# INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF WESTHAMPTON DUNES

## ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

General Meeting

April 15, 2023

9:00 a.m.

914 Dune Road

Westhampton Beach, New York

#### MEMBERS PRESENT:

Eric Saretsky - Chairman

Irwin Krasnow - Member

Jeff Farkas - Member

Jim Cashin - Member

Joseph Mizzi - Member

# ALSO PRESENT:

Joseph Prokop - Village Attorney

Angela Sadeli - Village Clerk

## TAKEN & TRANSCRIBED BY:

Amy Thomas - Court Reporter

```
1
                (The meeting was called to order
 2
           at 9:17 a.m.)
 3
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right.
 4
           Let's call the meeting to order. Let's
 5
           do the Pledge of Allegiance.
                (Whereupon the Pledge of
 6
7
           Allegiance was recited.)
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right. We
8
9
           have an agenda today of three issues.
           The first one I believe is 2 Cove Lane.
10
11
                CLERK SADELI: Right.
12
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Gentlemen, the
13
           last we left things you were going to
14
           look at what you have and --
                MR. DAVIS: And you had made
15
16
           request of us, the Board had made
17
           requests of us, and I think we
           accommodated them. One of the requests
18
19
           was to give similar to a radius map,
20
           it's an overlay basically of our
21
           proposal on the lot compared with a 200
22
           foot radius around it to see --
23
           basically to show the Board that what
24
           we're asking for is very similar to
25
           what has been already approved and on
```

- 1 the ground. We have a lot directly
- 2 across the street on Cove Lane that has
- 3 a border in the front yard that is
- 4 actually closer than what we have
- 5 requested.
- 6 MEMBER KRASNOW: Do you have
- 7 copies for everybody?
- 8 CLERK SADELI: You mailed it.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I didn't bring
- 10 it.
- 11 CLERK SADELI: I have an extra in
- 12 there.
- 13 MEMBER CASHIN: I've got one.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Why don't you
- 15 go on in the meantime?
- MR. DAVIS: So what we're asking
- for, this is a nonconforming lot in an
- 18 R-40 zone. The relief we're asking has
- 19 already been granted, and if you look
- it's superimposed what we're asking
- 21 for. The rear yard relief, the front
- 22 yard reliefs have all been previously
- given, and I think the picture actually
- depicts a pretty good showing of
- 25 exactly what is there. We also had

1 supplied plans to the neighbors, which was requested of this Board, and there 2 were certain items missing on the 3 4 survey that have been addressed as well 5 showing the rear yard to the corner of the house. You wanted to see how far 6 7 the steps were to the front yard, that 8 was taken care of. We imposed the IA 9 system onto the survey so you could see 10 exactly where that is going to be, and 11 I believe that those were the requested 12 items. So we're here seeking approval based on --13 14 THE COURT: So some time has 15 passed --16 MR. DAVIS: Two months basically, 17 yes. 18 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And some of 19 the questions that came up when we were together last week -- or last month I 20 should say, did you submit a new 21 22 drawing showing how the house could or might fit into a pyramid to achieve 23 24 what you want? 25 MR. DAVIS: I don't believe that

was requested, and I do not believe --1 2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think it was 3 part of the -- maybe we didn't make it 4 clear enough, but I think the issue partially is that maybe we can find 5 some comps with other homes, but I 6 7 think we would like to see what you are trying to achieve in program in what 8 9 you can do as of right. I mean, Aram, 10 am I saying that out of tune? MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's correct. 11 12 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So again, what 13 I was sorting hoping today was that 14 your architect was going to look at 15 what you can accomplish to achieve. 16 mean, I think you wanted three masters 17 or two master bedrooms, one downstairs, one upstairs, but the house is not --18 19 doesn't have a third story, if I recall 20 correctly, and again, the variances 21 you're looking for are to sort of 22 accommodate a program for a three story 23 house of what you want, but again, it 24 would be great to see what could work.

MR. DAVIS: I don't think you can

```
1
           accomplish building any house on this
           lot without the variances.
                                       You have a
 2
           60 foot front yard setback, you have a
 3
 4
           70 foot rear yard setback, and this lot
 5
           is only 116 feet in depth. You cannot
           meet anything under the code.
 6
                                           This is
 7
           a nonconforming lot within an R-40
                  So there is no way a house can
8
           be constructed on this lot without
 9
10
           relief. And we're trying to limit the
11
           amount of relief that we have
12
           requested, and we also tried to be in
           conformance with what is also on the
13
14
           ground. And I think that we have
           accomplished that, and I think that
15
16
           this depiction of the site plan with
17
           the -- our survey and superimposed on
           it pretty much shows that we have
18
           accomplished what we need to in order
19
           to comply with the rest of the
20
           neighborhood.
21
22
                MEMBER MIZZI: Would it be
23
           possible to just review the relief
24
           that's being sought?
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
25
                                     Yeah, why
```

- don't we just go through that again,
- the variances that you're looking for?
- 3 MEMBER MIZZI: I want to make sure
- 4 I understand.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's been a
- 6 while now.
- 7 MEMBER MIZZI: And we didn't have
- 8 -- I missed a meeting, but the last
- 9 meeting I was at we didn't have the
- 10 plans, and then we got mailed the
- plans, so now that I have the plans in
- 12 front of me, I want to --
- 13 MR. DAVIS: So the first variance
- is lot coverage. So what is required
- under the code is 20 percent, we're
- seeking 22 percent, 2 percent over what
- is required under the code.
- 18 MEMBER CASHIN: So lot coverage?
- MR. DAVIS: Okay. Lot coverage we
- 20 have 20 percent is required under the
- code, we're asking for 22 percent.
- 22 Front yard setback for a principal
- 23 structure, 60 feet is required, we are
- asking for 43.8 feet, so we're asking
- for relaxation of 16.2 feet.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Can I ask a 1 2 question on that? So on the front yard setback I think part of the issue is 3 4 you're also asking for the driveway to 5 be on the Dune Road side, does that 6 have any impact on that variance? 7 MR. DAVIS: No, it does not. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Go on. 8 9 MEMBER KRASNOW: Did you look at 10 -- since he mentioned that, we had asked or I thought I had asked you to 11 12 look at putting -- the address is Cove 13 Road, and you kind of put the house on 14 Dune Road. Did you look at putting the -- at least the -- I wanted to say the 15 16 way the house faces, I understand you 17 want to have it, but the driveway on Cove Road to take -- you know, 18 19 especially when people are backing out 20 into Dune Road when it's busy, I mean, 21 any time I do a project on it, they 22 always want me on the less trafficked 23 side, and I had thought we had asked 24 you to take a look at that. I'm not 25 saying make you turn the house around,

- 1 I know you probably want a Dune Road
- 2 address, and it's probably more
- 3 valuable, but can you move the
- 4 driveway?
- 5 MR. DAVIS: Well, we have the IA
- 6 system there and --
- 7 MEMBER KRASNOW: Can you move the
- 8 IA system?
- 9 MR. DAVIS: It's at the highest
- 10 point, and if we put it any other place
- it would pose other problems and
- 12 possible retaining walls, so we're
- tying to avoid all of that.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: The problem
- we're going to have, and we're going to
- look to Aram for part of this and to
- Joe, we're supposed to judge things
- 18 based on a negative impact, whether
- something is self-imposed and some of
- those reasons. This is self-imposed,
- and it is a negative impact to have a
- 22 driveway that goes -- an additional
- driveway that goes into Dune Road when
- it doesn't have to, and clearly that's
- not where it's intended to be. So

we're sort of starting I think at a 1 point where this is a little bit of a 2 problem. In other words, we should be 3 4 looking at how the system goes where it 5 can go, where it should go, and at the same time where the driveway should be 6 7 as Irwin mentioned. So again, my opinion, I'm not going to speak for the 8 9 whole Board here, but I think that they 10 probably are in the same camp as I am with that, so let's continue down the 11 list of variances. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. DAVIS: Okay. So I was at front yard setback for the principal structure. And then we're asking for a front yard setback for the principal structure on Cove Lane as well because you have a double front yard, so we need front yard relief where 60 is required, we're asking for 38.7.

MEMBER MIZZI: Can I ask a

clarifying question? Joe or Aram, is

that -- we typically -- it's not a

matter of establishing a front yard and

then considering the other a side yard,

we have to both street sides --1 2 MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's a corner 3 lot, both are front yards. 4 MEMBER MIZZI: Just asking. 5 MEMBER KRASNOW: You're right 6 because I'm dealing with a property 7 that's got four -- and they're killing me because we've got the whole block, 8 9 and they're killing us on it. 10 MR. DAVIS: Four front yards. 11 MEMBER KRASNOW: You got to be 12 kidding me. 13 MR. DAVIS: Then we're asking for rear yard setback for the principal 14 residence where 70 feet is required and 15 16 we've asked for 49 feet, so a variance 17 of 21 feet. ATTORNEY PROKOP: Which side are 18 19 you using as the rear yard? 20 MR. DAVIS: The northerly side, 21 the northerly property line. 22 Then we're asking for the front 23 yard setback for an accessory 24 structure, 70 feet is required, we're

asking for 57.3 for a variance of 12.7

```
feet.
 1
 2
                MEMBER CASHIN: Which accessory
           structure would that be?
 3
 4
                MR. DAVIS: The pool.
 5
                MEMBER CASHIN:
                                What's the
 6
           required?
 7
                MR. DAVIS: 70.
 8
                MEMBER CASHIN: And you're asking
 9
           for 57?
10
                MR. DAVIS: 57.3, yes.
11
                MEMBER FARKAS: 57 is the setback?
12
                MR. DAVIS: Yes.
13
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Where is that
14
           indicated on the plan?
                MR. DAVIS: It's not. It shows
15
16
           the 50 foot to the corner of the house
17
           from Dune Road to the southeasterly
18
           corner of the house, and then you have
19
           another setback of 7 feet that gets you
20
           to the beginning of the deck for the
21
           pool.
22
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Where is that
23
           setback indicated?
24
                MR. DAVIS: It's not.
25
```

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So you need to

- have that, please, Mr. Davis, have that indicated.
- 3 MR. DAVIS: No problem.
- 4 MEMBER KRASNOW: Excluding your
- 5 lot coverage, which you're asking for
- 6 10 percent, everything else you're
- 7 asking for almost 30 percent.
- 8 MR. DAVIS: Two percent for lot
- 9 coverage -- oh, yeah, ten percent, yes.
- 10 MEMBER KRASNOW: Well, it's ten
- 11 percent of the thing, but everything
- 12 else you're asking for almost 30
- percent.
- MR. DAVIS: Correct because we
- have nonconforming lot, so that's the
- 16 reason for the --
- 17 MEMBER KRASNOW: I'm not saying
- 18 you shouldn't get it, I'm just saying
- 19 it's a significant percentage some
- 20 people would consider.
- 21 MR. DAVIS: I don't consider
- 22 percentages when we're dealing with
- 23 nonconforming lots because based on the
- 24 code every single variance you get has
- a large percentage on it, so I look at

