessing. Based upon the map that Jim just showed us where the Trustees took the land, there's very little left over here.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So she's 772, he's 774?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: 774 is
owned by a corporation controlled by Gessin.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Right, but --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: But you
said 776 is the Gessin house.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Right. You said that has a subdivision also?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Because if you look at the map that Jim has, it seems very small now. On this map that he gave us (indicating) --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I gave you that.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Oh.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Aram,
for clarity, are we talking about the one he got approved with the one owned by the corporation or the one he got approved for his house?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Both. BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:

Because people keep saying he got approved right before. Which one are we talking about?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, 776
was approved at the same time as 772 .
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: So
when we say --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: So stick
with the addresses and it will be
clearer.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: When
you say one got approved by the

Zoning Board and then approved as a subdivision, that's which one?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's 776 . BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: And that's the one on the left?

MR. TERCHUNIAN:
(Perusing) No.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Look at this map. It's a little bit easier (indicating).

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: 776
doesn't show a subdivision on this map.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
because of the settlement. That
shows the settlement map. That's
the post-settlement tax map.

MR. HULME: I'll be happy
to provide some clarity on all four of the lots.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Is 776
built?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. Only
one house is built there.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Is
one under construction?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.

That's 774.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay, well --
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: One of them is piles.

MR. HULME: If you go by
there today, yes.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: 776 is
an existing home with an approved subdivision behind it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
right.
MR. HULME: All right,
I'll provide clarity on all four of those flag lots.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Just
if you know and you probably don't have any information, but what are the two size lots on 776?

MR. HULME: I don't know, but $I$ will tell you.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
shouldn't it been on the --
MR. HULME: It probably
is, but $I$ can't read it, it's so small.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: 776
is shown as 40 -some-odd thousand square feet.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: If
it was subdivided, shouldn't it be on here, the two sizes?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: 774 A
and $B$ are shown as subdivided.
MR. HULME: I don't think
that's 776.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
That's shown as not subdivided. MR. HULME: I don't want
to take a position on 776 right now
because $I$ don't want to say the wrong thing.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
You're saying 782 got a variance, but never went to the Planning Board?

MR. HULME: That's what I'm saying, yes. That's Pinellas.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You know what, on further consideration, I think the same thing happened to 776 that happened to 772. The litigation came before they could get to the Planning Board. I think they're both in the same situation. Because 774 was subdivided years before.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But 776, again, had two homes on the property from day one.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
have a question. There's two 772's
on this property and they're next
to each other, they're not one behind each other. So the subdivision, one is 782 or this lot is subdivided, I'm confused (indicating)? MR. DI CIOCCIO: The Board granted variances to allow a side-to-side subdivision as opposed to a flag lot?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, this is - -

MR. DI CIOCCIO: 782 .

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: No,
this, I thought, was 782
(indicating). That's why I'm
confused. Is that $78--$ it's a
typo?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

It's 784. Okay, that's why I wanted to ask.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: So
this is 784 to the west of 782?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Yes, yes.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes. My
mistake.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

That's okay.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
have a question --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: The next house has subdivision.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: 770
on this map, which is just above

Pike's Beach, it's showing as a

19,000-square foot lot in your
calculations and that's the big --

MR. HULME: It's shown
there as a small lot. That's

19,000-squarefeet, the Tax Map
that's shown on that map.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That goes
to Jim's description of his
methodology. He took the Tax Map area and some were bigger, some were smaller. It's his assumption that they evened out.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
thought 770 goes all the way to the water.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Agreed.
When Jim did his analysis, he didn't have access to the survey that shows -- this is what $I$ gathered: He didn't have access to the survey showing the exact size of the lot for all of the lots, so he chose a methodology that used the Tax Map area for all the lots, some being bigger, some being smaller.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:

Because that's a big difference.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yeah,
that's a big difference.
MR. HULME: But it's one
lot of out of 50 .

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And it's the one that's next door.

MR. HULME: And look at
the shoreline. Look at the
shoreline relative to the Tax Map.

There are areas where the shoreline goes beyond and there are areas where the Tax Map goes into the water and vice versa. So on average, they're going to balance out.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
don't know about that, but --
MR. HULME: But I do.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: No,
you've lost me. What are we
talking about?
MR. HULME: I took the Tax
Map data. That's what $I$ compared. And in some cases the Tax Map was smaller than the actual lot and in some cases the tax map is bigger. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: So
you're saying there's a beneficial difference?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: This
gentlemen came and said he has this whole lot and it's showing as 19,000 square feet because it's
being calculated based on it's --

MR. HULME: That's the Tax

Map.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It
goes all the way --

MR. DI CIOCCIO: It's a

60,000 square foot lot. So in your
neighborhood analysis, you
calculate it as $19,000-s q u a r e$
foot --

MR. HULME: Yes. And I
did the same thing for lots that were into the water that shouldn't have the benefit of being in the water.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But,
again, I think what Mr. Mizzi is
saying and, JR, you're saying that
it's balancing out, but that's an opinion.

MR. HULME: And you guys
have to decide whether it makes sense or not.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
understand, but it's concerning that this is the lot that's next door when that person came in and passionately pleaded against this. And $I$ don't mean to balance it out --

MR. HULME: It's a
neighborhood analysis. It's not a neighbor analysis. And the fact that -- if I could?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Sure.

MR. HULME: And in fact, I
believe the law is that if
something that effects just one particular neighbor is not appropriately determined by the Board. And the reality is that, if we choose not to do the subdivision, we could put a house exactly where it is that he was complaining where we might put it. He doesn't have the right to views. He has a right light and air, but he does not have a right to views.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay,
and you wouldn't need a variance to do it and we wouldn't be here and that's the crux it of it all.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: The Board was more concerned of the fact that in your analysis you say it's

19,000-square feet, but in reality it's 60,000 square feet.

MR. HULME: Okay. I will
go through each lot. I will go through each lot and I will adjust every lot for what the actual lot size is and $I$ will then come back and the result will be the same.

But I'm happy to do it that if that's what you'd like me to do.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: To be clear, you said it balances out. I don't know whether the map balances out or not. I don't know where it falls in the cluster map. I'm just saying it looks to be, on the calculation, similar in square
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MR. HULME: Okay. Well, I'm happy to go back and do that for you. I was trying to avoid several hours of time to get to the same answer.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: No,

I'm not suggesting you had a motive for it. I'm saying it's confusing for me.

MR. HULME: Well, my
motive was to make the best case I could on behalf of my client. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We understand.
(Laughter.)
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
wasn't implying that --

MR. HULME: I was
explaining in detail how I arrived at the numbers $I$ arrived at.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And
while we're getting into it, the amount of time we're spending on this particular case - -

MR. HULME: Right, and you're not even getting paid. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Exactly.

MR. HULME: At least I am getting paid.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: If you
want to --
(Laughter.)

MR. HULME: I do not wish
to do that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
understand. Nor do I.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Four hours this week on this stuff.

MR. HULME: All right,
what else? What's next? You had a
list. Some of it $I$ answered.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well,

I wanted to go throughout list.

MR. HULME: We'll do it
whatever way you want. I want to get to a point where $I$ have all of your questions so $I$ can give you all of the answers and then you can make up your mind. And if it's easier, send me the list.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think you have it.

MR. HULME: I don't.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, he doesn't.

MR. HULME: Nobody ever gave it to me.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: (Handing.)

MR. HULME: I have the
list.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No
sense in me reading it to you.

MR. HULME: No. It's a
lengthy list.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Some
of it $I$ think you have.

MR. HULME: All right, so
why don't we adjourn this at this
point so I can...

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Aram - -
MR. TERCHUNIAN: I'll just walk you through it because I assembled this document.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I think most of the items are there.

MR. HULME: I don't know.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So I took
the list that the Board had put
together. I consolidated
information that the applicant had provided and I assembled it all in one place so that you could see it all in one place. And then I did a couple of extra things. Okay, so I'll explain it.

You wanted to see what the original lot is? There is a survey of the original lot.

You wanted to see what the original subdivision was? There's a copy of the subdivision map in there.

You wanted a copy of the current application? That map is in.

You wanted to know the difference between 2016 -- and it's actually 2006 actually, typo
again -- and 2023? So on Exhibit D, there's a table that walks you through that. All those differences -- and when you read it, you'll hear Mr. Hulme's testimony that the numbers are very similar, if not the same.

Then what $I$ created was an overlay of - - again, another typo - - 2006 and 2023 overlay. The purpose of doing that, which is Exhibit D, and I gave you a large-scale copy of that, was so that you could see how the lot changed and where the reserve areas were on the two different subdivisions and in comparison.

You wanted to know the
as-of-right building envelope?

There's a map in there showing you the as-of-right building envelope.

And, again, that's something I created.

Then you wanted the
chronology? There's a written chronology in there.

Then what $I$ also created was location maps, which are the color aerial photos of pre- and post-settlement boundaries on the Tax Maps. I wanted you to see how the Tax Maps were changed as a result of the settlement.

The Trustee Settlement was
supposed to be in there, but I sent it separately. And good news, even though it's 40 pages, you only have to read like 6 .

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

There's a couple copies on the table in the center.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And
they're tabbed for the pages to
look at.
You wanted to compile all
the submissions? The clerk has
provided all that and you have
that.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Robin,
it's this (indicating)?
VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:
Yes, where tab goes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: You
wanted the neighborhood analysis?
Mr. Hulme provided it and explained
it.
BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: What
exhibit are you on, Aram?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Number
11.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: One
question on that while we're on
that one. So the neighborhood
analysis goes from Cove Lane, east
to the beginning of the Village.
Again, I'm not sure that it's an
it for the first time. I'm a
little curious what the rest of
West Hampton Dunes looks like or
some random spots of it that would
apply.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah, you
know what, when $I$ was going through
the file, $I$ found another analysis
that was done for either skudrna or
done for this original application.

So I'll dig that up and see if we
can save some time and effort.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think
that was actually done.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Great.

I think that would be helpful to look at.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Then,
let's see, you wanted -- number 12
was a revised survey with lot
areas. The applicant provided
that.

You wanted the
spreadsheets excluding the flag
lots and the flag lot only. I
thought that information was in

Exhibit J, but Mr. Hulme is going to provide now more detail on that.

Same thing with Number 14.

Number 15 , check the lot
size at 770. I said the lot area is not correct, but the answer is the lot area is from the Tax Map, not from the actual and we've had a lengthy discussion and that will be sorted out.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's
$14 ?$

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
15. And 16 is the same. So I apologize if there was a delay in getting the materials to you. I was trying to compile it all in one place so it would be easier for you to look at.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
just have a question. So we're using -- for 772, we're using the square footage the 32,900 square feet based upon the settlement? MR. TERCHUNIAN: Uh-huh. BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: It's probably likely that the Tax Maps you looked at are not going to be updated for the settlement. MR. HULME: They are. BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: They are?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes,
that's it. That's right off there. That's the settlement.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I'm
saying for this one it is. It's clear for 772.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's
clear for all of them.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Okay.
So all these calculations have been
recalculated based on this
(indicating)?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: So
then that brings you back to 776, which is only one lot, if it's all based on settlement.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: I think you said 776 never proceeded to the Planning Board.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: They're in the same situation as 772. Got a variance; litigation stopped.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: So they would --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: They would have to come back.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Come back. It would be a similar situation to 772 if they wanted to subdivide.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: The gentlemen that was here, Mr. Smith, that lives at 770, he mentioned by
right he could build a house behind him?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

Well, not behind. He can build anywhere he wants on the lot, but he can only build one house unless he comes here and gets a subdivision.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Well, he could get a subdivision. I mean, based upon everybody else, he could be entitled to one based upon past precedent or something.

MR. HULME: He's right.

He's right.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Aram said that.

MR. HULME: All in favor
(indicating) ?
(Laughter.)

MR. TERCHUNIAN: If he
made an application for a
subdivision, it wouldn't
necessarily come from this Board
and you would evaluate it based on the facts.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But
he's starting at 60,000 feet as opposed to starting at 32,000 feet, so he would have a better case for it.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: He's with us.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Oh,
he's on? Robin, can you maybe share with us who's on?

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

Adam is on and a J. Jacobs and then
a Jeff. I don't know if the Jeff is Jeff Jacobs or...

MR. TERCHUNIAN: J. Jacobs should be the constable.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Jake?

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

John.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Just ask
them who they are.
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MR. HULME: All right, so
what $I$ have heard of concern so far is you would like me to provide details of the four or five lots that at least had Zoning Board approval to create flag lots. I don't know what you want me to do about my approach to the lot sizes.

I can spot -- I can spot check. I can go back and recalculate for every one.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think Aram said there might be something that exists for that.

MR. HULME: No. What he said, $I$ think, is something exists for the rest of the Village.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes.

MR. HULME: I'm looking
for guidance as to what you want me to do about the manner in which I calculated the data that $I$
presented to you. The rest of the Village, Aram -- Mr. Terchunian
might have something. And I can
certainly investigate further the situation with 770 Dune Road itself
as to why the Tax Map doesn't
reflect a what the homeowner
believes is his ownership.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Can

I ask you two questions?

MR. HULME: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: So
could you do an analysis from the western end of Pike's parking lot through Skudrna?

MR. HULME: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Have
you provided that? Has that been provided on here?

MR. HULME: I don't think So.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
the other question is, the lot is

32,900 square feet?