- what's on the ground more than anything
- 2 else and how it conforms to the
- 3 community that we're asking for the
- 4 relief in. And I truly believe that
- 5 this falls right within that community
- 6 standard.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the other
- 8 five houses that are on Cove Lane on
- 9 the same side and opposite, you have
- 10 studied those, and how do they fall
- into what you're asking for? That was
- one of the things I think we talked
- about.
- 14 MR. DAVIS: Correct. And I think
- that this depiction of it shows it very
- well.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the one on
- 18 the corner --
- 19 MR. DAVIS: Right. So this is
- 20 Mr. Colucci's house, I believe.
- 21 MEMBER CASHIN: Which corner are
- you on?
- MR. DAVIS: They right here
- 24 (indicating). So it shows his setback
- 25 to be pretty much the same as -- for

1 the accessory structure, the deck and

2 the pool, to be in line with his front

3 yard setback. Ours happens to be

4 behind it. So we're asking for less

5 relief than he has already on -- that

6 he's been established and given on Dune

7 Road.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So one thing, and I'm going to look to Aram and to Joe, it's hard for us to give variances in pieces as we do things. So as far as a front yard setback, I understand the issue, again, not speaking for others, but I don't think we can do this, tell you that's okay, go fix the rest, okay.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You know, you're right. And, you know, pardon me, I haven't been introduced to you before, and I come late to the application, but I have some observations I'd like to share. First of all, and I don't want you to twist around, but so this is a corner lot and with two front yards, and the way our code is written is that

1 the rear yard is the yard opposite the shortest front yard. So the shortest 2 you have 100 and -- where is that? You 3 have 106 on the south side and 91 on 4 5 the west side, so that would make the 6 east property line your rear yard. So 7 and that would make then your north property line your side yard. Okay? 8 9 So let's just orient ourselves in that 10 manner. The second part of it is that because you are a small lot you have 11 automatic relief under the four-tenths 12 rule and the three-tenths rule. So the 13 four-tenths rule and the three-tenths 14 rule apply to side yards only. So the 15 16 three tenths rule only applies if you 17 center the building on the lot, which you're not doing here, so we'll move to 18 the four-tenths rule. The four-tenths 19 20 rule says that you are -- that your 21 side yards will be equal to four-tenths 22 of the lot width, and that's for total 23 side yard. 24 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Combined side 25 yard, you mean.

1 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Combined side yard. And then the minimum side yard 2 would be four-tenths of four-tenths. 3 4 In this case that is actually I believe 5 less than you're looking for on the rear yard. Let me just do the 6 7 calculation quickly. I thought I just did it. So this is 116 feet times 8 9 four-tenths, times four-tenths, so your 10 side yard, which is the north, is 11 allowed for the principal building at 18.56 feet. 12 13 MR. DAVIS: So we're in 14 compliance? 15 MR. TERCHUNIAN: So you're in 16 compliance. But your angles have room 17 to give, number one. Number two, the 18 rear yard is calculated on a small lot with the three-tenths rule. So in this 19 particular case, you're at three-tenths 20 21 of the lot depth, so that will be 22 three-tenths of 131 feet. So you would 23 be entitled to a rear yard on the 24 eastern boundary of 39.3 feet.

MR. DAVIS: For the principal, not

1 for any accessory? 2 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Not for the 3 accessory. 4 MEMBER MIZZI: What is this 5 dimension? I see 20 to the pool, and I don't see this dimension. What's the 6 7 dimension from the house to the property line? 8 MR. DAVIS: Well, he didn't do 9 10 that because we thought that was the 11 side yard and we were in compliance. 12 MEMBER MIZZI: I just wanted to 13 understand what the dimension is. 14 What scale is this? Let's verify. 15 MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's a 20 scale. MEMBER MIZZI: It's a little 16 17 greater than 20. 18 MR. TERCHUNIAN: So --19 MEMBER MIZZI: It's 40 some odd 20 feet. 21 MR. TERCHUNIAN: So my point in 22 bringing this up is that you can 23 actually push this house north and 24 west, and that changes the relief

you're asking for.

1 MR. DAVIS: Understood.

2 MR. TERCHUNIAN: And so I think that you should take a look at that. 3 4 The second part of it is you've 5 provided an excellent aerial photo and described it, but you're asking for 6 7 very specific relief, and so if you're going to rely on this aerial photo, you 8 9 really need to tabulate the data that 10 you're showing us in written form as opposed to a picture that enables the 11 12 Board to understand the particular 13 cases that you're pointing to. 14 there's a particular setback -- and a neighborhood analysis doesn't look at 15 16 just one building, it looks at the 17 neighborhood, which is what the Board is -- that's what they have to 18 consider. 19 20 MR. DAVIS: Understand. And we have almost two different neighborhoods 21 22 here. You have south Dune Road, which 23 are very skinny and long lots where

MR. TERCHUNIAN: But Cove Lane is

there is --

- 1 its own neighborhood.
- 2 MR. DAVIS: Correct. That's where
- I was headed.
- 4 MR. TERCHUNIAN: And my
- 5 recommendation is look at Cove Lane,
- 6 there's a limited number of lots, do
- 7 the front yards and side yards on those
- 8 -- it's actually all front yards, look
- 9 at the front yards, specifically look
- 10 at all of the corner lots and tell us
- 11 what those dimensions are. Because if
- 12 you fall within the character of the
- 13 neighborhood, that is a major
- 14 consideration of the Board, but without
- that information there's no way to know
- if you do.
- 17 MR. DAVIS: I got you.
- 18 MR. TERCHUNIAN: The last point I
- 19 would make would be that I fully concur
- 20 with the Chairman and Members that
- 21 backing out of Dune Road is from my
- 22 perspective very, very dangerous, and I
- 23 would urge you to consider strongly
- 24 moving your driveway to Cove Lane
- 25 because it's simply unsafe.

1 MEMBER KRASNOW: And the other 2 house you're referencing has their 3 driveway on Cove Lane. 4 MR. MERONE: I thought Mr. Colucci 5 said that the benefit was on Dune Road because he's on the side over here, 6 7 that's what he was saying the last 8 time. 9 MR. DAVIS: Just so you know, 10 you're going to have engineering issues with the Health Department and 11 12 sanitary. You're going to have to do a 13 covenant and build it properly. 14 MR. TERCHUNIAN: You can relocate 15 that sanitary system to the Dune Road 16 side, it's not a problem. You have 17 plenty of depth to ground water. Elevation here is plus 9 and a half, 18 it's not a problem. 19 20 MEMBER CASHIN: Aram, do you know without doing a lot of math whether by 21 22 moving that house I think he said north 23 and west, does that eliminate his need 24 for the variances?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, not eliminate

- 1 it, but it does reduce it.
- 2 MEMBER KRASNOW: It might take it
- 3 from 30 to 20 percent, I mean, just
- 4 statistically.
- 5 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah. And it
- 6 may, if you do the analysis of all the
- other corner lots, you may be the same,
- 8 who knows, but without that information
- 9 you can't understand it.
- 10 MEMBER CASHIN: The other thing I
- 11 would say is that in my opinion I think
- 12 the house has to face Dune Road. I
- 13 wouldn't want looking at the side of
- the house from Dune Road in terms of
- the driveway and all that. I think the
- 16 house should face Dune Road.
- 17 MEMBER FARKAS: The house can face
- 18 with the parking on the side.
- 19 MEMBER CASHIN: That's what I'm
- 20 saying. I'm just making sure. I
- 21 wouldn't want to see it flipped.
- MR. DAVIS: They're going to have
- 23 to move the sanitary over here, put the
- 24 driveway over here, shift this house
- 25 northeast on --

```
1
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I'm not sure -- I
           think it's northeast, Aram, because
 2
           west he's already short over there.
 3
 4
                MR. DAVIS: So it's moving it
 5
           northeast, not northwest.
                MR. TERCHUNIAN: Correct.
 6
 7
                MEMBER KRASNOW: It would go to
 8
           the right.
 9
                MEMBER CASHIN: East, right.
10
                MEMBER KRASNOW: That was
11
           confusing me.
12
                MR. TERCHUNIAN: Thank you for
           clarifying that.
13
14
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So we'll
           adjourn this?
15
16
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can I ask you a
17
           question? Did we get elevations, or
18
           could we get elevations? I would
19
           recommend that we get elevations.
20
                MR. DAVIS: I think you have.
21
                MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think they're
22
           in the file. They're in the --
23
           elevations, your building elevations,
24
           or the ground elevations?
25
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Maybe it was
```

- in the first set of plans?
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: They're in there,
- 3 they're, like, page five or something.
- 4 There they are. I think it's the
- 5 second or third page from the back,
- Joe.
- 7 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can we get
- 8 additional copies then? Does everybody
- 9 have a copy?
- 10 CLERK SADELI: I mailed it out.
- 11 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Oh, we do have,
- 12 I'm sorry.
- 13 MEMBER MIZZI: So because this is
- skinnier than 116 versus 131 this
- becomes the rear, that becomes the
- side, and what are we recommending,
- other than the driveway?
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, you know,
- 19 conform to the four-tenths rule for the
- side yard, and to the three-tenths rule
- 21 for the rear yard, and that allows this
- 22 to come off of Cove Lane and a small
- amount but a larger amount off of Dune
- 24 Road. And the setback should be to
- 25 this covered porch, not to the

```
1 building. The setback has to be to the
```

- 2 covered porch because it's got a roof,
- and even if it was just a deck, it's
- 4 attached to the house and part of the
- 5 house, so the setback really needs to
- 6 be --
- 7 MEMBER MIZZI: This is a deck,
- 8 right, that's the house?
- 9 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah, this deck
- 10 goes all the way across the house.
- 11 MEMBER FARKAS: Aram, you said you
- 12 could go to 18 feet here, so they can
- move it back 10 feet assuming -- well,
- it depends where this goes.
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's I think --
- 16 MR. DAVIS: That includes -- the
- 17 28.1 takes it to the principal
- 18 structure, so I don't know that we can
- 19 move it too far.
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: I mean, you got a
- 21 7 foot wide deck back there. What are
- 22 you doing with that?
- 23 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's a
- walkway.
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: Not at 7.7 feet

- 1 wide, that's the width of this room.
- 2 MEMBER FARKAS: You can move the
- 3 whole house back.
- 4 MR. DAVIS: I got it.
- 5 MEMBER MIZZI: Are we of the
- 6 opinion that once it's shifted that the
- 7 footprint of the house is in
- 8 conformance?
- 9 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, no, I think
- 10 that the analysis becomes once you
- 11 conform to the side and the rear yards,
- then the question is look at the other
- 13 corner lots on Cove Lane, what are
- their front yards? Are you within that
- 15 average range?
- 16 MR. DAVIS: We'll never be in
- 17 compliance with the code.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think where
- 19 Aram is headed is front yard setbacks
- 20 we have -- this Board has in the past
- 21 made adjustments in unique situations
- 22 particularly when it was consistent
- with others.
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: There's at least
- one case that this Board decided ten

- 1 years ago on the corner of Cove Lane at
- 2 the -- on the inside bend in the
- 3 northwest corner.
- 4 CLERK SADELI: 812 Dune Road.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: As far as the
- 6 setbacks to the neighbors that would
- 7 probably have an opinion about it,
- 8 whatever you can do to move the house
- 9 as Aram described so that you're asking
- for less, and then we can look at what
- 11 you're going to give us in some sort of
- grid layout of -- comps basically is
- 13 what we're calling it.
- 14 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Basically. And I
- don't know if -- if this is a covered
- porch, that has to be in lot coverage.
- 17 MR. MERONE: It is.
- 18 MR. TERCHUNIAN: What about these
- 19 enclosed HVAC units and stuff, are that
- in the lot coverage as well?
- 21 MR. DAVIS: I don't know. Not
- 22 sure.
- 23 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We talked
- 24 about trying to combine those for
- 25 logical reasons and putting them in a

- 1 spot where you could, one, for your own efficiency but more because I think 2 it -- I think the neighbor who was on 3 4 the Zoom call with us mentioned it. 5 CLERK SADELI: And they are on the Zoom call now. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Again, I don't 8 think you really want four condensers at four different corners. I've never 9 10 seen that done before. There's a builder here, and he'll tell you. 11 12 MR. BURNER: It's not cost effective. 13 14 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I would find the side where it's the least 15 16 offensive of an issue and try to --17 MR. MERONE: It will be in line with the house. I know over here shows 18 that it sticks out, but they would not 19 20 be sticking out.
- MR. DAVIS: So he's got to show it
  then where it's actually going to be so
  that they'll understand and you
  understand, but like he said, four is
  just not cost effective for you.

1 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I mean, that's 2 not our reason for it. 3 MEMBER MIZZI: It --4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But I don't 5 think you want that. MEMBER MIZZI: You want to check 6 7 if you want it. But look, if they're somehow under the house, aligned with 8 the house in different locations we 9 wouldn't know it because if it's 10 11 indicated differently in the plan. 12 Right now it's indicated as bumping 13 out. 14 MEMBER CASHIN: You don't want 15 them under the house. MR. TERCHUNIAN: You don't want 16 17 them under the house. 18 MR. MERONE: Not inside, but right 19 on the edge. MEMBER CASHIN: You don't want 20 them enclosed. 21 22 MR. MERONE: They're going to be 23 -- you know, it will be just the pylons

with the lattice.

MEMBER CASHIN: On a platform.

24

- 1 wouldn't even put lattice around them
- 2 but --
- 3 MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's the salt,
- 4 the salt just eats those things.
- 5 MR. DAVIS: So question for you,
- 6 Mr. Prokop, with these changes in
- 7 variances, the notice is still going to
- 8 be asking for more than what we are
- 9 going to change this footprint to. Do
- 10 we have to re-notice, re-mail, re-post,
- 11 do all that? Because our relief is
- 12 actually going to be less than that, so
- 13 since the relief is less than that --
- 14 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, we have a
- 15 policy that we re-notice anyway. We do
- 16 a notice every time, so what I was
- going to do, I was waiting until the
- 18 discussion ended, if you could get us
- 19 whatever your changes are about two
- 20 weeks ahead of our next meeting, and
- 21 then we'll have time to do -- well,
- actually a little bit before that, and
- we'll have time to look at it and see
- if we have any questions and then also
- 25 get a notice in. We will re-notice it

- and send it to the neighbors.
- 2 MR. DAVIS: I'll talk to you
- 3 outside what we have to do.
- 4 ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think did you
- 5 have the application from the
- 6 beginning?
- 7 MR. DAVIS: Yes.
- 8 ATTORNEY PROKOP: It was a nice
- 9 job, professional job that you did.
- 10 Thank you for your work on it.
- 11 MEMBER CASHIN: Aram, if an HVAC
- 12 unit is on a platform, does that count
- for lot coverage?
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: I got to check
- 15 with the building inspector.
- 16 MEMBER CASHIN: I don't know the
- answer to that.
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: I don't know.
- 19 MEMBER FARKAS: The house across
- the street on Cove, did they get any
- 21 variances here?
- 22 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Not that I
- 23 recall. At least not in my tenure.
- 24 Aram, I don't know if you recall, the
- house on the corner, that didn't have

- 1 any variances?
- MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, because that
- 3 was preexisting.
- 4 MEMBER MIZZI: One comment. If
- 5 you do end up looking for, like, a
- 6 variance in this direction, I think
- 7 it's going to be hard to approve an
- 8 extra two feet for this bump out.
- 9 There's a walk-in closet that's
- 10 sizable, and there's an 8 foot hallway
- 11 here, so I don't know that this little
- bump out is doing much if you're
- 13 ultimately going to be asking for
- 14 relief from here to here as opposed
- 15 from here to here.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That was my
- point sort of in the beginning that I
- 18 thought you were going to have your
- 19 architect look at, I mean, Joe sort of
- 20 has that background, so he's really
- 21 looking at it that way, but I thought
- today we were going to look at a set of
- 23 plans that were going to show that you
- tried to make an 8 foot hallway 6 feet,
- and you were going to take a closet and

1 whatever so that you wouldn't need 2 something. Because we have people who are on the Zoom connection, and we're 3 4 going to ask them if there's any 5 comments from them in a moment. But again, we're here trying to help you 6 7 get through this. 8 MR. DAVIS: I appreciate that. 9 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But we really 10 need that to make it happen. Again, if 11 you can't get to some point, well then 12 you'll raise your flag and tell us, but 13 we need you to try. 14 MR. DAVIS: Sure. I understand. 15 MR. TERCHUNIAN: Mr. Chairman, my 16 apologies, but I have another meeting I 17 have to go to. (A discussion was held off the 18 19 record.) 20 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: For everyone who is on the Zoom call, are there any 21 22 questions on this application? We want 23 to open up comments for people on the

25 CLERK SADELI: So if there's

Zoom call.

- 1 anybody who wants to raise their hand,
- 2 I'll un-mute you, or I think you can
- 3 un-mute yourselves.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Maybe --
- 5 MS. BLACKSBERG: Michelle
- 6 Blacksberg at 4 Cove Lane. Can you
- 7 hear me okay? It was a little bit hard
- 8 to hear on Zoom, if you can just
- 9 clarify is the setback between 2 Cove
- 10 Lane and 4 Cove Lane now considered the
- 11 side or the rear? I'm sorry, it was
- 12 really hard to hear.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: It's
- 14 considered the side.
- 15 MEMBER MIZZI: Side.
- MS. BLACKSBERG: Okay. So I do
- 17 have an objection to the increase in
- 18 lot coverage. I know you mentioned it
- 19 already, the increase from 3,311 square
- 20 feet. Developing the property with
- adherence to the maximum lot coverage
- 22 will not create any hardship to the
- owners. However, increasing the lot
- 24 coverage will negatively effect the
- view, the sunlight, and the overall

- 1 enjoyment of 4 Cove Lane. It would
- 2 also negatively effect the property
- 3 value. I believe your request for
- 4 variance is a self-created hardship for
- 5 preference to make the house bigger at
- 6 the cost of the property value of the
- 7 neighbors. And so I'd like to object
- 8 to that increase.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: 4 is to the
- 10 north.
- 11 CLERK SADELI: Nellie, are you 4?
- 12 MS. BLACKSBERG: This is Michelle,
- this is Nellie's daughter, we are 4
- 14 Cove Lane.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Again, I think
- 16 we understand your concerns, and I
- 17 think today we came to sort of a
- 18 crossroad where we're going to have him
- 19 redraft it and remeasure some of these
- things to see what can be done to make
- things perhaps more compact. At the
- 22 same time, some of his as of right
- construction will be what it is, and
- then what variances, if any, are issued
- 25 will be -- we're going to consider your

1 concerns as well as any others, if that 2 answers the question. In other words, we're not making a decision today. 3 4 MS. BLACKSBERG: Okay. I 5 appreciate that. Thank you so much. MEMBER FARKAS: When is the next 6 7 hearing on this? CLERK SADELI: So our next date 8 9 that we had scheduled was for May 6th, 10 but I think that that is too soon, and 11 I'm not available the following 12 weekend. 13 MEMBER KRASNOW: Mother's Day. 14 CLERK SADELI: It's my son's communion, my daughter's first 15 16 birthday, Mother's Day. I think the 17 24th. 18 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Is that Saturday 19 of Memorial Day weekend, the 24th? CLERK SADELI: No, Memorial Day 20 Weekend is the following weekend. 21 22 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the 20th 23 sounds like the date. 24 CLERK SADELI: Oh, yeah, 20th. 25 MS. BLACKSBERG: I have one more

question. Are all the HVAC units and 1 2 everything still going to be located, I guess, what now would be considered the 3 4 side yard facing 4 Cove Lane? 5 MEMBER CASHIN: I think we don't 6 know yet. 7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We don't know 8 yet, but we've expressed our concerns 9 with it and try to put it in a location that would be least offensive to 10 yourself or anybody else. 11 12 MS. BLACKSBERG: Great. Thank you 13 so much. 14 MEMBER FARKAS: If the meeting is 15 going to be on May 20th, people should 16 probably try to come in person. 17 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yeah, if you 18 can. CLERK SADELI: What what the Board 19 20 members are saying is if, you know, 21 since it's going to be in May, you 22 know, maybe you could come in person. 23 We'll still offer it by Zoom. 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We're going to

work on that, but we still think that

```
1
           being in person, if you can -- we're
 2
           going to try to accommodate you in any
           way we can, but if you can come in
 3
 4
           person it would be great.
 5
                So Joe, to move on from 2 Cove,
 6
           we're going to leave the hearing open.
 7
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's just a
 8
           motion to continue the hearing to the
 9
           next date.
10
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So can I get a
11
           motion to continue?
12
                CLERK SADELI: Do we want to just
13
           make sure there's no more public
14
           comment?
15
                MEMBER KRASNOW: Sorry, yes.
16
           Absolutely.
17
                CLERK SADELI: Is there anymore
18
           public comment? Dave, do you have
19
           anything?
20
                MR. ALVILLAR: No. I appreciate
           very much the comments of the Board and
21
22
           Michelle. I share the concern about
23
           the driveway being on Dune Road versus
24
           Cove Lane. Sounds like you guys all
```

agree with that. I was looking at all

```
1
           corner lots going from Dune Lane to
           Widgeon's Way, they all have Dune Lane
 2
           and Widgeon's Way driveways, not Dune
 3
 4
           Road driveways, and I think that the
 5
           owner will also find that to be more
           helpful to them. Pulling in and out of
 6
 7
           Dune Road is very difficult, and having
 8
           more driveway space you'll find to be
           very helpful. So I share that concern.
 9
10
           Otherwise, thank you very much for
11
           including us and --
12
                MEMBER CASHIN: Can you just
13
           identify yourself for the recorder?
14
                MR. ALVILLAR: David Alvillar, 813
           Dune Road.
15
16
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So move to
17
           close -- or I should say to keep it
18
           open --
                MEMBER KRASNOW: Anybody else?
19
                CLERK SADELI: I don't think
20
21
           there's anybody else on for this one.
22
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                    Okay. So move
23
           -- can someone make a second to --
24
                MEMBER CASHIN: Second.
25
                MEMBER FARKAS: All in favor?
```