MR. HULME: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: If
you were building one house, how big a house could you build on that?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's in
your packet.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: In
this packet (indicating) ?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: In that
packet. Go to Exhibit - -

MR. HULME: It's subject
to the 20 percent lot coverage.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Exhibit E.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: E?

MR. HULME: And it's
subject to the setbacks for an
existing lot.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You'll
find that there's a building
envelope of, $I$ think, 10,000 square
feet and there's a lot coverage
limitation of like 6,500 square feet.

## BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

That's D or E?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It says E on the thing, but I've been really bad on the typos lately. I think it's D, as of right development. BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Okay.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So the
allowable lot coverage of
20 percent would result in 6,500
square feet of coverage. So if you
say -- and as the note below says,
if you have a pool and deck of
1,500 square feet, you could have two stories of 4,000-square feet or 8,000-square feet and it's outlined right there (handing).

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: SO
6,500-square feet... right. And if
it was subdivided and you left the original house, what would the size of the second house be?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's up
to the Board.
MR. HULME: And in that
regard, the thing $I$ would point
out, which I think I pointed out at previous hearings, is that the
original Zoning Board decision here limited the house size on Lot

Number 2 to 2,000 square feet of
footprint and my clients would be willing to agree to something along those same lines.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
the house on Lot 1 and Lot 2?

MR. HULME: The house on

Lot 1 is the house on Lot 1 .

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

What's the existing?

MR. HULME: What's the
sides of it?

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

It's the existing house that needs most of the variances, right?

MR. HULME: Yes, Lot 1 .

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: The
one with the 14 feet and all that?

MR. HULME: Yeah, but
we're not looking to necessarily
build a now house.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So the
existing house on Lot 1 is
1,372-square feet of footprint for
the house and 1,650 square feet for the decks.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: So
that's 3,200 and what would the
house lot be for --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: For the
other lot, the house was approved at 2,000 square feet, no mention of deck or accessory --

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: So
that's 5, 200-square feet plus deck?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes, plus
deck.

> BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Whereas here -- I'm just thinking out loud here. If you build one big beautiful house, you know, you could really get a lot on that
property.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

MR. HULME: True.

Absolutely true, but, you know, one of the stumbling blocks to a positive decision here is the size of the relative improvements. We're happy to make an offer relative to that.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
the building envelope for Lot 2 would be about 3,400 square feet and then probably 2,000 could be the house and the rest can be the accessory structures or the deck?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Are
those the correct numbers in my head?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

It's not a big footprint of the house.

MR. HULME: There is a
principal building envelope --
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

It's not much bigger than her
existing house. It's not a big
house.

MR. HULME: No, because it's not a big lot.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Right.

MR. HULME: You know, when
you said 15,000; 12,000; 10,000; yeah, but house itself, you know --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
put it in perspective to show that it's, you know, reasonable size.

MR. HULME: So what else?

So I can save some time, are you packaging this and delivering it to the Board?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: If you're
really smart, you'll ask for an
electronic copy.
MR. HULME: I was going to ask for that off line. I didn't want to appear rude. BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: As
they mentioned before, the previous variances that were issues and previous things, it's impossible to even build that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think this is what $I$ wanted to see. BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Yes, this is what you wanted.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I finally
did something right.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
don't know why.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: D
and the E, but --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And the
dates.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Do
we want to ask anybody online if
they have anything --
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CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yeah,
why don't we do that. I think the

Board - - I mean, I'm - -

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

Adam is on. Go ahead.

MR. SMITH: Good morning,

Board. Can everybody hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes.

MR. SMITH: All right,
sorry I couldn't be with you today.

I appreciate you allowing me to
join online here. Just a few
comments. I won't repeat what I've said in previous meetings, but
those points do remain even
following today's proposal.

I do think a few things
that were stated today just maybe
need to be challenged a bit. To
claim that this application for
variance doesn't minimize the
impact for future precedent, I
think, is disingenuous. These
variances, if approved, would, I
believe, indisputably make it
easier to argue for future
variances in this neighborhood,
even this neighborhood however it's defined.

Which maybe leads me to
the next point and $I$ think in the previous ZBA meetings it was asked of counsel to view this
neighborhood from Cove Lane to my property, which is the property
that adjoins Pike's Beach. And unless I've misunderstood, I don't think any of the four definitions of the neighborhood have done that.

I think, coincidentally, I believe
it would be -- would be hard to
believe for me, but my property was excluded from one of those
definitions all the way up to just the property adjoining me, which is
the property in discussion today.
I do think that describing
my property based on the Tax Map, I

19,000 feet. That is surprising to me. But my property is 100 feet wide by 500 feet deep as I understand it. So we're talking about a 50,000-square foot lot versus as what's been described as 19.

Again, $I$ do think that
that is a bit misleading. I hope not intentionally, but last time that we met, there was also a creative attempt to show a metric that was not anywhere in the Building Code, is not anywhere in what this variance is requesting. And $I$ think that if the application was more valid, we wouldn't have to be finding creative ways to make it seem like it is fitting within this neighborhood.

I do think that the
analysis excluding my lot and using the Tax Map as a way to try to make
this seem much more palatable feels
a bit like we are trying to steer this group away from what we're actually here to talk about. And that will bring me to my last point and that is we keep talking about and referring to an approval that marginally passed in 2006 when the lot size was 8,000 square feet larger. This settlement has impacted that lot and the impact of the settlement can't be ignored in this decision.

I'll pause there, but I
maybe will just state that a comment was made, if I heard correctly, about, I think, my lack of attendance today. I promise you this is just as important to me as it has been the last few times I have showed up at this meeting. And I promise you, I am currently missing an important family obligation to be here and listen to
remains important.

It was also stated that I
could build on my lots if $I$ wanted
to. That's all true. Without
variances, I could build conforming lot homes and $I$ have chosen not to. And I also think it was stated that one large home could be built on
the Fabrizio property. That also is true, but that would be one home that would not require variances. It would be conforming to that lot.

So, again, we seem to be
talking about a few things that are not in dispute, but would also not require a variance, which, again, is, I think, the issue at hand today. Thank you very much. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Can

I ask Adam a question? Adam, Irwin

Krasnow; $I$ have a question for you. You were part of the lawsuit, correct?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.

MR. SMITH: No, sir. My property was not part of the lawsuit.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Oh, okay.

THE REPORTER: Could you
have him state his name?

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

Adam Smith, 770 Dune.

MR. SMITH: I'm sorry.

Yes, Adam Smith, 770 Dune Road. My
assumption would be that Aram
probably knows more about why my
property was not part of that
lawsuit than $I$ do. Prior to my
purchasing the property in December of 2010, the previous owner had a separate litigation and my
understanding was that he was
successful in his pursuit of
subdivision, but chose not to after
he had already decided to build the
house, the structure, where it is
today. And because of that, my
property was not part of this
multiple-property litigation that has recently been settled.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
Adam, you got that mostly right.
So the previous owner's name was Buoninfante. He was involved in the litigation with the Southampton

Town Trustees. He did prevail in
that litigation, but the lot has remained as one parcel and was never subdivided.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Aram, how were some of the
residents successful and some just
agreed to the settlement? Why
didn't everyone fight?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Because
over the course of 13 years, the
Trustees settled out the other 30
lots, which really weren't the
focus of this litigation because
they're on the other side of Pike's

Beach, you know, more than a 1,000 feet away. Really the focus of the litigation was always on seven lots.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But of the seven lots, how many are part of the settlement and how many - -

MR. TERCHUNIAN: All of
them.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But
not him?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No
because Buoninfante, the previous owner, won a separate litigation. The Trustees sued him because he was building a house and they claimed ownership of the land. They went to court and the court sided with Buoninfante and said, no, that was natural accreted land.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But wouldn't that have a set precedent for the other six homeowners?
did. That's why they sued 37
people over a mile beech as opposed to 7 people.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Understood.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And
that's why they let the other 30 out.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Understood.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But
the lawsuit was based upon
accretion that the Town claimed
they owned, even though they had erosion on top of it now?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

First came the accretion, then came the erosion.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Why
don't we ask if anyone else has any questions.

TOWN CLERK SANTORA: All
right. Anybody else have a question? J. Jacobs?
(No response.)

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA: That's it.

MR. HULME: I would like
to say, in response to one of the things Mr. Smith said, I don't believe that $I$ said anything about his not being here.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No, you didn't.

MR. HULME: And if any my
remarks suggested $I$ was saying that and implying that, that was not the case.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I don't think you did.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We
didn't take it that way. We appreciate him coming last time and we appreciate his position.

MR. HULME: Yes, he's
entitled.
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CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes, of course.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay, so

Mr. Hulme was looking for guidance on a couple of issues and I just want to make sure we've given it to him.

MR. HULME: Let me get
through my list, if $I$ could, then.

I'm going to provide more detailed
information about the various
variances that created the other five lots in the Village.

I'm going to investigate
-- and I'm sure others are going to investigate -- the history on 770 .

I'm going to look at the data that I've been analyzing and selecting the neighborhood to the west end of Pike's to the Skudrna property.

Aram, I believe, is going
to look into the information
available about lot sizes in the
balance of the Village beyond what I've provided.

And the only other thing
that $I$ would like to know is
whether you want me to go
recalculate my numbers based on actual estimates of actual shore lines and actual lot sizes?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You know,
one thing. I've been thinking
about that. Could you open up -where is Mr. Hulme's exhibit, the blue one?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
would suggest that we need to at
least like -- like these are --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: What I
was thinking was, $I$ can -- well, as
a first approximation, $\quad$ can
calculate quite easily how much is in the water and how much is in the sand and we'll know if those
numbers balance.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Sure.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And I'll do that as a first approximation.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Yeah.

I was just looking for like -- I noticed here like these two are calculated. This line cuts right through the guy's house (indicating).

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: So it
would be helpful -- like I don't
think -- it doesn't need to be down to the square inch, but like when we do look at these numbers, they're showing as 10,000 -square feet because they're only covering a portion of the lot.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: We just have to decide which way we want the analysis done. If you want an estimate based on an aerial photo of what the lot area is currently, that's one thing to do. A second thing is, the way I described it, I
can do a quick mass balance and see if these two areas equal out the way Mr. Hulme thinks they do.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Yeah,
I guess, $I$ haven't drawn a
conclusion whether they'll balance out or not. But like it just would be good to look at a map and some calculations that are generally where there's no, you know, things sticking out as inaccurate.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, I don't think they're inaccurate. He choose a particular methodology.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Fair.
MR. HULME: To avoid this confusion, but we have the confusion.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: It seems
like the Board would prefer to know what's actually on the ground as opposed to what's in the book.

MR. HULME: If that's the mandate to me, I will do it. But I
want you to -- I'm trying to find out what it is that you want me to do and that's one of the open questions that $I$ still have. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
you might come back with this
though as a 40,000 lot, but really
15,000 feet is in the water and it's really a 35,000 lot.

MR. HULME: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
That's what you're saying?
MR. HULME: Right. This,
by visual inspection, it seems --
MR. DI CIOCCIO: How does
the Village treat underwater land?
Does that count towards lot area?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: But
like, just -- it's nothing to do
with this application, but, I
guess, whoever this is --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Joe, what
I hear you saying is you want to
know the lot size from an aerial
photo today.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So just
say that.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I'm
saying, unfortunately, this person,
if they're really paying taxes on
half their lot and they're not even
including the area where their
house is, like, they're fortunate.
Maybe we should into it so they pay
proper taxes. I'd like to pay
property tax on only part of my
lot.
MR. HULME: I don't want
to pay taxes on this half
(indicating).
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
Exactly.
(Laughter.)
MR. HULME: I'll do that
and I'll work with Mr. Terchunian
as to the best method to do that.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
also just some information, clarification, on what transpired with 774, 776.

MR. HULME: Yes. 772,
774, 776, 782, and 784. I'll
provide all the detail that $I$ can about the variances that were there including the maps. Anything else?

MR. SMITH: If I could,
just maybe one last comment. I do think the numbers would be helpful for all of us. I do think that the three meetings I've been a part of, we've had to do that all three times, but $I$ do think, no matter what the numbers change,
fundamentally, the decision will still come down to approving variances that are, in some cases, 50 percent required or under required; in one case 70 percent under required.

So I do think that those
changes in the numbers will help us see exactly what they are, but don't expect them to materially change and the variances will still remain significant.

MR. HULME: Which is why
these variance decisions are made in context and not in a vacuum.

And that's why we do the
neighborhood analysis to see if what we created is so different from the neighborhood that it shouldn't be granted. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Adam,

I think to your point, I think the Board understands the sensitivity of it and we're going to try to
look at it in all the ways. That's why we want these other pieces of information, to make a clear decision.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: One
last question. On Lot 2 , these on
the front and rear yard setbacks, these are --

MR. HULME: Per code.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Per code?

MR. HULME: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: And
what's that number?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The front
yard is 60 and rear yard is 70 .
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Thank
you.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: You can't read that?

MR. HULME: And the side yards are --

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: My
eyes have gotten worse since reading the submission from Mr. Hulme.

MR. HULME: Mine too. I put them on $m y$ screen and $I$ blow them up so I can read them. The side yards are based on the
four-tenths rule. The building envelope is centered. That's another way to do it.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Yeah.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: If the

Board is satisfied, then I guess
you could just adjourn this for all purposes.

MR. DI CIOCCIO: Yes. You
want to hold another public
hearing, yeah. That would be the --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right,
because we're going to get this new
information. We have all this new
information that we are really
trying to catch up on.
MR. DI CIOCCIO: Right.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So,
yeah, I think that's the right way
to go.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: All
right, so you need a motion.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Can I
get a motion to adjourn the hearing, right?
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
make a motion to adjourn the meeting.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I'll
second.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in
favor?
(Chorus of "ayes.")