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in favor? 1 (Aye said in unison.) 2 3 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: See you soon. 4 CLERK SADELI: We're done with 2 Cove Lane, if you don't want to stay on 5 for the rest. 6 7 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can you give us 8 a typed list in Word of -- not PDF, but Word of what the list of the variances 9 -- the new variances. 10 11 MR. DAVIS: I'm going to redo it 12 based on the changes. ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I can copy it 13 into the notes. 14 15 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Jim, we're 16 going to do 772 now, right? 17 CLERK SADELI: So the next 18 application is going to be 772 Dune 19 Road. 20 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right. So one request that I have on behalf of 21 22 the Board is we had this on our 23 calendar for two months ago, Angela, am 24 I saying that right? 25 CLERK SADELI: No, we never had it

- on the calendar.
- 2 MEMBER FARKAS: We got the
- 3 paperwork in February.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So we got some
- 5 paperwork, but can we start from the
- 6 very beginning.
- 7 MR. HULME: Yeah, I don't think
- 8 I've presented this to you.
- 9 MEMBER FARKAS: There has not been
- 10 a presentation on this.
- 11 CLERK SADELI: We did get the
- 12 paperwork a while ago.
- 13 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can I have the
- file, please?
- 15 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Angela, I
- should probably give you this. This is
- 17 the -- Aram's environmental assessment
- 18 form that you should hold.
- 19 CLERK SADELI: Yes, he said he was
- going to give it to me.
- MR. HULME: So for the applicant,
- James N. Hulme, 323 Mill Road,
- Westhampton Beach. Good morning, all.
- I think it's still morning. I'm here
- on a subdivision application far a lot

1	located at 772 Dune Road, which is
2	located in the Village's R-40 Zoning
3	District. Just a little bit of history
4	on this property, the there was some
5	very similar relief already granted a
6	form of this property back in 2006, and
7	I'll talk to that in a minute. But at
8	that time, the property was 52,000 plus
9	square feet, and it was 70 feet wide by
10	640 feet deep, and it was and still is
11	improved with a two story house with
12	associated (inaudible) depicted on the
13	survey that we submitted, the
14	subdivision application that we
15	submitted. So in that context back in
16	2006, variances were sought to
17	undertake a subdivision of this
18	property at that time, and the Board
19	approved a plan that was two lots that
20	were 19,000 square feet in size, and
21	then an open space along Moriches Bay
22	of 13,000 plus square feet. The actual
23	additional variances granted were lot
24	width, lot area, side yards, total side
25	yard, all very similar to what we're

1 asking for today. And it was -- that 2 relief was granted on a couple of 3 conditions, one was that the footprint 4 of the house on the vacant lot that 5 would have been created was limited to 6 2,000 square feet, the four-tenths rule 7 would apply to the side yard setbacks for that lot, no further subdivision, 8 9 and the open space requirement. 10 armed with that, if things hadn't changed, and I'll explain that in a 11 12 moment, we could have gone onto the 13 Planning Board and completed the 14 subdivision. However, as you know, 15 since then and maybe at that time there 16 was litigation between this property 17 owner as well as others and the Town 18 Trustees over the actual ownership of 19 the property. So that as it pertains 20 to this lot, that litigation was 21 recently settled, and the settlement involved dedicating a portion of the 22 23 original lot to the Town Trustees and 24 -- to the Town Trustees, and then the 25 remaining lot being confirmed as being

in title for the owner. And so since 1 that changed some of the relief that is 2 necessary to do that, we filed a new 3 4 Zoning Board application based on the 5 new configuration. And the new configuration after subtracting almost 6 7 20,000 square feet that is going to the 8 hands of the Trustees and will be 9 forever preserved and un-developable, 10 that reduced my client lot from 52,000 11 plus square feet to a little less than 12 33,000 square feet. So and now it's instead of being 70 by 640, it's 70 by 13 14 470 feet. And so what we're hoping to achieve here as far as subdivided lots 15 16 is a front lot with the house of 12,430 17 square feet, and a vacant lot behind it of 20,400 square feet. 18 19 MEMBER KRASNOW: So you're doing a 20 flag lot? 21 MR. HULME: Yes. The flag lot was 22 what was approved last time as well. 23 So really the only thing that has 24 changed from the last grant in 2006 was

that the lot with the house on it is

1 not 19,000 square feet, it's reduced to about 13,000 square feet. And that's 2 because the amount of land that the 3 4 Town took increased by that same 5 amount. So the overall percentages and 6 development of the overall original lot 7 is exactly the same as it was. You end up with a preserved lot, which was key 8 9 to the determination last time, but 10 it's preserved by the Town as well as -- as opposed to being preserved by the 11 12 homeowner. 13 MEMBER KRASNOW: Ouestion. Aren't 14 you choosing how you're dividing the 15 lot up? 16 MR. HULME: Yes. 17 MEMBER KRASNOW: In theory this could be 25,000 feet and this could be, 18 19 like, 8,000? You are making the choice 20 to make the house that's already there 21 and exists and trying to keep this as 22 conforming, you're kind of choosing it? 23 MR. HULME: Yes, yes. You know, 24 the larger lot on the water side I

think is a more valuable commodity

```
anyway, but yes, that's exactly.
```

- 2 MEMBER KRASNOW: I would imagine
- 3 the problem is the lot that should have
- 4 been here, let's say for argument's
- 5 sake this was 30,000 feet and this now
- 6 would be 10 because you lost 20.
- 7 MR. HULME: Right, yeah.
- 8 ATTORNEY PROKOP: You gave us the
- 9 decision of the prior --
- 10 MR. HULME: I did.
- 11 ATTORNEY PROKOP: What?
- MR. HULME: The prior decision? I
- did give you a copy.
- 14 ATTORNEY PROKOP: I was going to
- ask you a question, I'm sorry.
- MR. HULME: Okay. Forgive me.
- 17 Please, proceed.
- 18 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Thank you. You
- gave us the decision from 2006; do you
- 20 have a copy of the plans, the survey
- 21 from 2006?
- MR. HULME: I don't have it with
- 23 me. I can provide it, if I didn't
- 24 provide it already. I will certainly
- 25 provide that.

MEMBER CASHIN: Is this the first 1 time this has come before us, this 2 Board? 3 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: 4 5 MR. HULME: Yes. MEMBER CASHIN: Because I'm not 6 7 familiar with this at all, and I'm going to ask a stupid question. 8 house is here? 9 10 MEMBER KRASNOW: Yes. 11 MR. HULME: Yes. 12 MEMBER CASHIN: And you had a 13 decision with respect to the balance of 14 that lot -- for this back lot, and then it's changed because of this taking 15 16 or --17 MR. HULME: Yes, essentially. The 18 original lot was this and included this 19 part, and this Board in 2006 granted 20 all the necessary variances to create a 21 19,000 square foot lot, a 19,000 square foot lot, and a 13,000 square foot open 22 23 space. 24 MEMBER CASHIN: That was granted,

but they didn't approve anything built

- 1 on this lot?
- 2 MR. HULME: The only comment in
- 3 the prior decision that was part of the
- 4 condition of that decision was that the
- 5 side yards needed to be subject to the
- four-tenths rule.
- 7 MEMBER MIZZI: It would be helpful
- 8 to see the depiction and plan of what
- 9 was approved last time.
- 10 MEMBER KRASNOW: So and now this
- is going to be a 13,000 foot lot?
- 12 MR. HULME: The house will be
- 13 almost 13.
- 14 MEMBER KRASNOW: Smaller than the
- lot we were just working on.
- 16 MEMBER FARKAS: Jim, the reality
- of this is this is always going to be
- open space.
- MR. HULME: Yes.
- 20 MEMBER FARKAS: So if this was
- 21 15,000 -- if lot two was 15,000 square
- feet, you still have the benefit --
- this lot will still have the benefit of
- the open space. So if you do the math,
- I mean, it's a pretty impressive parcel

- on the bay side.
- 2 MR. HULME: Okay.
- 3 MEMBER FARKAS: Right? How much
- 4 -- the open space is what?
- 5 MR. HULME: It's a half acre, it's
- 6 19,000 and change.
- 7 MEMBER FARKAS: 20 and 15 would be
- 8 35.
- 9 MR. HULME: True.
- 10 MEMBER MIZZI: Are there houses on
- 11 these lots?
- MR. HULME: Yes, to the west is --
- 13 CLERK SADELI: 776.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: This drawing
- shows --
- 16 MEMBER MIZZI: I was going to say
- it would be helpful for us to see that
- 18 too. I have the packet, I don't know
- if it's in mine. It didn't come in my
- 20 packet.
- 21 MR. HULME: This is a Google map.
- Would you like one, Joe?
- 23 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Sure, please.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So immediately
- 25 to the west there is -- that's 776 I

```
1
           guess. So 774 is the next westerly
 2
           lot, right?
 3
                MR. HULME: Right.
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Which there's
 4
 5
           nothing at the moment is what your
           survey shows, formerly Merle Debuski
6
7
           (phonetic) and Gary Vigliante.
8
                MEMBER KRASNOW: Isn't there a big
9
           house down here now?
10
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's two
```

11 over, that's 776.

MEMBER KRASNOW: It's right here. 12

13 MR. HULME: This house is the

Mancini house. This is us here. This 14

is Harvey Gessin's house, I believe. 15

16 MEMBER FARKAS: No, this is Harvey

17 here.

18 MEMBER KRASNOW: Isn't this the

19 big house that's being built on the bay

right now? 20

21 CLERK SADELI: You just need to

22 talk one at a time.

23 MR. HULME: And there is a big

24 house being built apparently in front

of the Mancini house. 25

1 MEMBER FARKAS: Could I borrow that marker for a second? 2 3 MR. HULME: That's not a marker, it won't mark. 4 5 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So maybe you could explain for me, the big house 6 7 that's being built on the bay right 8 now, that's 774 or 776? 9 MR. HULME: Right. 10 CLERK SADELI: That's 774A. 11 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So it's the 12 next property to the west. 13 CLERK SADELI: It's behind. 14 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So that's on your survey it's shown as Gary 15 16 Vigliante, right? 17 MR. HULME: Yes. I don't believe 18 he's in title any longer. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But he was 19 20 once? 21 MR. HULME: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So that house 23 is being built, and that is building as 24 of right, correct?

MR. HULME: I --

1 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Personally I don't know of any variance that has 2 come before this Board. 3 4 ATTORNEY PROKOP: You can't make 5 that determination, you can just -- we 6 just know that there was no application 7 to this Board. CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay. So I 8 9 guess what I'm trying to understand, 10 Joe, and maybe I'm asking it the wrong way is I and Joe who are the oldest 11 members of this Board were not involved 12 in the 2006 decision. So this 13 property, 774, it was subdivided into 14 two lots, right? 15 16 CLERK SADELI: 772. 17 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: 772, I'm 18 sorry. That's done. MR. HULME: It was never divided. 19 20 The Zoning Board decided in 2006 the 21 variance is necessary to do that, but 22 the Planning Board -- it was never went 23 to the Planning Board for them to 24 approve the subdivision. 25 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Legally, if we

- just talk about the law for a second,
- 2 you know, if you could transition.
- 3 Legally we have a decision on this
- 4 property. The Zoning Board has a
- 5 decision on this property, so I think
- 6 you need to at least decide whether you
- 7 consider this to be a rehearing. I
- 8 think you need to tell us -- and I'm
- 9 not giving you advice what to do, but
- just mention in your application
- 11 whether you consider this to be a
- 12 rehearing of that application, or
- 13 whether you consider this to be new
- 14 matter because of changed
- 15 circumstances. Because we address --
- they have to be addressed differently.
- 17 You don't have to tell us now.
- MR. HULME: No, I'm just making a
- not, and I will ask for time to think
- about that, but I will answer that.
- 21 So what I hear you all saying on
- this end at least is that maybe lot
- 23 number two should be little smaller,
- lot number one might be a little
- 25 bigger.

1 MEMBER MIZZI: It would be helpful

under way.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for me to see -- I mean, I see the thing, but if the discussion is this and ultimately any restrictions on the placement of the house, it would be nice to see a plan that laid out the current structures and what seems to be

MEMBER KRASNOW: With the risk of getting shot in this room, one of the concerns we were discussing with the -another application we have in front of us is a similar situation where you have 70 foot lots, and then you want to have two flag lots on them, and not having a precedent of two lots becoming six lots, so how do we navigate this while we're navigating that, but we're trying not to have everybody start dividing all of their lots in half and in backs and fronts and then we're, you know, trying to keep some semblance of the neighborhood, and I know there are some flag lots, but I just have a concern there.

```
1
                MEMBER MIZZI: I quess I was just
           asking to see what -- how this fits
 2
           into what's around it.
 3
 4
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                    I mean, I'm
 5
           echoing what Irwin's saying in that,
 6
           again, I'm most concerned with
 7
           precedent setting things. And again,
           I'd like to understand what was done in
8
           2006. So again, I think the Board
9
10
           wants to better understand that with
           some help from Joe maybe, but maybe in
11
12
           the meantime you can give us a sketch
13
           showing what you're proposing.
14
                MR. HULME: You mean, as far as
           the building envelope on lot two?
15
16
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yeah, I mean,
17
           I guess the how and the why of this,
           you know, I don't have yet. I'd like
18
           to understand that, you know, from Joe,
19
20
           I guess, to help us with it, what
21
           actually took place in 2006, and at the
22
           same time going forward echoing what
23
           Irwin was saying, I mean, I don't want
24
           to go down Dune Road and have, like,
25
           flag lots every lot. I mean, how do we
```

- 1 prevent that from happening? Again,
- 2 how is this different? If it's
- different that in 2006 it was already
- done, well then it's fair and so be it.
- 5 MR. HULME: Whether this is a
- 6 rehearing or a new application, the
- 7 2006 decision should be of interest to
- 8 you, and it is, and I'll provide -- I
- 9 provided the decision, I will endeavor
- to find the approved map.
- 11 MEMBER MIZZI: That would be
- great, that would be helpful.
- MR. HULME: And we can probably
- 14 update our survey to show the
- 15 structures in the surrounding area.
- 16 MEMBER KRASNOW: What is the
- 17 definition of a front yard setback on a
- 18 flag lot?
- 19 ATTORNEY PROKOP: I have to review
- the code.
- 21 MEMBER KRASNOW: Is it from the
- street, is it from the house behind?
- 23 I'm just wondering how do you consider
- 24 that.
- MR. HULME: I think it's from the

```
1
           internal lot line, not from the street.
                MEMBER KRASNOW: So it would be 60
 2
           feet behind the house or something.
 3
 4
           just -- not something --
 5
                MR. HULME:
                            I think.
 6
                MEMBER KRASNOW: That's why --
 7
                THE COURT REPORTER: If I could
 8
           just have everyone speak one at a time.
 9
                MEMBER CASHIN: Are we bound by
           the 2006 decision?
10
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: You're not bound
11
12
           by it, but how you proceed is different
           based on whether this is -- whether
13
           Mr. Hulme would like us to consider
14
```

based on whether this is -- whether

Mr. Hulme would like us to consider

this a rehearing or a hearing based on

new circumstances, but you're not bound

by it.

MEMBER KRASNOW: Now, again,

MEMBER KRASNOW: Now, again,

another hypothetical question. If this

lot is 20,000 feet or 15,000, whatever

the number comes out to be, do you guys

have a -- kind of a spec plan in mind

that will fit into the envelope that

won't be requiring a lot of relief, or

whatever size it is it will still be

requiring a lot of relief? 1 MR. HULME: I don't think we have 2 a particular house designed, but I 3 4 think we can place some type of a 5 building envelope on the lot to show that we can meet the four-tenths. 6 7 MEMBER KRASNOW: So you probably wouldn't need much additional relief? 8 MR. HULME: No, other than the --9 10 we'll take a look at that, but at the 11 moment, other than the determination -a condition that if you approve this 12 that it would be subject to the 13 four-tenths rule for side yard setback. 14 MEMBER KRASNOW: So the larger the 15 16 lot, the larger the house you can 17 build. 18 MR. HULME: Right. 19 MEMBER KRASNOW: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Say that 21 again. 22 MEMBER KRASNOW: Let's say for 23 argument sake they have 30, about 24 35,000 feet, Jim?

MR. HULME:

Yes.

1	MEMBER KRASNOW: So you have
2	35,000 feet here, they cut this off at
3	15, they get 20, they can build a
4	larger house. If they make this 20 and
5	20, or 20 and 15, so that's how
6	CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I understand.
7	A reconfiguration of the existing
8	MEMBER KRASNOW: Right. So
9	depending on how they decide to split
10	it would possibly decrease the amount
11	of possible relief they might need for
12	the new house. So it's advantageous at
13	this point to
14	MR. HULME: And it occurs to me,
15	and maybe this is against my own
16	interest, but if we make the front lot
17	a little bit bigger, we reduce or
18	eliminate some of the relief that we
19	need.
20	MEMBER FARKAS: That's where I was
21	going.
22	MR. HULME: That's what I figured.
23	MEMBER FARKAS: Tell me, what are
24	the driveway requirements for a flag
2.5	lot?

1 MR. HULME: We've shown a 10 foot 2 wide driveway. ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think the 3 4 requirement is larger than that. We 5 have to go back and see -- what we have had a few of these that we've decided, 6 7 we'll go back and see what they were. MEMBER MIZZI: There was some 8 9 landscaping, I believe, that we asked for. 10 11 MR. HULME: And it also occurs to 12 me that a condition requiring both the 13 front and the rear lot to get access 14 from one point as opposed to two 15 driveways might alleviate your concern 16 about driveway, driveway -- driveway, 17 driveway -- driveway, driveway. 18 MEMBER KRASNOW: But when you do 19

the driveway, does that make the lot in
front narrower because you're giving up
the space, or is it an easement?

MR. HULME: No, I mean, both of
them could come in the ten foot
flagpole, and then the front lot you

could just turn in after you're in the

- lot. 1 2 MEMBER MIZZI: Yeah. 3 MEMBER KRASNOW: In this case, 4 your driveway is on the other side of 5 where you're doing this. 6 MR. HULME: Right. 7 MEMBER KRASNOW: So you might move 8 the driveway? MR. HULME: Well, there is a 9 10 parking area right here that's close, 11 you know, so if we -- if that were ultimately a condition of the approval, 12 we could accomplish that, and then give 13 the front lot an easement over the 14 flagpole so that they can get there. 15 16 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay. I mean, 17 again, I think you are going to give us this other additional information. 18 19 MR. HULME: I have a nice long list, yeah. 20 21 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And the maybe, 22 Joe, if it's okay, he can send it to us 23 ahead of time ideally we can meet the
- MR. HULME: The 20th, right?