MR. HULME: It was
adjourned to when?

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA: We
have to pick a date for the next meeting.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: We'll
advise you. The Board will pick
that after they finish
deliberating.

MR. HULME: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It
will be approximately a month from

MR. HULME: And I will
remember to provide this
information ten days prior.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And for
the record, he did supply it ten
days ahead of time. The delay is my response.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Just
so you know our frustration. I
know that between Aram and Joe it goes through, and Robin. So the ten days, it's not like we got it ten days, so we didn't really have time.

MR. HULME: Understood.
(Whereupon, a brief recess
was taken.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All
right, so we're on to the next
order of business. Next on the
agenda is 9 Dune Lane.

MR. HULME: For the
applicant James N. Hulme, 323 Mill

Road, Westhampton Beach. Good morning. Good to see you all.

We're here for a property
located at 9 Dune Lane. I think you all know where it is. Just an aerial photograph identifying the property in question. As advertised, we are -- as recently re-advertised, we're seeking relief from the pyramid regulations as well as the third-story limitation from the Village.

As to the pyramid relief, unfortunately $I$ do not have a drawing, but my architect assures me that we can do this project without the pyramid relief. So that relief we're no longer looking for.

So the question is,
whether relief is still necessary
for this project because it's a
third story. As I believe the

Board knows, the Village Code
limits properties in the Village to two stories and so --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Excuse me, $I$ don't think that's --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes,
that's true.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So how
come -- Joe, I thought there's a
house being built with four stories.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I'm not sure. I don't go over the plans.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All
right, I'm sorry.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

From a legal standpoint, $\quad$ can
confirm that the code is two
stories. And $I$ have just have a
suggestion and if there's an
impediment to -- Aram came across
something, which I appreciate,
which might be an impediment to you
acting on this today, which is that
many years ago we acted on a
resolution which approved an IMA with Suffolk County, which has to do with the referrals that are required under the General Municipal Law.

So when a builder does an application for certain things, a variance and site plan approval, subdivisions among them, and they're within certain criteria -they meet certain criteria, which is generally within 500 feet of a list of things. One of the list of things is the boundary of the Village.

So unless I'm mistaken --
and Mr. Hulme -- I welcome
correction from Mr. Hulme - - I
believe that this house is within

500 feet of the boundary of the Village and is therefore subject to that referral process.

So what we intended to do
many years ago, as I've done with
other Villages, is to enter an IMA with the County and we had -- my understanding was that we were subject to an IME. Aram contacted the county yesterday and apparently there's a difference of opinion about that. So I believe that probably that's an impediment to you acting on this today.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So
when you say 500 feet, we're
talking about Cupsogue Beach?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, we're
talking about the bay.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: The
bay, which is Brookhaven?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. The
bay is a municipal boundary. So
everything in the Village is within

500 feet of water.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: So
wouldn't every application?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Every

Zoning application has to go to does.

## VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

We do. On 738 we sent it in. We did it to the file on this application. I can't confirm that it was -- excuse me, I can't confirm that it was sent in and $I$ also thought that we were subject to the IMA, so it didn't matter. I had been prepared to advise the Board that.

But the other thing is, if
there's going to be a change in the application, $I$ think that you should act when you receive the change or actually consider it when you receive the change, not on a hypothetical change in the application, with all due respect to counsel.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: He's
suggesting that there's an
architectural drawing that is going
to show the cut of the pyramid.
MR. HULME: Right.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's
going to show it not needing additional relief.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
They're conforming, from that perspective.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: From
that perspective.
MR. HULME: Right.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So as
far as the third floor, Aram, maybe can you give us some color on this, but there are homes with three floors.

MR. HULME: Yes, that's my presentation today. MR. TERCHUNIAN: Two
things. Let him do his presentation and then we'll hear it.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay, I'm sorry. Continue on.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And the
second thing would be the same.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Just as a -- Jim, does it make sense to do the presentation without the plan as opposed to waiting for the plan?

MR. HULME: Well, what's
the disadvantage? If you grant me the relief for the third story and I go to the Building Department to get a building permit and the plans that $I$ submit require a pyramid relief, then he will not give me a building permit.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Is there going to be any change to
the exterior of the house?
MR. HULME: Yes.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Then I don't think you should -- I mean, if you want to let him speak
to it, it's up to you, but I don't think you should render an opinion on the application.

MR. HULME: I'm not asking
them to render an opinion today.
I'm asking them to let me complete my presentation.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So,

Joe, we'll wait until the drawing comes.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
was just asking which was more advantageous to you. I wasn't saying that you shouldn't do it.

MR. HULME: It's more
advantageous to me to get this -move this along.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Okay. That's why I asked you.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Do you
agree?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: (Nodding.)

MR. HULME: I appreciate
that. So anyway, what we're left with is whether or not there's -- a determination of whether or not a variance for the third story is necessary and, if so, we're looking to have that variance granted.

And so what $I$ did and what
I've said, in writing to you ten days ago was that, you know, you just have to drive around the Village here and look at the properties and you'll see that there's some kind of a third story feature on almost -- on many. I can't characterize it percentage-wise, but many in the vicinity of this property, and I submitted this with my submission last week.

I've identified a number
of parcels. Here's the 9 Dune Lane and there's a number of parcels in the area that if you just drive by,
you will see that they exhibit some type of third story feature, whether it's a mezzanine, a loft or a full third story, that's something to be discussed.

And what $I$ also submitted was details -- not about all of these, but a number of these.

11 Dune Lane has an attic space with a full set of stairs, which looks almost like a third story, but it could be just for storage.

13 Dune Lane has been what's been characterized as a loft. I don't know really what that is, vis-a-vis a mezzanine, which has open below, 50 percent of the below area, all that kind of stuff, a loft or full third story. 15 Dune Lane also has a loft with a standard set of stairs and all of this information has been submitted already.

18 Dune Lane has a loft with stairs and a bathroom, which is virtually identical to what we're trying to do at that 9 Dune Lane.

Continuing further, 880
Dune Road has what was
characterized a mezzanine with
stairs and then also 895 also has a loft with stairs and a bathroom, again, very similar to what we've done.
None of those lots
received zoning relief to do this.
All of these lots received a
building permit to construct what is, in fact, there; the lofts, the mezzanine, the third stories, however you want to characterize it.

What we're looking to do
is very similar to the few examples
that I've provided and I'm sure I can provide many, many, many, many
more that are exactly. So the
threshold question is whether this requires a variance at all and then the second question is, if it does require a variance, it's certainly within the character of this
neighborhood to grant whatever variance is necessary relative to this third story structure -feature to allow this project to go forward without any additional pyramid relief.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Are
you saying that the people that
have three-story homes on the block, that they don't have variances and that they do have a bathroom and a bedroom on the third floor?

MR. HULME: That's exactly what I'm saying.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Because they comply with the
pyramid or they apparently did.
the five or six lots that $I$
mentioned did require a little bit of pyramid to do it, but that's no longer an issue in this particular application. It's a question of whether what we want to put above the second floor is a third story and, therefore, in need of a variance under the code or some other creature that has led prior -- current and prior building inspectors to issue building permits for these features.

So it's not that these
particular properties hid the fact of what they were doing in their attics. The plans actually show something above the second floor, the regular set of steps going up to it with a room with a door and a separate bathroom.

## CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I

think whether it's -- I don't want
a way. The third floor mezzanine, attic, loft, whatever we're calling it, $I$ don't think that was ever our issue as long as it satisfied the building inspector. The issue we had was the pyramid and if it's complying, $I$ think you should give us that drawing and we go from there.

MR. HULME: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And

Joe? Aram?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: I'll give
some color on this. A mezzanine is
permitted under New York State
Building Code. If this application complies with the mezzanine, he doesn't need a variance from this Board. If it doesn't comply with the mezzanine, then it becomes a third story and must come to this Board. So the threshold question is, does this comply with the

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Does a mezzanine allow for a bedroom and a bathroom?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Right, isn't that -- but don't they want to put a bathroom?

MR. HULME: Yes. That's
why I changed the relief. That's
why I said what $I$ said a month and
a half ago or a month ago or whenever it was, that we need to
look at this third story issue.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
MR. HULME: Because what we want is a bedroom with a bathroom above the second floor.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
have another question; 13 Dune, you built that, right, Mike?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Maybe. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Last time you said you did. Is
there a bathroom on that third floor?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: You
think I remember?

MR. HULME: In accordance with the plans for that property, which $I$ submitted to this Board, the answer is no. It's just a space.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO it doesn't have a bathroom?

MR. HULME: It does not have a bathroom, but $I$ don't know that it qualifies as a mezzanine and certainly the installations at 880 Dune Road - I'm sorry, 18 Dune Lane and 895 Dune Road both have bathrooms.

So on that basis alone,
they don't qualify. Even if they qualify square footage and $I$ would suspect that 895 wouldn't get close to meeting the square footage limit and it's also an open space. It's
not an open space. It's not open to below, which is also, $\quad$ believe, a requirement.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, if the building inspector issued a permit in error because they didn't understand the code, that doesn't obligate this Board to grant anything. And the Board has never seen a third floor variance before? CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I don't think, not in 13 years, have I seen one.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: So that's the question in front of you is, do you want to grant a third story?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I had a question. So far we've addressed zoning. This is a Building Code.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. This is zoning.
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

MR. HULME: It's two
stories. We want a third if it's
determined that what we are looking for is, in fact, a third story.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Maybe
I'm missing something. What Joe just said, if it fits into the pyramid...

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You are missing something.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The

Village Zoning Code says you may
have a two-story structure that fits within the pyramid. It does not allow you to have a three-story structure. The issue before you is that Mr. Hulme's client wants a third story where only two stories are permitted.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: But
the bedroom issue, doesn't it tie to like -- aren't there
requirements for - -
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Fire

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: But
also I think -- I thought there was
a requirement for number of
bedrooms in the house tied to a septic system.

MR. HULME: We only have
two bedrooms in this house right
now and we have a system that would take three or more.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: MY
house has what might appear to be a third story. It's a mezzanine.

It's open to the floor below. It's a certain size, a certain function, and $I$ would be concerned that everyone -- like I might say, this is great, I'll make a third floor now. I'll just modify my mezzanine because on the plans you're required to comply with the Village.

MR. HULME: I'm not saying
that anybody misled the building inspector with what they submitted. The plans as submitted reflect what they reflect.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Is
there a working definition of a
third floor?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
was going to ask for a little education for all of us.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
There's a clear definition of what a mezzanine is, which makes it not a third floor.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:
What's a definition of a third floor?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The third floor, it's a definition of a story is the way that Building Code -- so a story is -- I can't give you the definition off the top of my head, but a mezzanine is not a story, but
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doors, it becomes a story.
BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: It's
not predicated on height or whether
you have a bathroom?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So a story starts with the first
finished floor. It's a finished
floor, habitable space, that starts
with the first floor, finished
floor, that's above grade. So
whatever the first floor is, which
is a point of controversy, wherever
the first finished floor is, you
then count floors above that.
And basically a story is
considered to be something that's
habitable space. So to be
habitable space, you have to meet
some of these definitions that
you're kicking around. So it has
to have something like -- it can't
be -- it has to have a certain
height on the inside of it, which I'm just going to say it has to have a certain height. I'm not sure --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Seven
feet $I$ think.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I think it's six feet, seven inches.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Seven feet.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I'm surprised that --
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: My voice is shot.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Please correct me. There's my mentor back there and I'm proud of it.

So it's a height, it's the stairway leading up to it and now also they're looking for escape windows. You have to have at least one escape window and that makes it But the fact that something has a stairway -- and the stairway has to be 36 inches wide. That's basically the stairway we're talking about.

So the fact that something has a stairway going up to it doesn't make it a story because it has to do with whether the floor up there is finished, the height of the ceiling when you get up to that level and escape windows and things like that.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: If I may, Joe, just jump in because $I$ just pulled up the Village Code. So I'll just read you what the definition of a story is. BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Great. MR. TERCHUNIAN: It says:

Story, that portion of a building which is between one floor level and the next higher floor level or
the roof. If a mezzanine floor area exceeds one-third of the area of the floor immediately below, it shall be deemed a story. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Greater than one-third of the floor below.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Yes, so just to wrap this up, so
what's happened is, we have -- it's
a misnomer that we have not
enforced the story issue. And the fact that somebody went through to building -- with respect to, Jim,
the fact that somebody went through
building plans, if you see a
stairway, that doesn't mean that there's a story up there. If you see something that says an attic, that doesn't mean there's a third story up there.

I can tell you that we
have enforced this. We actually
made a Trustees cut -- he got a
crew of guys. He made two cuts
around the entire perimeter of his
house that were a foot apart and
somehow they knocked it out and
dropped the house down a foot
because they wanted to collapse the upper area so that it wasn't over the height limitation that the Mayor mentioned. So it has been enforced.

The other thing about this
is this whole thing about open
space and a mezzanine and
everything, that has changed in the
law. It changed about six or seven or eight years ago. And I'm
talking about the state code
definition of this thing called a mezzanine.