third week of May and --

```
1
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And we'll be
 2
           able to --
 3
                MEMBER FARKAS: Is there a
 4
           driveway to the west or to the east on
 5
           this? I mean, I don't think there's --
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: To the east it
 6
 7
           goes into this center opening.
 8
                MEMBER FARKAS: Right. So a
 9
           that's no.
10
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And to the
11
           west.
12
                MEMBER FARKAS: Because there's a
           house back here, where is the driveway
13
           for this home?
14
                MEMBER KRASNOW: It's to the west
15
16
           of 772 and the right of the -- that
17
           little California type house. So the
           driveway would be almost next -- the
18
19
           driveways would almost be next to each
20
           other.
21
                MR. HULME: This might be even
22
           more helpful. Here is our lot, this is
23
           that adjacent lot, and this shows a
24
           flag to get to --
25
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So they'd be
```

```
side by side?
 1
 2
                MR. HULME: Right, right.
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I don't know
 3
 4
           if that's good or bad.
 5
                MEMBER FARKAS: Can you do the
 6
           driveway on the east side?
 7
                MR. HULME: We could take a look
 8
           at that.
 9
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I don't know
10
           whose property that is.
11
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: On the east
12
           side you probably -- if you're
           reconfiguring this house in the front,
13
14
           you have more space, but that is a
           choice.
15
16
                MR. HULME: Right.
17
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Particularly
           if you're redoing --
18
19
                MR. HULME: If there's a driveway
20
           here, and there's a driveway here, is
           that better than driveway, driveway?
21
22
                MEMBER MIZZI: I don't want to say
23
           that -- people configure their houses
24
           knowing that they have a driveway,
```

like, where there's one driveway to

1 your side or two, rather than having one on each side. 2 MEMBER KRASNOW: I would almost 3 4 say it would be nice if, you know, they 5 could -- the driveway that Harvey is putting in, if they could almost get an 6 7 easement to just turn off of that and just have that one driveway there and 8 then access -- that would have to 9 10 require somebody else giving permission, but it would just make it a 11 lot cleaner than having the two 12 13 driveways and paving over more, or you 14 know, obviously more impervious. MEMBER FARKAS: Maybe all four 15 16 houses can share the same driveway. 17 MR. HULME: I know Mr. Gessin --18 MEMBER KRASNOW: I'm not saying it's required, I'm just saying since 19 it's there --20 21 MEMBER MIZZI: He's got that car 22 collection, he'll be coming out of the 23 driveway, all those cars. 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: With these next

applications, could we agree on the 1 record on the date that we need the 2 material by? Otherwise it will 3 4 automatically kick over to June. 5 CLERK SADELI: I looked at the 6 calendar, and we gave the last 7 application two weeks prior, so that would be a date of May 5th to have 8 9 everything submitted to be reviewed. 10 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. So can we 11 -- Eric, can we just agree on the 12 record that if we don't get material -the requested material by May 5th, it 13 14 will automatically go to June. That's fine. 15 MR. HULME: 16 MEMBER KRASNOW: Angela, May 5th 17 gives you enough time if you have to do notices, are you just doing notices to 18 19 the neighbors and not having to publish it? 20 CLERK SADELI: Jim notices the 21 22 neighbors and then sends me the 23 certified receipts, and Joe puts 24 together the notice.

MR. HULME: You have a five day

```
1
           notice requirement. Except for this
           application, there's a five day notice
 2
 3
           requirement.
 4
                CLERK SADELI: Just one at a time.
 5
                MR. HULME: So if I get everything
           to you before the 5th, there's still 15
 6
 7
           days.
 8
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I wanted to make
 9
           sure you didn't get caught up in the
10
           publishing, which has happened to
11
           people before too, and notices.
12
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right.
           Joe, so I make a motion to -- sorry,
13
14
           does anyone on the Zoom call have any
           comments?
15
16
                CLERK SADELI: I don't think
17
           anybody is on for this application.
18
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right. So
19
           I'm going to make motion to adjourn
           this --
20
                CLERK SADELI: We don't want to
21
22
           adjourn it, we want to continue it,
23
           right?
24
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Keep it open
```

until our next meeting.

1 MEMBER FARKAS: Second. All in favor? 2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: (Aye said in unison.) 3 4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right. So 5 now we're moving on to 738 and 742. So why don't we do this, if it's okay, 6 7 because some people weren't at the last meeting, maybe we could just do a 8 9 little recap of where we left off, and 10 probably better you do it than me. 11 MR. HULME: Sure. We'll see. So 12 just -- so what we're here for is we 13 are seeking the necessary variances so 14 that we can return to the Planning 15 Board and get approval of the creation 16 of three lots from the two lots that my 17 client owns. So that's what we're here about. The background on this is that 18 19 the property is located at -- the two 20 properties are located at 738 and 742 Dune Road adjacent to each other. 21 22 They're in the R-40 Zone, and as I 23 indicated, we're seeking variances 24 necessary to at the end of the day end

up with three lots.

That necessitates

- only one variance for each of the lots,
- which is a lot width variance. As you
- know, and as we discussed, the
- 4 requirement under the code for lot
- 5 width is 150 feet, and we are seeking
- 6 79.3 feet lot width for each of the
- 7 lots that we're hoping to create.
- Right now 738 is almost 70,000 square
- 9 feet in size, and it's 119 feet wide.
- And 742 is almost 65,000 square feet in
- 11 size, and it's also 118 almost 119
- 12 square feet in size. The proposed lots
- 13 are all oversized from the area
- requirement. They range from 42,000 to
- 15 46,000 square feet in size, and as
- indicated, they'll have 79.3 foot lot
- 17 widths in each particular case, and
- that's the source of the only variances
- that we're seeking here.
- The last time we were here we
- 21 provided a neighborhood analysis that
- showed that the neighbors -- the
- 23 neighboring lots in this area range
- 24 from 50 to 80 feet, so even at 79.3
- 25 feet these lots will be at the upper

- end of the neighborhood lot widths.
- 2 And in addition, we spent a lot of
- 3 time talking about the Skudrna
- 4 application, which was a three lot
- 5 subdivision from the early 2000's, as I
- 6 recall.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: To the west of
- 8 these three.
- 9 MR. HULME: Just to the west,
- 10 yeah.
- 11 MEMBER FARKAS: I'm looking at a
- 12 Skudrna survey from 6/15/09.
- 13 MR. HULME: So and that -- the end
- 14 result there was an approval of lots
- that were 66 feet in width.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I thought
- there were 83.
- 18 MEMBER FARKAS: 83.33.
- MR. HULME: I'm sorry, I had the
- wrong number.
- 21 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: They were
- 22 slightly larger.
- MR. HULME: So they're at the high
- end of the range of the neighborhood,
- as are we.

- 1 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'm sorry,
  2 continue.
  3 MR. HULME: Okay. And --
- CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: While you're
  thinking, the point I was going to make
  about Skudrna was we had lot area -lot setbacks on the sides of greater
  than normal, which was part of the
  variance agreement. And we asked you,
  I believe, to follow in suit.
- 11 MR. HULME: Right.
- 12 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I'm sorry
  13 if I interrupted, but that's where
  14 you're headed?

15 MR. HULME: Yes. So you had asked 16 us to do a number of things based on 17 the details -- well, as you will also 18 recall one of the things of great concern here was the view, and we went 19 20 through an analysis of showing the impact the as of right would have on 21 22 the view and the proposed would have on 23 that view. And I think we went through 24 a lot of mathematical calculations to show that the actual view area was 25

```
larger for the neighbors across the
1
           street with the three smaller houses
 2
           than it was with the two as of right
 3
 4
           houses. You asked us to provide
 5
           setback details, which we have done on
           both the as of right as well as the
6
 7
           proposed. We've provided the specific
8
           size of the homes, which you also
           requested. And the other thing that
9
           you had asked for was to have
10
11
           superimposed the as of right on top of
           the three lots. So that's what that
12
           shows. And I think this just
13
14
           emphasizes -- it gets a little busy,
           but it just emphasizes the fact --
15
16
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                    T think it.
17
           helps to see it.
18
                MR. HULME: The three lots have
19
           less of an impact on the view than the
20
           two as of rights.
21
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                    So with your
22
           new proposal, what is the setback
23
           between the homes?
```

MR. HULME: Okay. So 32 feet

24

25

total.

```
1
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So it's 16
 2
           feet?
                MR. HULME: Well, it averages 16
 3
 4
           feet.
 5
                MEMBER MIZZI: 19.7.
                MR. HULME: So it's 12 feet, 19,
 6
 7
           15, 15, 19, and 12.
 8
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And how much
           does that exceed what the normal
 9
10
           without a variance would have -- in
11
           other words, how much greater is it?
12
                MEMBER FARKAS: Are you asking how
13
           much greater it is than the two houses?
14
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No, not the
           two houses. I think it's really --
15
16
           when we agreed to the Skudrna piece
17
           back in '06, whatever it was, the
           setbacks between these houses were
18
           greater than normal, in other words; am
19
20
           I say that right, Joe?
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, I don't
21
22
           know because --
23
                MEMBER FARKAS: The side yards.
24
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: The side yards
25
           were --
```

1 ATTORNEY PROKOP: It depends on

the size of the house, but what was --2

3 excuse me, what was agreed to in

4 Skudrna was that there would be a total

5 side yard of at least 36 feet with a

minimum on each side of 18 feet. 6

something similar.

15.8 feet.

8

9

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right. So I thought we had left it that to be in keeping with what we did for Skudrna 10 that we were going to try to achieve

12 MR. HULME: For example, the 13 middle proposed lot the house is centered, and under the three-tenths 14 15 rule that would give us 12 feet on each 16 side, but instead what we've shown is

> ATTORNEY PROKOP: I know, but the problem is that -- excuse me, I'm sorry, if you don't mind, the problem with that analogy is that the applicant would be getting considerable relief, and this is what happened in Skudrna by the allowance of the subdivision, and therefore you can impose conditions

1 that are separate from --2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I guess where I'm headed is that I think we 3 4 wanted to make sure the Skudrna lots 5 are 80 feet in width, these are 79. MEMBER FARKAS: It's 83 versus 79. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay. I'm 8 sorry, 83 --9 ATTORNEY PROKOP: If I could just 10 give another thought before I lose it. 11 The 30 percent rule would be based on 12 the normal. The logic of the 30 percent rule is based on the minimum 13 14 lot width, which is whatever is 100 feet. 15 MEMBER KRASNOW: 150 feet. 16 17 ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the 30 percent rule never really conceived, 18 you know, these minimum lot width 19 variances also. When we started out 20 with that rule it was mainly --21 22 MEMBER MIZZI: I have a question 23 when you're done. 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Go ahead, Joe.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: That was it, I

- just wanted to make that point.
- 2 MR. HULME: We're at 32 feet is
- 3 what we've shown here, which is not 36,
- 4 but it's 32.
- 5 MEMBER MIZZI: My question was
- 6 regarding the 12 foot side yard for the
- 7 two houses. Was that something that
- 8 was discussed last time? I wasn't
- 9 here.
- 10 MR. HULME: I think we were just
- 11 trying to open up the space between the
- 12 houses by shifting --
- 13 MEMBER MIZZI: Are there
- 14 neighboring houses that --
- MR. HULME: There's a neighboring
- house here, there's nothing here. This
- is Skudrna, which is completely vacant.
- This is 734, and this is the location
- of the 734 house. There's some --
- 20 MEMBER MIZZI: There was a
- 21 building zone though agreed upon for
- 22 Skudrna? Like, when we granted that --
- 23 MEMBER KRASNOW: That subdivision
- is about 83 feet.
- 25 MEMBER MIZZI: I'm saying when we

```
grated that, didn't we, like, agree,
 1
 2
           like, that the houses would be placed
 3
           in a certain place?
 4
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: For whatever
 5
           reason, Jeff has an old -- something
 6
           old, I thought we staggered them, but I
 7
           think instead -- and Joe, correct me if
           I'm wrong, I think what we did is we
 8
 9
           just brought them closer to the street
10
           because that's what the owners across
           on the ocean side wanted. And I think
11
12
           that's how it got -- at least that's
13
           showing on this survey how it got left.
           It would be nice if they were staggered
14
15
           not unlike the ones we're proposing for
16
           this just because it certainly looks
17
           good but --
18
                MEMBER MIZZI: It's been a long
19
                  I thought we established, like,
20
           an area where they could develop the
21
           house, and it give them some --
22
                MEMBER FARKAS:
                                It gave them this
23
           line in the rear.
24
                MEMBER MIZZI: I think, like, a
```

zone in which they could --

```
1
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Correct.
 2
                MEMBER MIZZI: -- place the house.
 3
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think that's
 4
           what it was left at.
 5
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the idea was
 6
           -- I'm sorry, the idea was that there
 7
           was a line to the north, and they could
 8
           not be built farther north than that
 9
           line.
                  The reason why is because they
10
           didn't want to block the view of the
11
           houses to the east. And what's
12
           happening here -- I just want to make
13
           this comment, not as -- I don't want to
14
           become a planner, because I'm not.
15
           When you stagger -- if you have a house
           that's 60 feet from north to south, or
16
17
           a row of houses that are 60 feet from
           north to south, the massing of the
18
           blocking of the view is 60 feet. If
19
20
           you stagger three houses that are 60
21
           feet, then it could be 180, it could be
22
           120.
23
                MR. HULME: Which is the logic of
24
           this. This improves the views.
25
                                  It blocks --
                ATTORNEY PROKOP:
```