A mezzanine could be more than one-third of the floor below, whatever Aram just read for the area below. Now that's determined to be the room below. So if it's
above like a living room, if the
living room is 300 -square feet --
that's probably a small living room
-- is 300-square feet and the
entire area of that floor is
$600-s q u a r e f e e t, ~ i t ~ c a n ' t ~ b e ~ m o r e ~$
than one-third of 300 not
$600-$ square feet.
So if you drive around and
see, most of these houses were
built under the old definition. So
the fact that it has a mezzanine
upstairs doesn't mean that we
didn't do our job or we weren't
caring about it. We were caring
about it and careful.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: SO
going back to this situation. So
if it was a mezzanine and you put
in a bathroom and you left it
open --
MR. HULME: I think the
bathroom keeps it from becoming a
mezzanine.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Why, Aram?

MR. HULME: I think it has to be one room.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes. So
the code also says the following about a story: An attic shall be deemed a story where it meets the requirements for habitable space. So this actually wouldn't be an attic -- well, it would be an attic. Well, if it's completely open and it's less than one-third, it's a mezzanine.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
can you have a bathroom in that mezzanine?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I don't
know.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: It wouldn't be open.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, with no door.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Yeah,
so that's really -- I don't think you put a bathroom in a mezzanine, but you could put a bathroom in a third story.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: If you
have a door, it's a story.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's a story. That's what I --

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: AnY
door?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Any door.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: If
you have a closet.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: A closet is okay I think.

MR. HULME: If we take the
door off this space, can we keep the bathroom and not have it become a mezzanine?

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Use a curtain, Jim.
(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: If
you're asking for a variance for a open up for everybody?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But right now --

MR. HULME: My point is
the character in the neighborhood in the entire Village is everybody has something on a third story.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Okay, I think that's not - -

MR. HULME: Okay, it's --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Frankly, I don't think so. That's a stretch.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But why don't we do this: Following what Joe said, give us the drawing.

MR. HULME: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
don't think we're really -- again, whether it's one or the other, we can help you cross that bridge maybe.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Can you
also just provide us with the
calculation? What is the square
footage of this area and what is
the square footage below and make
sure it meets the -- that it's
consistent with the new definition
of mezzanine.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think that's really -- am I saying
that right? We're really concerned about - -

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: I
forgot what you said, are you
changing the outside shape of the building?

MR. HULME: Yes. It's not changing the height.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's
not changing the pyramid.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

MR. HULME: It fits within
-- let me be clear. It fits within
the pyramid relief that we already
have.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: All
right, and also just for the

Board's recollection, one of the
items before you on this
application is to legalize a
pyramid encroachment that came from the previous owner. They got a pyramid variance and then they overbuilt.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
is the new structure going to eliminate that part?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: We'll
have to see when we see the drawings.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Aram is right. Thanks for bring
that up. I'm sorry. We should
leave the pyramid relief in it
unless Mr. Hulme feels otherwise,
but he's right, there was
overbuilding of a prior application.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
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they might not need it for the mezzanine, but they might need it to clean up what exists?

MR. HULME: Well, there's a variance out there already that gives us 385 cubic feet. And $I$ believe what the architect has told me is that he can provide this third story feature, whatever it might be, within the confines of that 385 cubic feet, which will -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Let's not speculate.
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

MR. HULME: Which will eliminate whatever overbuilding might have been.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That might solve it.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
You can issue an interpretation to
the Building Code of your own
accord -- the Zoning Code of your
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own accord. So he may submit an application to protect his client that you may determine doesn't need a variance. So $I$ think that it's important that you see it because that will give guidance to the Village in the future.

MR. HULME: I'm happy to
do that, but $I$ wanted to have this conversation so you can ask the questions that you've asked me in the context of what $I$ think is happening and I appreciate the opportunity.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I was
just -- wouldn't it be to the benefit of the building inspector reviewing what you're proposing first?

MR. HULME: Sure, I would assume.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That would be ideal.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: And
then letting us know what they
think is acceptable or not.

MR. HULME: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That would be great.

MR. HULME: Okay. I would ask you, therefore, to adjourn it for all purposes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

Can I get a motion to adjourn?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Someone to second?

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Second.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in
favor?
(Chorus of "ayes.")

MR. HULME: Thank you.

Appreciate it. I learned a lot today.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Me
too. We saved the best for last.
742. Here we are, back at it. So, Joe, why don't you take us out because $I$ know you prepared or were working on...

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
So I worked on the -- based on discussions that had occurred at prior meetings, and so a couple of things going on with this
application. This application was,
I can confirm, was referred to for the lot width portion of this application. It was referred to the Suffolk County Planning

Commission and we did get a letter back in May of 2022 that said that it was what's called a matter of local determination, which means we can proceed and we don't need the approval of that agency. We don't need any further input from that agency.

So I think, for my
purposes of giving you a legal
background of what you need to
consider today, so $I$ think that the

Board is ready to move ahead on the decision of the lot width variances.

In preparing for today, I
noticed that -- and also in
discussing this with Aram, that
there had been discussions about side yard variances along with the application. It has come up in discussions that we had. However, there has not been any side yard variances that were noticed in a public notice and $I$ don't think it matters that they were not
mentioned to the Suffolk County
Planning Commission. I think that
that's okay to add on other
variances as far as that agency is
concerned because they were
primarily looking at the
subdivision. But anyway, so we

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Yes.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
thought that we, with the public, all -- they agreed and we agreed to a four-tenths ruling, which was essentially the side yard, correct?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So we
did it. I mean, we covered it, right?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
That's what $I$ thought, but it
wasn't -- a smaller -- a reduced
side yard, which is what $I$ thought
was intended, which, in looking back --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
mean, it came up as two things, and correct me if I'm wrong, guys. One was were we were trying to sort of
match off to Skudrna in some shape or form, which the four-tenths essentially did, right?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.

MR. TERCHUNIAN:

Mr. Chairman, I think what Joe is
saying is that, yes, the Board
discussed this issue in detail and
arrived at a resolution, but the
notice that was filed in the paper did not include.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay,
I'm sorry. Continue on, Jim.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes,
thanks, $I$ could have said those two words there.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You
could have cut me off sooner.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So I think that the Board is
prepared to move ahead on action on
the lot width and $I$ think you need
to discuss the conditions to make
sure that there's agreement among
the Board and input from the
applicant today.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: When
you say the side, we're looking to
approve the whole thing, right? In
other words, we're doing it in
pieces or --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: What
Mr. Prokop is saying is the Board doesn't have jurisdiction to rule on the side yards, but they do have jurisdiction to rule on the lot. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
So you can approve the lot widths today and you're ready to do that if that's what you decide to do. We need to discuss the conditions and then decide what to do with the lot widths, but you don't have jurisdiction to act on -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Understood.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Why
would we approve it in piecemeal?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
You don't have to, but we would need a waiver of -- a continued waiver from the applicant because we're under the 60-day deadiine starting September $23 r d$ or whatever that date was.

MR. HULME: So lot size to accommodate the required side yards, it would be a narrow house. So it would seem to me that one way to approach this side yard issue would be to say that this came up as a condition of the -- the side yard relief was not requested, but as a condition of the lot width, you have asked us to agree to four-tenths.

## CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Joe, I

mean, is that...
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Yeah, well four-tenths. So
four-tenths, that's 80 feet.

MR. HULME: So it comes in as a condition as opposed to -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Is that --

## VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

The problem is that the existing code requires combined side yards of 60 feet with a one-side minimum of 30 feet.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Twenty.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Twenty. So it's at 60 feet. So if we apply the four-tenths rule, which that's the rule that should apply, it would be four-tenths of 80, which is combined 30 and 32, which is less than the combined 60, which is what the Zoning Code requires, 60 feet.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Why are the two houses that are adjoining neighbors have the smaller side yards as opposed to the houses that he's building? Why

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The lots are narrower.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
thought all the lots were the same size. They're all the same size. So if they're all 80 feet, you have the 12 foot on east and the 12 foot on the west, which really effects the neighbor who has no control over it. Why would --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The answer to your question is, so the four-tenths is an offset. The one side always -- one side yard is always larger than the other. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Right, but why --
MR. HULME: Because we went through this whole visual analysis with you guys and we tried to maximize the lanes through, which people could get views and this is the orientation that you
guys thought worked the best, so that's why we showed it this way.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Time out.

The side yards are the largest between -- from the middle lot to either side in order to accomplish the view shed.

## BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Okay. I just wanted to know, based upon the fact that we're talking about side yard relief, why it was that way. And I apologize, there's so many different hearings and
issues, if $I$ don't remember
everything. I'm sorry, Jim, I'm just trying to get clarification here.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'm
with you 110 percent. All right. So, Joe, I think we're all on the same boat here.

MR. HULME: So you're
saying it needs to be re-advertised
for the side yard?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I think the Board --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Can it
be a condition that's approved or does it need to just be re-notified?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I mean, it could be a condition, but if you're granting relief --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think
what Mr. Prokop is saying is, you could act today and grant the lot width variances, but they still would not be able to build on those lots until they re-advertised for the side yard setback variances, which has already been discussed and resolved. So yes, you can do it in two steps or you could tell them to wait and readvertise and do it in one step. It's really what the Board wants to do.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: I
think we should do it in two steps.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Guys, what do you think?

MR. ANTONUCCI: I'm okay with the mechanics of what we have to do is readvertise and then you close it and then you wait another --

MR. HULME: No. What
they're saying, $I$ think, is that they will approve the variance today for the lot width.

MR. ANTONUCCI: But that doesn't help us.

MR. HULME: But they have to readvertise the side yard relief and the risk to you is that if they grant this relief and then it's a whole different Board or a whole different set of rules or a whole different opportunity for people to oppose this application again, that you don't get the side yard relief and so we're left with 19-foot wide houses on this property.

MR. ANTONUCCI: The
mechanics of it, we'd have to open up the application again as a new application?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. Let me walk you through it.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Yes.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Let's
say, for example, today, the Board votes to approve the lots. You get that variance. Mr. Hulme files another application, it gets noticed in the paper. This Board opens a hearing and --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Baby
steps. So we open a hearing next month at our next meeting.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: At the next meeting.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Open it up. Continue.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay.

Mr. Hulme incorporates by reference the entire record from the previous
hearings. The Board, if they have questions, will ask them. The public, if they have questions, will ask them. And then the Board has the choice of closing the hearing and deciding that day.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Oh, so it could be closed and a decision made that same day. I thought it just goes on.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, the Board -- you cannot prejudge what's going to happen on any given day. So the Board has the authority and the ability to close the hearing and decide.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Why
do it in two steps and not all a once when we advertise and go
forward?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Do you
have a reason, Jim, wanting to do it twice?

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Whatever you prefer. I was trying to throw him a bone.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But he can't move forward with it.

MR. HULME: I think, from
our -- although I said it opposite
to what I was said a few moments
ago. If we proceed with the way in
Mr. Terchunian has outlined, we
have our relief for the width. And
so the only thing that can be
discussed at the next hearing is
the side yards.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
MR. HULME: As opposed to
reopening the hearing, amending it
to include this relief and then
having another hearing.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Where
everything is on the table.
MR. HULME: Where
everything is on the table again.
So if you're asking us what our
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preference would be, I think it
would be that if you're inclined to
grant the relief for the width
today, we'll be happy to take that and then we'll submit a new request for the side yard.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Do
the residents in the perimeter, are they notified?

MR. HULME: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
so we could have people coming in again and giving us their opinion, giving us their comments.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: On
the side yards.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Solely.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
Right. How is that going to appear if it's only the side yards and we're not talking about -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's why doing it piecemeal $I$ think is --
MR. HULME: Well, if you
said in this decision that it's
your intent -- that the relief that
you granted here for the widths is
based on the condition of the
four-tenths and it's merely a
technicality to have to readvertise
for the purpose of granting that
part of the condition, that $I$
think - -
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think that's what we're sort of
saying, right?
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Yes.
MR. HULME: I agree.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Again,
Joe put together documentation.
There were various items in there
which we haven't really had a
chance to go through, but they were
all things $I$ think that you all
agreed to already.
MR. HULME: Yes. I
haven't seen it, but I'm sure they

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I
think as far as this piece goes, I mean, I'll defer to Joe. If you think one is better than the other, whether we need to do -- give it to him today or give him the whole thing --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I would recommend that the Board proceed today. I'm going to jump in front of you. Sorry, Joe. And if they're comfortable granting the lot width relief, grant it and then sending them on their way to complete the process.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But
doesn't that owe us certain
conditions that we have to --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes. The conditions are in your --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But we don't have the decision
finalized. How do we grant this are in agreement on?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, I
think that's discussion you have right now. Just go through the conditions.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Right, but we can't -- I think the decision should be discussed in some private, some public. But one of the questions that $I$ had that seems to have gotten lost in the shuffle is the outcome of the Bunny House and what we're doing with that and we had brought it up and discussed it.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It had come up.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: And
I really would like to know and let the neighbors know what the thoughts or the plans are about preserving it, saving it, possibly moving it, which you said you were
amendable, but it kind of got lost in the shuffle here.

MR. HULME: Well, what do you think that he said that he's amendable to?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: He made a comment that, $I$ thought, you were willing to save it if someone -- whatever it was -BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I want to say maybe -MR. ANTONUCCI: I'm open to discussion.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Maybe we can relocate it to this property or something or put it in the back of one of the properties. So I kind of want to -- I didn't want to necessarily destroy it if it didn't have to be and $I$ kind of wanted to know what would happen to it and $I$ think that it should be part of the discussion.

The idea is for him to donate it for the Village use, repurpose for Village use and the use would be designated by the Village.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And
then if the Village chooses not to do it --
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: --
then at least he did it and checked the box.

MR. HULME: -- off of the property.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes,
yes. That something and $I$ think
Irwin brought it up because someone mentioned it and $I$ think the answer was something favorable and that's where we are. I mean, another item that was similar to it that the Board spoke about and it came up on previous Boards when another

Chairman was in charge, that we wanted to make sure that the septic systems, if they are raised, which they may or not may not be, and you can speak to that, that they would be landscaped in front of them and irrigated and that was a condition that we did --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think
the most organized way to approach this is, $\quad$ believe, Mr. Prokop has given you a list of conditions.

Why don't you go through them one at a time?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Okay.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

That's what $I$ was going to say
before. I think we're doing this
backwards and I think we should go
through the conditions. We may not
even by be able to proceed today
because there may be a difference on the conditions.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: DO
don't.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Do you have an extra copy? I thought I brought my copy. I know I printed it.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We can share.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I have copies. Use my copy
(handing).
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Do you
mind if they look it or do you want to wait?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Well, they're going to have to look at the conditions.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yeah,
that's what $I$ meant.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Why
don't we go through them and
discuss them?
MR. HULME: Go ahead. I
submitted, a month or so ago, a list of what $I$ parsed as the conditions at that last hearing we had.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: It starts on Page 7.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Yes, we'll go through them.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Joe, we said yes, $I$ can share this with counsel?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
That's up to Eric. I don't have a legal impediment.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: These are all things that, I think, we've already agreed.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
This is a draft of what we're considering.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
So everybody should have one that says at the top: Draft Lot Width Variances November 4th.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Joe, if I may, the conditions begin on Page 7. There's a lot of preamble, but perhaps we will --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Moving right along. Very nice.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
Bottom of Page 7, Number 1, which seems to be at the top of Page 8 .

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Jeff
spent way too much time on this project.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: We all have.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: We all have. We're trying be a help, not $a$ hindrance.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: This was a draft from Joe, just so you know.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I know, but this is a lot.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
This is -- the public hearing is closed. This is really for the Board. We're just cooperating to try to make this a joint construction.

MR. ANTONUCCI: But these are going to be a CNR (phonetic) recorded on the property? So I just want to make sure what they are.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
the whole purpose of this conversation.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

That's why we're here.
BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: And we've discussed them with you before. They're not new.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
don't think there's any surprises.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Jim was right. He did submit, but

I didn't have those in front of me. They went into the record, so I just did the best $I$ could.

There will be a limit on the development of the northern portion of the subject lots as referenced as "line of building envelope" last shown on the June 8, 2023 survey prepared by Metes and Bounds. I don't even know if that's the right reference. MR. HULME: Yes, it is. June 8, 2023.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
So we can add in a shaded area. We can call this the shaded area or not shaded.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Simpler
is better. It says line of building envelope. Pretty easy. BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

What's this line here (indicating)?
MR. HULME: That's the dash line on the road side. I'm
sorry, the north. That's correct.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You want a highlighter, just so we're all on the same page?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Can you look at this map, Aram?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Here you go, here's the highlighter (handing).

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
This is the wrong date though. Mine are all dated November 17, 2022 .

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Just
so we're all on the same page,
guys, that's the line, right?
Where the yellow is, right
(indicating) ? Number one, Joe, I'm checking it off.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Can you pass it down?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Is
that this (indicating)?
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay, so it says there will be a
limit on the --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

This is the 17 th one also that $I$ have.

MR. HULME: No. Look on
the top. The last item. The top right-hand corner.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: If we
learned anything with this
application, you have to read all the words.

MR. HULME: It gives a whole list. Maybe you have it.
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay, one
at a time. One at a time.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay. So it says my legalese says,
there will be a limit on the
development of the northern portion
of the subject lots as referenced, but it doesn't say what the limit on the development is. What is the limit on the development? It just says there's a limit on the development.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
Development, period, as defined in the code.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Is it prohibited? Developments prohibited or what is it?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
So how would you word that, Aram?
Preclusion on development? Instead
of saying there is a limit on
development, there shall be no development?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No
development. The one exception would be an elevated walkway to the water.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: If you
want to put that in, that's fine.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I'll put that in, except elevated walkway. Okay, so number one says: There will be no development - -

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: And
to be clear, that ties to the words line of building envelope and where is that on the top?

MR. HULME: That's this
(indicating) .

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Get
the highlighter. There you go.

That's the building envelope. So he can build anywhere south of that line (indicating).

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Got
it.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Would the

Village consider a dock breaking
development --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, the walkway to get to the dock would be accepted and the dock is not in the
Village.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's
not us. If you can get a dock, God
bless you.
MR. ANTONUCCI: There is a
dock.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: There is
a dock.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well,
then keep it.
MR. ANTONUCCI: Absolutely,
but just future expansion,
revision --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: That is
not in our jurisdiction.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: It's
not us. It's not us.
MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay, but
if the dock was to come, according
to DC approvals, if that were to
come further in --
MR. HULME: That would be
a walkway.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: That

MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
This is confusing also: The
limitation of the development of
the lots shall be restricted by the four tenths rule as to minimum and total side yards.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: But what you're saying, Joe, is the Board does not have the jurisdiction to act on that at this point, unless you want to put it in as a condition. Is that what you said?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

We don't have jurisdiction, right.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So
this is going to the Planning
Board, right?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. This
has to do with the side yards.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Oh,
because it has to get listed.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: This
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has to do with the notice.
MR. HULME: But it is a condition of the approval, so it should be there --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So why
don't you put it in and then --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Number
two. Wait, we're on to three.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
The southern face of the principal
structures and accessory structures
shall be located at least 70 feet
from the north side of the Dune
Road public right-of-way.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's
actually -- Joe, it should be
60 feet. The required -- this is a rear yard because the water is the front yard. So the required rear yard setback --

No, but in our code it says it's
70 feet from the street side.
That's the problem. We talked about this.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Because he's showing 88 on here.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: And
that's -- no.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: --
even slide it up and down.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

MR. HULME: If this is the code, then it's the code.

MR. ANTONUCCI: If it's
the code, then why is it an
additional restriction?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You
want to just put it in as the code, Joe, and then whatever that is, that's fine?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Well,
we can't define the building
envelope different than the code.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

That's a problem.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
not a problem.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: But
you're creating a building develop
and then we approved --

MR. ANTONUCCI: What does
the code say?

MR. TERCHUNIAN:

Seventy feet.

MR. ANTONUCCI: From the
front?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: From the
rear yard, which is the street.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

It may also say that, but it
actually says 70 feet from the street. I'd rather leave it in if that's okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Listen, you guys today are going to

```
    hear it all and because it's
    getting listed, we'll all talk
    about how we get there.
    BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: But
    we're saying this is going to move.
    You're saying this is 70, right
    (indicating)?
```

    MR. TERCHUNIAN: Instead
    of the total.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
The total north-south length of the
principal structure of each of the
homes to be constructed on the
created lots shall not exceed
67.3 feet.
(Phone ringing.)
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: While
Jim's on the phone, what this was,
which we had agreed to a minimum or
maximum size and I think Joe backed
them into that number.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's
right here. It's their number.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
3,200 feet he has.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, he has a distance on there, 67.3, the north-south distance on the shaded principal building envelope, the principal building structure.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But
he says not to exceed 3,200 -square feet.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It says
both.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Again, that's something you guys agree greed to, right?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: They
proposed it.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Who
has the highlighter?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Here
you go (handing). The I'll bring more next time. You want other colors?

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: You
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have a red pen?
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So,

Joe, the totals, just explain the north-south.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

The chairman of the Planning Board is in the audience.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Do you
want that explained?
MR. HULME: No.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You're okay with that?

MR. HULME: I understand it, yes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.
So for the moment, you're good?
MR. ANTONUCCI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Five,

Joe.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

The total area of the lot to be
developed -- it should be the total
area of the portion of the lot to be developed will not exceed 20 percent lot coverage as defined in the Village Code. And there's close paren, but there's not opening -- oh, no, there is. And all - -

## BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Twenty percent within the principal building envelope or - -

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No,

20 percent of your lot of your upland area.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay. Can we say it that way?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

And all development will be contained within the envelopes labeled "reduced principal building envelope" and described as 7,000 - whatever that is.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Those are your numbers that you gave us.

MR. HULME: Yes.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Can we revise it to include what Aram said?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, because it's as per the code and that's what the code says.

MR. ANTONUCCI: So we
don't have the language.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Your
numbers reflect the code.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

The HVAC equipment for the home shall not be located in either the side yard or the Dune Road side of the homes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Where does it go then? Does it go in the back?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
North, it would be north. It has to be north.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
Backwards is really the front.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Right. Do they need a variance for having their HVAC in the front yard?
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Guys, you really have to be disciplined here.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I just
asked a question, why the Board had a problem with potential HVAC units on the side yards. It's very common.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think it stems from another application where they were everywhere and it came from some comment from someone. I guess if it was in the rear on the side, maybe it would be okay, but I think.

MR. HULME: We're talking about the required side yard or the side yard?
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CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
don't know.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
The side yard. So the reason why
you see them on the ocean is
because there's a covenanted
easement on the water side of those
houses, so they can't have them
there. Excuse me, this is not my
legal opinion, but that is why that is, because they can't actually put them in the rear yard.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The
desire was to have them in the
rear. We can't -- the ocean front,
but were trying to do that --
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Yeah, right, so on the ocean everybody has them under the deck, some people have them on the deck or --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But
the rear is the front yard on this house technically.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

That's why it doesn't say --
MR. HULME: We talked
about, per the transcript, is that it wouldn't be located in required side yard, not in any side yard.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think
you can say required because
they're chewing up building
envelope to do that.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Why
don't you just let them put it between the houses?

MR. ANTONUCCI: That's
what $I$ would like to do.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well,
if you do that, if you have them on the side between your houses, then no one can say anything.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
That's my point.
MR. HULME: -- bound to
say something.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No,
no; that's a good compromise.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Woe, woe, woe, just remember she's writing everything down.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The suggestion is not to allow them at these two locations; is that it what we're saying?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes, I
think that's basically Jeff's conclusion.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: So allowing them in the middle between the houses they're building, but not between the neighbors?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Not
in the 12 foot with the two 12-foot zones.

## BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Well, the people who buy the houses are not going to like it.

Well, not as a required side yard setback.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I think
what he proposed --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: What

Jeff proposed is okay?
MR. ANTONUCCI: I think
that's okay. We put it between the homes in the side yards, that will be fine, but not outside of the required yards because, again, that would be outside the building envelope.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
And it shall be screened per --
MR. ANTONUCCI: Sure.

That benefits everybody.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Screened
for sight and sound.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Does that leave leeway for them to be here and here or only on these spots (indicating).

MR. HULME: It requires
that we cannot put it on the outside edge. We can put it anywhere else that the code allows us to put it.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I'm
sorry, what's your definition of the outside edge?

MR. HULME: The east side of the western lot or the west side of the eastern lot.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Okay, that's what $I$ was getting at...
MR. HULME: Yes, but they
can be placed anywhere else on property that the code allows.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: He's
not going to do anything to hurt
the house next door, at least $I$ hope you don't.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The HVAC equipment for the home shall not be located in either the east side yard of Parcel C or the west side
yard of Parcel $A$ or on the Dune Road side of the homes and screen for site and sound.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: If
that's good with you?

MR. ANTONUCCI: Fine.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Thank you.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So according to you, they can put
it in the required side yard,
interior side yards of the two
houses. Why would we do that?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Because
the utilities are treated
differently than the building. The
utilities can have a ten feet
setback per code.

MR. HULME: Okay, the next
one has to do with lighting.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: He
seems to be --

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I mean, we're giving a lot of just had a statement by Aram that the equipment can be put ten feet off the property line. I don't know that -- first off, $I$ don't know if $I$ agree that that's the code and secondly, you should think about that. I'm not telling you what to do, but you --

MR. ANTONUCCI: Typically
they're put in the side yards.
MR. HULME: What we're
agreeing to do is not to put it in these two spots and put it anywhere else permitted by the code. So whatever the code says, we're going to comply with. We're just not going to put it on the east side of the west lot or the west side of the east lot.

## BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: SO

what you're saying is they could build a platform ten feet and then put it at the end of the ten feet?

Yes, that is. According to what you just heard, right. I'm not
sure why we went -- why it was you couldn't put it in the required side yard and then we got to you couldn't put it in the required side yard basically the two-perimeter side yard.

MR. ANTONUCCI: If I
recall, that was not something the Board requested; it was something is that you requested. And it's something that's very common within the Village to have the units installed in the side yards of the homes. It wasn't an issue of the Board.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think if it complies with the West Hampton Dunes.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

You know, I'm a professional of the Board and I'm allowed to make
recommendation. I'm sorry if that
bothers you. And I explained why
half the houses in the Village have
them on the side yard is because
those poor people gave up 400 feet
of their property. So, as a
benefit to them, they're allowed to
have it.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Joe,
if the units were going to be in
the back, in the back of the
house --

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: You
mean the front.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The bay side.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: --
could it still be on a ten-foot
platform and extend past the
building envelope?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I don't know.
afield. The applicant will be
governed by what he submits to the
building inspector. If it complies
with the code, he can do it. If it
doesn't comply with the code, he
can't do it and he can't put it on
either the east side of Parcel C or
the west side of Parcel A.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: But
we are allowed to put -- I'm not
saying we should change anything,
but we are allowed to put
requirements on this as a result of
granting the variance. So if
someone felt it was intrusive or
not, we could make that choice,
correct?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Absolutely. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: We're within our bounds.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But we
kind of achieved it $I$ think, but
having him put, not on east and west of those lots -BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: No, no, I'm not saying we should change anything. I'm just making a point that we have the ability to whether it's Joe giving us a recommendation or an opinion, we have the ability to, you know, follow that.