1 MS. BREEN: It doesn't improve my 2 view. 3 ATTORNEY PROKOP: My point was that it blocks --4 5 MR. HULME: It has to block 6 somebody's view. 7 ATTORNEY PROKOP: My point is that 8 it has a larger negative impact on the side views because instead of looking 9 10 at one house that has a depth of 60 feet, you're looking at three staggered 11 12 houses, and one goes 20 feet farther, the other --13 MEMBER MIZZI: Yeah, like, if you 14 were viewing from here, instead of 15 16 seeing this, you're seeing I guess. 17 MR. ANTONOCCI: But all up here 18 would be worse. MR. HULME: All up here would be 19 more akin to this. This as of right --20 this configuration here is a vast 21 22 improvement over this from a point of 23 view of views from across the street. 24 MS. BREEN: Not if you're looking

25

west.

- 1 MEMBER MIZZI: You're talking about from a difference perspective, 2 3 not head on. 4 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, and if 5 you're east of this looking to the 6 west, you would have a greater negative 7 impact on your view. 8 MR. ANTONACCI: I don't agree with 9 that. 10 MEMBER FARKAS: I think what Joe 11 the attorney is saying is that this 12 proposal, the proposed house is not the best view, but it's better than the two 13 14 The three homes in a line would homes. 15 be worse. ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't want to 16 17 make a planning comment about this application, I'm only making comment 18 about having sat through the Skudrna 19 20 application. That was the logic of the I'm not submitting that as a 21 Skudrna. 22 planning consideration in this 23 application. 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Joe, if I
- follow what you're saying is if you

```
take the three homes and you line them
 1
           up then the view from here -- in other
 2
           words, you're getting this whole swath.
 3
 4
           In other words, 60 feet, whatever it
 5
           is, you're getting 100 and something
           that's sort of blocking your view as
 6
 7
           opposed to if they were lined up,
 8
           right?
 9
                MEMBER MIZZI: If you were looking
10
           from say -- say you were looking from
11
           over there.
12
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: From 737.
                MEMBER MIZZI: Like if it's
13
14
           staggering back --
15
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You're
16
           blocking more.
17
                MEMBER MIZZI: You're blocking
           more, yeah.
18
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But if you put
19
           three that are forward that are lined
20
21
           up not unlike it possibly could be done
22
           on Skudrna because we gave a boundary
23
           let's call it, I mean, ideally it would
24
           be nice if whoever builds these homes
```

staggers them in such a way that, you

- 1 know, forward, back, forward, back,
- whatever, but I'm not sure maybe what
- 3 the right thing to do is because you're
- 4 -- I'm sorry, your name?
- 5 MS. BREEN: Rosanne Breen, 733, so
- 6 I'm this house that's not on the -- my
- 7 first question would be can you please
- 8 indicate on either of these or the one
- 9 that has the superimposed where the
- 10 bunny hut is? Because that's what we
- all call that house that is existing,
- 12 so is that on here?
- 13 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I believe it's
- 14 the middle of this.
- 15 MEMBER KRASNOW: We have an
- 16 aerial.
- 17 MR. ANTONOCCI: Survey of existing
- lots with the structure on it right
- 19 here.
- 20 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So it's
- 21 slightly --
- MS. BREEN: Oh, so there you go.
- MR. HULME: Is that this here?
- MR. ANTONOCCI: It should be, yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's it.

```
MS. BREEN: I would like to see it
 1
           on this one because this is the one --
 2
 3
                MEMBER FARKAS: Which is your
 4
           house?
 5
                MS. BREEN: Where is the public
 6
           walkway?
 7
                MR. HULME: Over here.
 8
                MR. ANTONOCCI: That is not the
 9
           public walkway.
10
                MEMBER FARKAS: This is your
11
           house?
12
                MS. BREEN: Yes. So if you are --
13
                THE COURT REPORTER: I just need
14
           one at a time. I'm sorry.
                MS. BREEN: The only view that
15
16
           really I think people care about is
17
           when you're looking west because that
           is the sunset view. The sunrise view
18
19
           is on the ocean side, so our views of
           the sunset are what's impacted. So
20
21
           like these houses aren't really quite
22
           as impacted as these houses.
23
                MR. ANTONACCI: I think these
24
           houses are closer than these houses, so
```

they have more of an impact than these

1 houses. 2 MS. BREEN: Not for the sunset. MR. HULME: I think what you need 3 4 is you need to contrast it with what we 5 can do without any relief at all. And this is an improvement over that. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: My only --MR. HULME: This is an improvement 8 9 over that. 10 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But Jim, the 11 only thing I think, if I understand 12 your point, is that if this house were 13 pulled forward, so maybe they're like 14 this, they're set up as an arrow or 15 whatever, a V, maybe it helps these two 16 homes with what you're looking for. 17 MS. BREEN: I just want -- on this 18 -- you think this spot here is here? 19 MEMBER MIZZI: There's a map that 20 shows both. These are on the same --21 MS. BREEN: Right. So okay. It 22 does, and I'm here. 23 MEMBER FARKAS: What number are 24 you, 737?

MS. BREEN:

No, 733. I'm this

- 1 next house. So this house is
- 2 problematic I think.
- 3 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: If you think
- 4 of it like this, so your view is
- 5 something akin to that.
- 6 MS. BREEN: This house is going to
- 7 block it anyway.
- 8 MEMBER FARKAS: The as of right
- 9 house would block you anyway.
- 10 MEMBER KRASNOW: Were you here for
- 11 the last meeting?
- 12 MS. BREEN: I was.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I'm just
- doing this to show you the angle. I
- 15 think we're trying to sort of find some
- 16 common ground here because what they
- 17 can do as of right by building these
- 18 two homes, you know, seems to hurt you
- 19 perhaps more. If it's this home that's
- 20 really the problem, I mean, I think
- 21 pulling it forward doesn't really -- I
- don't think it really hurts anybody.
- MR. HULME: Well, if she's here,
- these houses -- she's not going to see
- 25 this house because there's a house here

```
1
           and a house here. If we pull this
           back, that's actually going to detract
 2
           from her view here.
 3
 4
                MS. BREEN: Are you influenced at
 5
           all by the fact that every single house
           east is lined up straight. I don't
 6
 7
           know if it's because of the way the bay
 8
           came in, but really and truly all of
 9
           those houses are lined up straight.
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I believe --
10
11
                MR. HULME: Can I consult with my
           client for 30 seconds?
12
                MR. ANTONOCCI: Just one thing
13
14
           before, the staggering was the
           recommendation of the Board at our
15
16
           first meeting. So we took that and --
17
                CLERK SADELI: One at a time.
18
                MEMBER KRASNOW: Again, we're
19
           trying to --
20
                THE COURT REPORTER: I need
21
           everyone to speak one at a time. I
22
           can't take a record.
23
                CLERK SADELI: She can't do the
24
           record if we're talking over each
```

25

other.

- 1 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: One at a time.
- 2 MEMBER CASHIN: You need to
- 3 identify yourself also, please.
- 4 MR. ANTONACCI: Alex Antonacci,
- 5 I'm managing member of the LLC that
- 6 owns the two properties.

7 So the staggering, again, was done

8 at the request of the Board. In order

9 to improve views for not just one

10 house, for numerous homes, and the

11 homes across the street initially were

12 perceived to be impacted more so than

homes that are not that close. So we

can go all the way down the line of

Dune Road, everybody is going to have a

16 different impact, but we were looking

17 to see the overall benefit to all of

the homes, and that's what the Board

19 came up with, and we accommodated that.

We staggered the proposed locations of

the homes, and but that can be adjusted

as well, but I don't know which is

23 better or worse for which property.

MS. BREEN: That's valid, that's

25 definitely valid.

1 MR. ANTONOCCI: Our initial

2 application was I believe they were

3 lined up, and then we staggered them

4 accordingly.

5 MEMBER KRASNOW: I think what

6 we've been trying to do here is get

7 input from people like you and the

8 neighbors who are the most effected and

9 see what is the best solution out of

10 the two alternatives. And just to, you

11 know, recap what I pointed out at the

12 last meeting is that they can build

these two very large houses as of right

14 and start construction tomorrow in

15 theory. If we are granting them the

variances then we can put restrictions

17 and covenants in there so if it's

18 better to have the houses staggered, we

19 would have them staggered for your

20 benefit. If it's not good to have the

21 houses staggered, we would keep them in

22 line for your benefit. You would also

have the control as to the size of the

house, what they might look like, and

25 also when they can construct because

1 somebody had an issue about 2 constructing during the season. 3 again, those are -- so it's a trade 4 off. So whichever at the end of the 5 day you think is going to make your --6 something is being built there. So 7 it's a matter of now -- you know, as I always say, something is happening 8 9 there, it's not going to be vacant. Ιt 10 was great that it's been vacate all 11 this time. So now it's a matter of 12 working out a solution that is kind of 13 the compromise to the best of both 14 worlds that, you know, will make this 15 gentleman happy and will also make the 16 neighbors happy because, you know, it's 17 going to get done, so it's a matter of 18 which, you know -- and yes, maybe if 19 you're at 733 and somebody is at 737 it might be little bit different as 20 21 opposed to the people that are across the street. You know, it's not going 22 23 to be -- everybody is going to have a 24 little bit of an issue with the 25 location, the size. It's not going to

be perfect, but he is entitled to build 1 2 something, and it's just a matter of working with everybody, and that's why 3 4 we have these meetings to, you know, 5 come up with what's going to make everybody the most satisfied or the 6 7 most -- the at least upset. MEMBER MIZZI: Equally unhappy. 8 MEMBER FARKAS: So if he's 9 10 building two houses -- I'm just going back to the angle here, this house here 11 12 is going to block it worse than the three. 13 14 MS. BREEN: Yes. And I'm not 15 going to speak for all of my neighbors, 16 but I personally would rather see three 17 smaller houses than two gigantic houses. 18 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well, I think 19 20 just -- I think we're at this crossroad 21 now because they've agreed to build 22 three smaller houses than the two 23 original that are as of right. So like 24 Irwin said, we are now at this point

where we can approve this in such a way

```
1
           -- perhaps the way to do it is not
           unlike the Skudrna with coming up with
 2
           a line. I mean, maybe we come up with
 3
 4
           a line, and then we allow the builder
 5
           to decide where these houses would be
           best done, forward or back, something
 6
 7
           along those lines. Joe, is that a
           fair --
 8
 9
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, well, I
10
           think you need to decide the impacts,
11
           you know.
12
                MS. BREEN: So your original plan
           was to build three houses somewhat
13
14
           straight across?
                MR. ANTONOCCI: Correct.
15
16
                MS. BREEN: That was your original
17
           plan. And you staggered them at the
18
           recommendation of the Board thinking
           possibly --
19
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I don't think
20
           it came from us as much as it came
21
22
           from --
23
                MR. HULME: It came out of a
24
           discussion on what on the whole were
25
           the least impacts to the view.
```