MR. HULME: You absolutely
could.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: This
way you have the opportunity to
make it as architecturally pleasing as possible for your three homes.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Aram, can $I$ ask you a question?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
What is the side yard setbacks that are going to that -- what are the side yard setbacks that you envision?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: For what?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

For the homes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: For the
homes?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's
defined in the building envelope.
It's the four-tenths rule.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

But you gave specific --
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
There's specific numbers on here, Joe.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay. So beyond that, what's
allowed for the home? I know this
is all subject to the building
inspector's determination, but what
do you believe is allowed beyond
that?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I'm
looking it up. This is going to take a while.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Why
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don't we go on to number seven? So number seven, and actually number eight, Mr. Mizzi and myself, our mentor, Mr. Gessin, taught us these two items and it just says that you will comply with West Hampton Dunes lighting, exterior lighting code. MR. ANTONUCCI: That's understood. It's in the code already.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Again, when we spoke to people in the community, we assured them that we were doing this. So another box we want to be able to check that we did.

And number eight, which is a landscaping plan is basically the same thing that $I$ was saying for, particularly, if you have a septic system wall, which you may have most likely and then we just want to make sure that you have landscaping in front of it.
MR. ANTONUCCI: I'm sorry,
just one thing on number seven. So
you are to require us to submit an
exterior lighting plan?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So
what you can do is you could take
your drawings, when you produce
them, show the lighting that you
have on it, put notes that the
elevation -- I think it talks about
how high the light is and it talks
about how it's shielded and the
amount of --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The
regulation is very specific, but
his question is why is it coming
back to the Zoning Board and that's
my question too. You should just
tell the building inspector to do
this.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But it
was a stipulation of, almost
identically, of what we did on
prior approvals.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: This is the standard language as adopted by the --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You should not be reviewing lighting plans.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well, we don't have to review it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes, you do.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But what I'm saying is we want to make sure it complies, that's all.

MR. HULME: That's the building inspector's job.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The only thing is we did have cases where people agreed to landscaping and they never did it.
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Two
things. Number 1, they can't get a CO unless they do. And Number 2,
this is all put in a covenant.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the only exception to what we're saying is, it doesn't have to be approved by us. It just has to be something that's in the note that says it's going to be governed by West Hampton Dunes, however you want to say it.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

It says on here it's going to be approved.
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

So again, we're not making it a hardship for you. I'm sure it's something you would be doing anyway.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Yes,
complying with the code would require it, but coming back to the Board is --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You
don't have to. I mean, Joe, I don't think we're hung up on --

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: It's
not going to be delayed by --
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: It's
just a CR. This lasts forever.
It's an additional obligation on
the property that you're placing
for no particular reason.
MR. HULME: And it's
really building inspector'S job.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Can I make a suggestion? This is
like major relief you're granting
in this application and $I$ really
don't think we should be hung up on
who is going to approve the
lighting plan. But it's up to the applicant if he wants to hold it up based on that. BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Well, you put it in there for a
reason, so --

MR. HULME: -- if you
agree to put it in the building inspector's hands.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

This is our standard language.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I don't
agree. It's the building
inspector's job.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And it
still will be, but $I$ think the
point was that we, together with Joe, we've put this in before countless times and, again, it's not for a hardship. It's really because we told everybody that we would do this.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So I think it should be reviewed.

This is what I think. My
recommendation is that it should be reviewed by the Zoning Board of

Appeals and approved by the building inspector. So that way you comment on it, but it's not --

MR. HULME: What if you
guys don't meet for six months?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We
don't have to meet to --
MR. HULME: Yes, you do.
If we're submitting something to
you for review, you have to have a meeting where you review that and approve the process.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: It's
a lighting plan for new buildings
that you've not even constructed.
The idea is if you submit the plan --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Send us a scan.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: --
it's going to take you a year or two to build the houses. We just need to see -- like present it in the beginning when you present your
plans for approval and we'll look at it.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We're not going to hold your approval up and we're not going to hold your co up, but, again, it's something we want to make sure you do.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
think Joe is right. The magnitude of this thing is --

MR. HULME: Well, for the record, all we're looking for is
lot width relief. This is not the other case where I'm looking for -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But we're doing the whole thing, right?

MR. ANTONUCCI: Yeah, but
every time we come, there have just
been additional items, but this
doesn't even need to be. It's
already there because it's already enforceable by --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
understand, but realize one thing.
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We represented to all of the West Hampton Dunes residents that we would give them these things and FOR benefit of them to give you the benefit of the subdivision.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Of course.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So by
putting it here in writing, we can change the way it's written -- you know, I'll defer to Joe for that -but $I$ really think we want to be able to check the box and say that you're living up to it. That's all. So if you want to word it a different way, you guys can talk to Joe about it. Fair enough?

MR. HULME: Why don't we submit it to the building inspector for approval, but it will be submitted to you, but not for your review. If you have an issue with it, you can take it up with the building inspector.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Today is really about -- today is a work session of the Board where the public and the applications are -I mean, the public and applicant are allowed to attend, of course, because it's a public meeting, but this is really a benefit that you're giving to the applicant that we're discussing, all this, and I just wanted to point that out to you. So far the lengthiest discussion we've had has been on this paragraph number seven.

MR. ANTONUCCI: It's just additional restrictions that are being placed.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
You don't have to accept the variance.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right, it's not meant to be a hardship. We're not asking you for extra fixtures, we're not asking you for
really special fixtures and we're
not asking you for landscaping
that's excessive in any way. We're
asking you to put the lighting as
you would for regular application - -
MR. HULME: We don't have
any issue with that. The issue we
have is that if we need to submit
this to you --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So let
us have that discussion.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Okay. We will - - counsel suggested
it, you guys are opposed to it. We
will discuss it later. Let's keep
moving on so maybe by next month
we'll have a decision for you.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We're
trying to move forward.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We
love to get together with you guys,
but -- sorry.
to beat a dead horse, but once he has the $C O$ and down the road the next homeowners wants to change the lighting, do they have to come back to the Zoning Board?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes, I think they would.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: But that's --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So we can put it in such a way that they don't have to.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I don't think they have to.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: They
have to comply with current law. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's still - -

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

You know, this isn't a trivial
matter in the Village. We had a lot of people complaining.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: To
tell you guys, other variances that we've agreed to for the last 13 years that Joe and $I$ have sat through, these were conditions of us giving guys variances. And, again, they all did it with no real hardship.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

No future or further subdivision of any one of the three lots.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Number 8,
each property shall submit a
landscaping plan, which shall be approved by the ZBA. So that's something that's going to be another hearing? How does that -what's going to happen there?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
It comes up in a meeting. You submit the plan and it comes up at our next meeting.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
Whatever meeting we have.
notice?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No, and we're really just looking to see that you're putting stuff that will grow and, at the same time, that you're going to irrigate, which you would anyway because you don't want it to die. And let's face it, you don't want to build a home for yourself or someone else and the concrete wall in front of it sits there bare. It's sort of a blight on the neighborhood that way, so...

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: HOW come we don't have an answer to the concrete? Is there definitely going to be a concrete wall? How come we don't have an answer to that?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Because sometimes they can put a system in that doesn't require it,
right, Aram?

MR. ANTONUCCI: Do you
ever request that?
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

He's talking about if you're doing it. My question to you is, are you doing it? If you're not doing it, why are we worried about it?

MR. ANTONUCCI: It was
never --
MR. HULME: Because the
system hasn't been --
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Because it's not designed yet. When they
design it, the Health Department will determine whether or not they need a concrete wall. It's not our jurisdiction.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And
then if you don't need it and you submit us a plan, you may have all
that much less landscaping and it's

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So in the landscaping in Number 8,
do you want me to put in -- well, you gave us some language that the IA system is going to be buffered. What do you want to say about the IA system?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'll
defer to Aram. How would you
suggest we put that?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Just
say - -

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We put
it in others, so we can use similar
language.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes, just
say, any retaining wall required by

Suffolk County Department of Health will be sufficiently buffered with landscape.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.

I thought those were the easy ones.

MR. HULME: Number 9 .

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

No future further subdivision.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Can we agree on that?

MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Now
that's the easiest one.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That
was the mother of all of lies.

MR. HULME: So is that all
the conditions?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
want to go back to the equipment, CAT equipment.

MR. HULME: Or the about the Bunny Hut.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Are you okay with the rest of these?

MR. HULME: The rest of
those are just boilerplate. This says we're going to do a covenant.

MR. ANTONUCCI: What
covenant?

MR. HULME: The covenant
is going to reflect --

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

That will reflect 33,592-square feet.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Which we already talked about.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Or the non-development easement.

MR. ANTONUCCI: It's kind of moot since most of the property is included in prior --

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay. Footprint of the structure of the house, of the home to be constructed on each of the lots shall not exceed 2,800-square feet. That's the footprint of the principal house.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Thirty-two.

MR. HULME: The final map says 32.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I was going to ask you.
(Whereupon, there was

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: SO
change it.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Now
you sound like -- I'm not going to say it. It's 3,200?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

3,200 square feet. The variances
granted herein shall only apply to
the site plan presented to the ZBA
as amended in the application and
to no -- in this application and to
no other plan, subdivision or
configuration of the properties.

The variances are rescinded and
void if any other plan is applied
for or pursued by the Applicants or any other --

MR. ANTONUCCI: No. I
won't agree to that.

MR. HULME: I would never
agree to that. The second part of
Number 12 - -

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: So
you're still pursuing the
other possible --
MR. ANTONUCCI: I don't
know what I'm pursuing. Why should I limit my rights?

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Just a question.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Because -- so there's a problem that we're creating where we're granting -- and this isn't a hypothetical. There's already another plan submitted. Normally I would give you this advise about a hypothetical plan. In this case, there is a plan.

The problem is that you're granting variance and it's for significant things here and there's another plan out there. So there's a question as to whether or not
these variances can be carried over to that other plan.

MR. HULME: Well, we would
agree that these variances don't apply to any other plan, but we can't agree that the applying of another plan waives these variances. Well, it's not up to us to agree or not agree. We agree
that these variances are related to this plan. If we decide or -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Or somebody owns --

MR. HULME: So if we
decide to submit a different plan sometime in the future, that can't stand for the revocation of all
this relief because that plan might not work out and then we can have to come back and do this all over again.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Joe,
why don't you put that in?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Okay.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Are
you still pursuing the other --
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MR. HULME: We haven't
decided.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: --
three-lot subdivision with the plan? I mean, why are we moving forward with this if you haven't decided -- if you're going on that other application or what you're doing?

MR. HULME: Because we
have an application before you
that's entitled to a decision and we're asking you to decide based on what we presented.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: So
if we decide yes on this, you can use the basis of our decision to push the other plan?

MR. HULME: No, no. What
I'm saying is that we agree that this doesn't give us an advantage -- this has nothing to do with any other plan that may be filed relative to this property. We
agree that these variances apply to
this plan and this plan only.
What we are encouraging
not to include in the decision, maybe is the more correct way, is that of we file other plan, that that automatically voids this decision.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Right, because it might not work out.

MR. HULME: Because that might not work out. And if that filing voids this and that doesn't work out, we have to go through all this all over again to get back to this point.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: So
why would you file -- so in other words, you got this because it was the best you could do with us, but you still want to do better, so you file another plan hypothetically?

MR. HULME: I think that's
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our right to.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I'm
not saying it's not your right.
I'm just trying to understand the
logic.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
Clarifying question. Would that
other plan require you to come back
to us?
MR. ANTONUCCI: No.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
You should ask -- the other plan is
not a --
MR. HULME: Our goal is --
I'm sorry.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
-- is not a nonconforming plan.
The other plan requires at least
five variances.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:
Because I was going to say it
almost would seem like if you were
submitting something as of right,
it shouldn't void it, but you're
saying why should you have to come back. But you're going to make us see something else when you're ready to come back.

MR. HULME: I think I can go as far as to say that our goal with filing the other plan was to find a plan that didn't require variances.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: So
can we clarify that?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: That has
nothing to do with this
application. You're diving into something that has nothing to do with what's in front of you.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: No, I
guess what I'm saying is $I$ can
see -- like you shouldn't be, in my view, penalized for submitting other plan, but if it's another plan that we've been here, we spent a lot of time on this thing. Now you're going to spit something else
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that's going to come back to us and we're going to start again --

MR. HULME: We don't want to come back to you guys. BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: So maybe you shouldn't submit a plan that requires variances if you want this approval.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So let me give you an example. One of the problems with the other plan that was submitted is one of the lots did not have the required lot width. So there's -- in this application, you're granting a variance for lot width. So my only concern is that they carry this over to the other one and say -CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So why don't we put language in it that says that they can't do that.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It is.

That is what the first sentence is.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
They're not agreeing to it.
MR. HULME: No. We're
agreeing to the first sentence, not the second sentence.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The first
sentence says these conditions
apply to this plan and this plan
only. That's pretty clear. And I don't think, quite the geologist practicing law, but $I$ don't think you can automatically rescind a variance. There's a thing called due process.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Understood.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
No, but a variance is granted based on the circumstances that are
before the Board and the
circumstances before the Board are
this subdivision, not some other
subdivision.

MR. HULME: Correct,
correct.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

And I'm going to quote Mr. Hulme on this. There's a sentence that he gave, which I'm going to add, which is these variances and relief have nothing to do with any other plan or subdivision.

MR. HULME: Fine. Thank you. You have to give me credit though.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I do have a footnote that says Jim Hulme.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I would
like to see the final draft of the CNRs before - -

MR. HULME: Sure.

MR. ANTONUCCI: $--\quad$ because
they might morph.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: We try
not to.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: SO
they can still submit other plans.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

No, they can submit another plan
that requires variances. They
can't submit another plan using
these variances. The only
variances that they can use these
for -- excuse me, the only plan
they can use these variances for is the June 2023 plan.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Perfect.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Just
on this equipment on the side yard,

I think what $I$ heard was the
permissible is 10 feet and $I$ think this shows 19, 15.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Those are
the side yards to the principal structure.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Let
me finish my question.
MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
heard that you're asking us to
agree to 10 feet.
MR. HULME: No.
MR. ANTONUCCI: No.
MR. HULME: What we're
asking is: We won't put it here,
we won't put it here, we won't put
it here, we can put it anywhere
else that the code allows us to put
it (indicating).
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The
code allows 10 feet?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: I said 10
feet and I can't find it in the
code.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I've
never seen --
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: My
only point was, I don't object it
to being in the side yard, but it
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would seem odd if there was some way to separate the equipment from the house and put them, you know, in -- some were in the zone, I would say it should be attached to the house. Just my suggestion.

MR. ANTONUCCI: It's fine.
But that wasn't our intent.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think
they're going to have to comply with zoning.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Although wait a second. I mean, they have to comply with zoning, but we're giving relief, so we can put a condition in -- they can put a condition in.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well,
they can't go outside the envelope that they have right here. The mechanicals have to fit in that envelope. There's no separate -BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: The building envelope or the side yard?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The side yard.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: They
can build a deck, that appendage piece, and put compressors and pumps and --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
That's what everybody has.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's
what everybody has, so that's why --
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
They either have it on the catwalk or under the catwalk.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
MR. HULME: But we're
agreeing to comply with the code, whatever it says.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
think we told the neighbors that you're going to have four-tenths and you're going to have this view. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But
they're still getting the
four-tenths.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
know, but now you're going to have an AC compressor right in the middle of that.

MR. HULME: But that's below their view. The view is up.
(Whereupon, there was crosstalk.)

MR. HULME: We're 20 feet below.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I'm the guy that suggested let them put it between the houses, so...

MR. HULME: Don't retreat it.
(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think it's okay.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Adjacent
to the house?

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
think if it's attached to the
house, it's okay.

MR. ANTONUCCI: It's the
side yard, not attached to the house.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay, so let's go back to the favorite topic, the Bunny Hut. So we need some language that's fair and whatever that says -- I'm just thinking out loud, so let's go slowly here. Maybe it says that you'll allow the Village or some Village order to -BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Or a nonprofit.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: - -
remove it before you do the demolition.

MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And,
again, not to slow you down.

MR. ANTONUCCI: The intent
was not to demolish it. The intent
is to repurpose it, either on the property or some other purpose
within the Village. I don't have a problem with that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So if
you're able to reuse it, I think that's the best all worlds, maybe. Guys, that would be great.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: But where is he going to put it? Is he going to leave it where it is?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No.
He has to move it.
MR. ANTONUCCI: I'm not
sure. Possibly within -- the way we're restricted here is --

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: First it goes to him, second should be to the Village.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Perfect.
MR. ANTONUCCI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And
then there should be some language that the Village doesn't hold you up because we don't know where to put it or whatever.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I could
temporarily --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Whatever it is. I think -- again, this is language that's, again, going to be acceptable and at the same time, we did what we were asked.

MR. HULME: We could offer it to the Village and agree to give a reasonable period of time.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think Gary said it the best. First
it's yours, second it's the

Village's and third it's trash.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Or
mutually agreed.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Does that include the BBPA if the Village - -

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: They
could be the next one too.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I'm
just saying, shouldn't that be an option before trash?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Oh, yeah of course.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Maybe
that's three before trash.
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: It's
first him, second the Village, then
a modified charity.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: All
assuming it remains on private
property here, who is going to be responsible to preserve it and maintain it?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: If
it's on his lot, he owns it and it's his property.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Doesn't that open up a whole
other --
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: It's not
historic structure.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: It
can be land marked. Isn't over 40,
50 years old?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: There's no landmark.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Legally it has to be removed.
Otherwise you're approving two dwelling units on that.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Not if they're connected by a roof.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Well, it's supposed to be -- it's not by roof. It's by connecting space.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It can be accessory provided it doesn't have a bedroom or a kitchen.

MR. HULME: We would agree that if we can't incorporate it into our own use, we will offer it to the Village and the Village will
have a reasonable amount of time to respond.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think that language, Joe, is
something that can check a box.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

We have disagreement. I think the Board needs to consider that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Guys, what do you think?

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: I'm wondering if he keeps it and moves it, doesn't that open a whole new issue with codes and everything?

MR. HULME: We have to use it -- if we use it, we have to use it in accordance with the code. So we can't use it --

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Right, and the code is going to allow --

MR. HULME: Maybe it
becomes a pool house. Maybe we connect it to the front of the new
house that we're proposing.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
That's not in our code. All these
things he's talking about -- the applicant's attorney, what he's talking about is not in our code. You can't take a second dwelling unit and say --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
not what he's saying. That's not what he's saying. He's saying --

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
He's saying we're going to attach it or we're going to move it.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: It can
become a pool house.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: What he's saying is, whatever use it is put to must and will comply with the code. It cannot be a second dwelling, therefore, it would have to be changed to be something other than a separate dwelling, like an accessory structure like a studio,
like a pool house.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

You're making things up that aren't in our code.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes, they
are. Read accessory structure.

MR. HULME: But it doesn't
matter. What matters is what we do with it has to comply with the code, whatever that means. If we can't incorporate it into our property in a manner that complies with the code, then we have to eliminate it.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Right.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: The

Village - -

MR. HULME: And before we eliminate it, we will offer it to the Village.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

What is you first preference? You must have thought about it. I mean, this can't be the first --
kind of on the back burner with all
the other issues we were going
through. But initially $\quad$ thought
it's a great part of the Village and it's part of the history and maybe preserve it on the property since it was moved to the property years and years ago. If it doesn't fit into the plan, $I$ would be happy to donate it to the Village.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: I
don't see how an accessory of that size -- all this talk about codes, can be plopped down on any one of those things and still be in the code.

MR. HULME: Well, maybe it can't and then we have to donate it.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: I
don't see how it can possibly be.

MR. HULME: But you guys
don't have to worry about that
because we're agreeing that
whatever we're going to do with it will comply or we'll get rid of it and before we get rid of it, we'll offer it to the Mayor.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Okay, and that's what's --

MR. HULME: Well, that's
fine. And the Village may say we don't want it and then we'll tear it down.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And
then it goes to another charity or it can go in the dumpster.

MR. HULME: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think the important part is, just
to reiterate, is we promised we
would make a best effort to
preserve it and this would
accomplish that.
MR. ANTONUCCI: I have to
say that $I$ agree. It will make a
great place to hang your hat or
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the homes building.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: You
can use it temporarily instead of
getting a construction trailer --
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Can somebody --
MR. HULME: I think he's
talking about living there.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)
MR. TERCHUNIAN: One at a
time, please.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Just because your attorney raised
their voice and gives you legal
advise, it doesn't mean it's
correct. You can't have two
dwelling units on a property, if
you're connected by a roof, you do
this, you do that, if you call it a
pool -- there's examples of other
people doing that that are not
correct.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But - -

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

It doesn't - -

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Sorry,

Joe. I think what we're saying is, you may have to make modifications to the construction, inside and out, to make it comply as it accessory structure, whatever it is that --

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: The

Village Code permits.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: - - the
building inspector would say, hey,
here's the middle house that's going to have this thing on the side, on the front or whatever and - -

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: My
definition of a pool house has kitchen anyway, but that's a different neighborhood maybe, but, yes, I understand what you're saying.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE:
Definitely a different neighborhood.
MR. HULME: I think we are in agreement.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Right, we'll have to work out -VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Can $I$ be the attorney for a minute? CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes.

Joe, you're our leader. Just because we get out of hand --

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I mean, so far $I$ heard we're
hanging our hat, we're going to make it something to comply -you're approving an application for a dwelling that already has a dwelling on it. You're the Board, you know --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Well, if he takes out the kitchen, is it still a dwelling?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Accessory buildings shall not
include any building with sleeping
facilities used for sleeping
purposes --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the
beds come out.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Right, but if it becomes like a
loft or a studio or an artist
thing, doesn't that solve that part
of the issue?
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Or a
pool house.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: He
can't keep the interior as it is,
but he can keep the exterior and
the physical structure; isn't that
what we're trying to do?
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: If it
complies with the Village Code.
MR. ANTONUCCI: There's
currently two lots. If we receive
the approval of the Village, of the
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ZBA, it will be three lots. So as I'm building one or two, the home, the existing Bunny Hut can still remain where it is, for the time being, until a new structure is built.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It
sounds reasonable.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Yeah.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I'm not
saying two dwellings on one lot.
We have two right now. We're going
to have three possibly.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: He
doesn't know how to incorporate it.
So what you can do is give it to
the Village first, wait until he
says how he wants to use it and
then the Village can make a
decision if they want to give it to him or not.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So when you say give it to him
first, you say give him the

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: NO, no, give it to the Village, give the Bunny Hut to the Village.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Sorry
to interrupt. Then he produces a plan where the Bunny Hut is now going to be $X, Y, Z$ and the Village says, okay, can you keep it, right?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Why does he have to give it to the Village?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
don't know. I'm trying to find the simplest way.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: He has
to comply with zoning, period. And
he has to comply with the Building

Code. That's all he can do.

MR. HULME: If the owner
has no use for the building, no legal use, we will donate it.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So it should say that in no
circumstance shall there be two
dwelling units on any of these lots.

MR. HULME: The code says it.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: But the code says that.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: I
have a question. You drew these (indicating). This thing, accessory building envelope, is that intended to be --

MR. ANTONUCCI: No. We discussed that last time. It's not.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So, Joe, there's one thing $I$ can think of. I'm trying to think of all possible problems. So some day he doesn't want it, okay? We don't want it.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: It
should go to the Village first.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay,
so it goes to the Village. For

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's
what $I$ want to make sure.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: It's his property.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So as
long as that happens, no one gets
hurt, right? The Village is protected, the neighbors are protected because they got their shot at it, right, that's what matters here?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Yes.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I'm telling you, you're approving a building envelope for a new dwelling and there's already a dwelling on the lot. You have to have language in here that says that there should not be two
dwelling units on there.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So
when --
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Excuse me, I'm sorry. So what lot
is it on; do you know?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's on
Parcel B.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Let
him talk; let him talk.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
When Parcel B is developed --
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Issued a
permit.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Right. When a building permit is
issue, the Bunny House will be
removed.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: How about
the simple language that says,
there shall be only one dwelling
unit on each parcel?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
That's fine with me.

Sold to the guy with the mustache.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
And otherwise comply with the Zoning Code.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Let's
just word salad that sucker to death.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay, only one dwelling unit on it as well.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: YOu
had me at someplace else, but...
MR. ANTONUCCI: Are you
okay with that language?
MR. HULME: Yes.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

If the Bunny House is to be removed
-- what is it removed or not used?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Whatever you want to call it.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Relocated.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I wrote
this: The Bunny Hut will be
preserved by the owner, the Village, a 501(c)(3) or disposed of.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: In that order.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: In that order.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Will be used by what?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: By the owner, the Village, a 501(c)(3) or disposed of in that priority order.

MR. ANTONUCCI: What is
that third?
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's a charity.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: A charity.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: A
charity, a not-for-profit. Kind of like with what we did with the Windmill I guess.

MR. HULME: If we give it to the Village, it's leaving the

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes.

MR. HULME: It doesn't say
that. So if the Village says, we accept it and have you to leave it there, what do we do with it?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, you're screwed.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

That was the plan, Jim, all along.
You just caught it. Why do you
think Gary is smiling behind you all this time?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

As long as it's in the building envelope and less than 3,200-square feet and as long as the HVAC is not on the street side.
(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I
think...
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The
discussions seem to have reached a conclusion.
how this is not an open hearing,
right? This is a work session.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, no,
it's a public meeting. We're
just --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So
we're going to move to close it,
right?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. It's closed. This is a discussion. We're adjourning.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
Whatever it is, we're leaving.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

You have some more work to do. So we got the -- we just formulated the conditions to be put in the decision and we agreed in the transcript. Okay? I took notes and now the language is now in the transcript.

As I had gotten in the habit of doing the last year or
two, anything that's agreed to or stated by the -- anything that's acknowledged on the transcript should become a condition of the decision as if it was in the decision. So because there were things we agreed to and we want to make sure the writing is correct. So the next thing that we need to do is, as I said, this was referred to the County. The County determined they didn't have any say with this, so we can proceed. The applicant submitted, initially, a short form EAF and then, at the Board's request, a full EAF, which was -- and Aram, as the environmental consultant of the Board, prepared both a part two to -- a short form part two and also a full EAF part two. So we actually have both of them. I don't think it matters, that we choose which one to use. We can
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actually use both of them if we have them.

And those are analysis of
the potential for the impacts on the environment. Aram, when you prepared the short EAF part two and
the full EAF part two, did you
determine that there was going to
be a significant negative impact on
the environment?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. My
finding is that there is no
significant impact on the environment.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay, and prior to today I
circulated among the Board a copy of the criteria recommend by the

DEC for us to consider the 617.7.

Does anybody have the criteria --
does anybody have any feeling or
opinion as to the potential for
impact on the environment if you
approve this subdivision?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: No. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No. VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: And one of the things that you're analyzing is the subdivision from vacant space, but also the subdivision as an alternative. So one of the things that could happen with this property, it could be an as-of-right development of the property for two lots.

So you're actually
comparing the potential for environmental impacts on the subdivision compared to vacant property, but more importantly, the subdivision compared to the as-of-right development, which would be -- we have acknowledged would be significant.

So it's my recommendation
that first the Board adopt a resolution whereby you adopt lead agency status and determine that
this application is an unlisted action for purposes of SEQRA. So we need a motion and a second and a vote.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: A
motion to approve it or to create it?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No. A
motion to declare it an unlisted action and then to assume lead agency status.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay, do we have a second?

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in
favor?
(Board members indicating.)
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Let the record show motion by the Chairman, second by Member Farkas, unanimous vote.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Okay, the next resolution to adopt
has to do with the potential for impacts. As I said, the Board was provided, in advance, the criteria under the DEC regulation 617.7 and there was significant discussion at each of the meetings, including
input from the public as to
potential for impacts.
It's my recommendation,
based on the input from
Environmental Consultant, Aram
Terchunian and the discussion with
the Board, that the Board
determined that the approval of
this application will not have a significant impact on the environment and that therefore a negative declaration is adopted and that, if you agree, you can make a motion and approve it to that effect.

So this would be that
there is no impact on the
environment and that a negative
declaration is adopted.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So...
MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's a
motion for a negative iteration pursuant to SEQRA.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Can we just say $I$ move so?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in
favor?
(Board members indicating.)
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So motion
proposed by Mr. Krasnow and
seconded by Member Cashin.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Opposite.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay.
Motion by Member Cashin, seconded by Member Krasnow and the vote was unanimous.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Okay. So now what we need to do is we need to go through the five criteria, which are stated in the
draft decision that we have. It
starts on Page 11.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: What
was the date of that?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
So at the top it says: Draft lot with variances November 4th.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: What
page are you on?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Page 11, which used to start on
Page 10. Page 11, Number 1. So
the first criteria, the first thing that we need to discuss is whether the applicants have made a showing to the Zoning Board of Appeals that the improvements in the provisions will create a hardship which is unique and which will not apply to other -- if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood provided there are certain conditions that are imposed on the variances, if granted, as
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So the question is whether
the granting of these variances
will create a change in the
essential character of the neighborhood.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

This is not -- we're not approving this today, are we?

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: HOW
are we approving this without -- I don't...

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: ASk

Joe.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: You
know, we're doing all these comments and changes, I think we need to read through it. I'm mean, I'm not comfortable. I don't have an issue with what we just did, but I'm not comfortable approving it until we have it in a hard copy with directions.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Is
there a problem with you updating everything and then approving it?

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Yes, I need to see it in writing.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The stuff on this plan (indicating).

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Joe, is there any issue doing it that way? I think we're here with this. It just would be nice to have the cake baked and see it all.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
the Board's -- the Board controls
that. Whatever you guys are comfortable with.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So often what we've done in the past is you can approve the variances subject to written resolution and then we'll get the wording together and you can adopt
the actual written resolution at the next meeting.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: I
would prefer to see a written
resolution first. Sorry. It's
just anything I'm signing or doing,
I want to read and make sure
that --
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Do you
guys have a problem with that?
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Because I have a marked up copy, he marked it up, there's stuff in the transcript. I want to make sure.

You know, I want to review it. The other day there were some typos and stuff.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It
would be great for us to see it all done.

MR. HULME: As long as you
can provide our ability to apply in
the interim for the other relief
that we need.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Robin, when is the cuff-off for public notices for this week?

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

For this week? I might be able to do it Monday morning first thing if you want in Thursday's paper?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Yes.

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:
Yes, Monday early.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

That would be the nine days, not
ten days. Is it ten days, Aram, or five days?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Five
days.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Five day? Okay. What we could do is -- what we could do is we could have a meeting. We're free to meet in two weeks if that's agreeable.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

Whatever is good.

MR. ANTONUCCI: What I'd
like to do, if it's okay, is to possibly get a decision on what we discussed and then we'll be back in two weeks or so to review the rest of the lot width issues we were discussing and then maybe just a final read on.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think that's what Irwin is saying is we'll give you the -- Joe, if this is okay to say, verbally, I think, we're okay with everything. MR. ANTONUCCI: Okay. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We just want to see the whole thing -MR. ANTONUCCI: Sure. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: -- on a piece of paper so that everybody can say yeah, right. Irwin, is that a fair way to say it? BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: AS far as the concept goes, we're fine with working with you to get this
actual document in writing, proofed
and making sure, you know, there's
no miscommunication or somebody
said this or that or this is wrong.
I need to read it and go through it
and $I$ can't read it in piecemeal
that she has some stuff on the
transcript, Joe has some stuff in
the notes. And $I$ do not want to do
this to hold you up, but $I$ want to
do it to make sure that the
decision that we make is what we're
all in agreement with.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: What
we think we agreed to.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Yeah.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
think what Joe is saying is you
still have to do a notice for the
side yard stuff.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Yeah, and we can meet in two weeks.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: So I
think Joe is saying, let's get it all bundled up in one shot. Is that right, Joe?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
(Whereupon, there was
crosstalk.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think we're all agreeing with

Irwin. I mean, again, we've spent
so much time on this, to get it
right is more important than anything else.

MR. HULME: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right,
Joe?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Plus
any other restrictions you want to
recommend to the committee.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I'll put a couple in.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Just
kidding.
(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All
kidding aside, there was one thing we didn't talk about. You agreed to -- again, it's part of the code that we don't drive piles from Memorial Day to Labor Day.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Oh, is
that in the code?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: No.

Mondays and Fridays --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Well, $I$ put that in the notes that we said to the neighbors that --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You
can - -

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But I
think you represented to --

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: YOU
agreed to that.

MR. ANTONUCCI: I did.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That
you wouldn't do, $I$ guess, pile
driving during the summer. You can
do it any time during the rest of
the year. You can do whatever.
But for whatever reason, I think
you agreed to it.
MR. ANTONUCCI: Not the
summer. I think it was between --
MAYOR VEGLIANTE: July and
August.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Memorial Day and Labor Day.
MR. ANTONUCCI: --
July 4 th and Labor Day.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Not
Memorial Day?
MR. ANTONUCCI: If I recall --
MR. HULME: I think we
need to check the transcript.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: We
need to check that out because that
has to be in there.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
MR. ANTONUCCI: I'm fine.
Whatever we agreed to, I'm fine
with.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: NOW
it could be -- are they allowed
like Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday during that period? What are they allowed?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE:

Currently you're only allowed
Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. No
pile driving Mondays or Fridays.
MR. ANTONUCCI: It was
July 1st through Labor Day.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Oh,
not Memorial Day.
MR. ANTONUCCI: No, it was July 1st.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Why
don't you think about --
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
That might be Westhampton.
MAYOR VEGLIANTE:

Westhampton, if you get a variance, there's no construction.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: No,
that's why I wasn't sure if we were
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: What
do you think your timing is on this
project?
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:
Pending us?
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I
think we're good.
MR. ANTONUCCI: It's been
a year and a half, so any time I
had is out the window.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
didn't bring this up to make it
harder. It's something we told
everyone, so it needs to be in
there.
MR. ANTONUCCI: Yeah, so
after is this is Trustees, Health
Department, DEC, so...
MR. HULME: The
transcript, I think, reflects what
he agreed to and he mentioned it
and that's fine.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'm
sorry.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Can you -- so the next meeting is basically just going to be -- we're going to open and close the public hearing on the side yards and then we're going to just vote on the resolution. So can you give me the benefit of something right now?

Does anybody think there's going to be an alteration -- that granting this will alter the essential character of the neighborhood, properties or the conditions in the community?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No.
BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: No.

MR. HULME: No.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So unanimous, no.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Unanimous.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

The benefits sought by the applicants for the variances of the
requirements for minimum lot width cannot be achieved by any other method feasible for the applicants to pursue. Do you think that -BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

They can buy Skudrna.
(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Sorry.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Do you think that there's any other way that they could build the three houses on the property?

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: No. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So that's a unanimous no.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:

Wait, but doesn't he have an application before the Board of Trustees to do this a little differently?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Yes, but it's not as of right.
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MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Or
approved.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
It's not as of right.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: SO
you mean, without these variances?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Yes. So the question to you is whether there's another way that's feasible for him to do this.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I don't know. How --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: He created the another way to do it technically.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: How do we know?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Without a variance.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well--
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It
appears not.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Joe, I
don't know why you're going through
each one of the five parts. I mean, they're in that written decision and the Board, when they vote to approve the decision, is approving that language.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So you're challenging how my
recommendation is?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I don't
understand it is all.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Because if it's litigated, I'd like to be upheld and not waste the last year and a half of my life.

The benefit to the -- so
it appears to me that there's no
other way feasible to do this other than a major reconfiguration of the property that $I$ don't think is feasible. It may be possible, but it's not feasible.

The third thing is whether the variance that are requested are substantial.
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BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Yeah, they are.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes,

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: YOU
told me once before, we can vote no on a couple and yes on three others and still approve?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I
guess yes, it is.

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: Is it
substantial as it applies to the rest of the Village?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But
the givebacks, the idea is that it cancels out.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: The variances are substantial.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

I think it should be stated on the record that the lot width variances are substantial mathematically, but the area of the lots is still

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI:

Consistent.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right.

He's giving back to the
four-tenths. So it's substantially
giving back as much as it's getting.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: DO
you put that in the decision, what Eric is talking about?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

It's in the decision. The Court, if this was ever challenged, needs to be shown that --

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think Jim makes an excellent point.

That they substantial, but there are also substantial considerations for doing it, not unlike Skudrna was.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

To reduce the impact, that's right.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Well,

I'm asking if you put in the other things that we're getting? VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
You're going to connect it. Yes, it is substantial, but no further subdivisions and four-tenths rule and, you know, the benefits of doing it that way, the detriment of substantial.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Yes, okay. Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS:
You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I
think that's excellent.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
The next one is whether granting these variances will have an overall adverse effect or an impact on the environmental conditions in the neighborhood. You already decided by adopting the SEQRA
a significant negative impact on the environment. Does anybody disagree on that?

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: No.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
The final one is whether or not the relief that's necessary is
self-created. But if the answer to this is yes, it's not a controlling on the application. That's what the law says.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It is, yes, but, again, the net gain for the Village and everything every is...

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I don't think it is self-created.

Self-created means I built without
a permit and $I$ created the problem by doing that.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's
self-created because he could have just build two houses, right?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's
not really self-created. Everybody is entitled to ask for a variance. They didn't create anything. They asked for a variance. Self-created means $I$ did something illegal and now I'm trying to legalize it.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: They didn't do that.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Yeah, but $I$ think if receiving a variance on like a structure --

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Like 9 Dune is an example of that.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The
site is not created yet, so it's not.
CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay,
so then it's not self created.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay. Everybody in agreement?
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: (Nodding.)
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So those are the five criteria. So
we got through that. So now the
final part of this will be to adopt the written decision. Is everybody okay with the 18th?

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yes.

My life resolves around this now.
The 18th? Gary, are you good?

MAYOR VEGLIANTE: I want
to tell you --
MR. HULME: I have a
question. Is it possible for us to see the revised version ahead of
time?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
I don't care.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Sure.
Previously I had asked if we can
start these meetings at 10:00
instead of 9:00 because I've been
driving in from Brooklyn in the morning.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
It should be a short meeting. I don't want to jinx us.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: I
can do 10:00.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

This will be the only thing on the agenda.

VILLAGE CLERK SANTORA:

You said the 18th?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Yes. I'll get you notice by Monday morning.

MR. HULME: Thank you all very much. Appreciate it.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: Don't thank us yet.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

So motion to --

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Oh,

I can't do the 18th.

BOARD MEMBER MIZZI: The next week is Thanksgiving.

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Yeah, I can't do the next weekend, the following week after the 18 th.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's

December 2 nd .

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: Does
it have to be a Saturday?

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

No, but we have to meet in person.

We need four people.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:

Well, if we go over it and you have no objections unless he sees something that --

BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW:

Well, you're going to see it ahead of time anyway.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I'm okay with it.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN: The rest of us can make it. Are you okay with that?

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: I'm
totally fine with that.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Okay, so we'll leave it for the

18th and I'll get this to you.

BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: Just
so you know, we operate a food
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Saturday before Thanksgiving is a busy day.

BOARD MEMBER CASHIN:
That's more important to you?
BOARD MEMBER FARKAS: To
the 500 people waiting in line it's going to be important.

MR. HULME: All right, well, thank you, all.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Motion
to adjourn? All in favor?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Can we have an agreement to waive
until -- waive the deadline until
the next meeting?
MR. HULME: Sure, yes.
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER KRASNOW: Was
that on the record?
VILLAGE ATTORNEY PROKOP:
Yes, it's on.
(Whereupon, the meeting
was adjourned at 12:24 p.m.)
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