1 MEMBER KRASNOW: And that's why 2 they drew these view corridors and 3 these lines to show the people that 4 we're effecting what might transpose 5 based upon the different designed. 6 MEMBER FARKAS: Also if I'm saying 7 this right, the sunset starts out early in the season over here, and it 8 9 basically moves. So I think that if 10 you're concerned about this, it's really only early in the season. 11 don't think that later in the season 12 your sunset is going to be effected. 13 14 ATTORNEY PROKOP: One of the 15 things the Zoning Board can do is you 16 can make a request to the Planning 17 Board to give you a recommendation on things like this. So if you want you 18 could vote to -- if you have a question 19 20 like this, as an example, you know, 21 that way you bring in a larger 22 consensus, and it's just, you know, an 23 important consideration like this for 24 the Village, it's just not this Board

acting on its own. You can get

- 1 additional input that way.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I mean, I
- 3 think we -- how do I say it? I think
- 4 we've gone where Joe is now, I think
- 5 we've exhausted the options. In other
- 6 words, like Irwin said, he has the
- 7 right to build these two homes. We
- 8 thought, and I think your neighbors
- 9 agreed that three smaller homes like
- this were a better compromise, lesser
- of all evils, whatever you want to call
- 12 it.
- MR. HULME: There's nothing evil
- 14 about any of this.
- 15 MEMBER KRASNOW: Wasn't my word.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Let's just the
- 17 lesser impact perhaps.
- MR. HULME: Yes, thank you.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So the only
- question in my mind is -- and maybe we
- should do what Joe is saying, that we
- 22 should allow the Planning Board -- who
- is the Planning Board, by the way?
- 24 ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't know,
- but they have a PO Box. It's the

- 1 Village Board.
- 2 CLERK SADELI: It's the Board of
- 3 Trustees.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Maybe we
- 5 should kick this to them and let them
- 6 help decide on which they would prefer.
- 7 My thoughts on this are sort of a more
- 8 10,000 foot approach of these are
- 9 unique lots as were the three Skudrna
- 10 lots that have not yet been built.
- 11 They exceed in my mind 75 feet, and
- 12 when Joe and I were on the board for
- that ruling I don't want this to become
- 14 a precedent for all sorts of other
- 15 things. This is a unique situation,
- and these -- something is going to get
- built on all six of these someday, so
- having six homes that are tastefully
- 19 built in such a way I think are going
- 20 to compliment Westhampton Dunes. I
- 21 don't want to see this turn into 12
- flag lots or something else.
- MS. BREEN: We talked about not
- 24 making that --
- 25 MEMBER FARKAS: A house here and a

- 1 house here by variance.
- 2 MS. BREEN: I got it, I got it.
- 3 MEMBER FARKAS: That would
- 4 really --
- 5 MS. BREEN: Which is a possibility
- 6 if you didn't grant this variance
- 7 because of the rules.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Because if we
- 9 grant it we can do what Irwin said and
- we can put these, you know, covenants
- on it, what it can and can't be.
- 12 MS. BREEN: So I know what it's
- 13 like to have the bunny hut there, I
- 14 know what it does to my views, so I
- 15 would really think having that third
- 16 house somewhat be on the footprint of
- 17 where the existing house is. We all
- 18 know what it's like to have that house
- in that spot, so I think that would be
- 20 reasonable.
- 21 MEMBER FARKAS: The problem is is
- 22 that we've already -- the other
- 23 neighbors have already --
- 24 MEMBER CASHIN: And we have other
- 25 people on here too.

```
1
                CLERK SADELI: And one has a
 2
           question, so if we want to take that
           question now, or do you want to wait?
 3
 4
                MEMBER MIZZI: I had one question
 5
           because I wasn't at the last one, but I
           don't personally, like, I don't have an
 6
 7
           objection, but it seems we are
 8
           allowing, like, these two houses to be
 9
           placed in a way that is nonconforming
10
           if it was just a single lot, like, it
11
           would be the four --
12
                MR. ANTONOCCI: I don't -- I'm
13
           sorry.
14
                MEMBER MIZZI: Can I finish,
15
           please?
16
                MR. ANTONOCCI: Yes.
17
                MEMBER MIZZI: It would be the
18
           four-tenths rule and an 80 foot lot, if
           it were centered, would get the benefit
19
           of four-tenths. If not, it would need
20
           to be three-tenths, and so this side
21
22
           yard -- this 12 foot side yard wouldn't
23
           be permissible.
24
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: There's a claim
25
           that the three-tenths rule is
```

1 applicable, but we went through this 2 before, but my comment to that is that the three-tenths rule really doesn't 3 4 apply because you can -- as a condition 5 you can impose whatever setback you 6 want really because you're --7 MEMBER MIZZI: I guess what I'm saying is -- let me ask the question 8 9 differently. If it was a single 80 foot lot, and someone went to the 10 building inspector, they would be able 11 12 to offset the lot to get --13 ATTORNEY PROKOP: If they centered 14 the principle structure they would have the possibility of going to the 15 three-tenths rule. 16 17 MR. HULME: This is four-tenths what we've shown here. 18 MR. ANTONOCCI: And it was moved 19 20 with the intention of getting bigger 21 yards in between the homes. 22 MEMBER MIZZI: Okay. I quess, I'm 23 not proposing centering, I'm just 24 saying this is four-tenths, this is --

if this was a single lot off-centered

- 1 it would be able to get to 12.
- 2 MR. HULME: And 80 foot lot under 3 the four-tenths would have a total side 4 yard of 32 feet and single side yard of

5 down to 10.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So Aram -- I'm sorry, excuse me, I apologize. So Aram mentioned something before about the small lot rule. So we're going to have to look at that and bring that up to the Board because there's another overlay to this, but just in terms of the four-tenths and three-tenths, what you said is correct.

MEMBER KRASNOW: Can I ask you a technical question? Going back to what Eric was originally saying before about Skudrna and they had 36 feet between each lot, or about 18 feet, if again, as part of a variance we were granting we could also ask for a minimum of 36 feet between each lot. I mean, so forget about the four-tenths, we could ask for that as part of it, right? We have the -- I mean, that's -- again, I

- don't know if it really was going to
- 2 make a difference for anybody's view
- 3 for what it's worth.
- 4 MR. HULME: It might because if we
- 5 had to narrow the houses, we might
- 6 choose to make them longer.
- 7 MEMBER FARKAS: You're 35 and a
- 8 half here.
- 9 MR. HULME: Yes.
- 10 MEMBER KRASNOW: No, no, I'm just
- 11 asking if we -- if for somebody -- what
- 12 Eric was talking about, the precedent
- before that we granted, if we wanted to
- 14 stay within the same precedent, we
- 15 could say we wanted 18 feet, you know,
- side yard setback. I'm not saying we
- should, but again, we have flexibility.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Whatever that
- 19 percent of the Skudrna was. So
- 20 Skudrna, I'm not sure whether it was
- three-tenths, or four-tenths, or what
- 22 percentage, but it landed on 36 feet
- for an 83 foot house. You could have
- 24 the same -- you should have -- in my
- opinion, you should have the same or

1 greater.

9

10

11

12

25

MR. ANTONOCCI: So we had proposed

four-tenths, which was recommended to

us, and also I think that the Skudrna

was four-tenths. So the numbers might

be a little different because the

property is a little wider, but still

the four-tenths that was requested.

MEMBER FARKAS: I think if anybody did the math there I think that they are probably in compliance with Skudrna.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think that 13 14 would be my guess. That to the people who live near this, getting that 15 16 four-tenths rule of that setback and 17 the space between it, you're getting something better than what you might 18 19 get if he built two houses as of right. And at the same time, the homes that 20 21 are built there get the luxury of 22 having a bigger space between them. So 23 in a way I see it as a benefit for 24 Westhampton Dunes of its existing

residents and new residents.

```
MS. BREEN: Well, the benefit also
 1
 2
           is that you can impose rules that you
           can't impose on the two house
 3
 4
           situation.
 5
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Correct,
           correct.
 6
 7
                CLERK SADELI: Are you interested
 8
           in hearing --
 9
                MEMBER CASHIN:
                                Sure.
10
                CLERK SADELI: So there were two
11
           questions here, buy Mr. Grande was
12
           first, so I'm going to un-mute him.
13
                MR. GRANDE: Yes, hi, everyone.
           My name is Frank Grande, 749 Dune Road.
14
           I'm kind of across the street, I'm on
15
16
           the other side of the house. So I just
17
           -- this is the first I'm kind of --
18
           I've heard some rumors of what was
19
           going on, so bear with me a little bit.
20
                Can you guys hear me okay?
21
                CLERK SADELI: Yes.
                MR. GRANDE: All right. So are
22
23
           these plans available digitally?
24
           Because I'm not in New York, I probably
25
           won't come back for another couple of
```

```
1
           weeks. I am a developer in New York
           also, so I kind of understand. Listen,
 2
           they're going to get built, that's
 3
 4
           great, that's progress, right?
 5
           like you guys are talking about plans
 6
           that nobody can see when we're on Zoom.
 7
           So is there a way to get access to
           these digitally, or even a link?
 8
 9
                CLERK SADELI: I have them in
10
           e-mail.
11
                MEMBER KRASNOW: We only have --
12
           we're only looking at site plans, and
13
           we're not looking at any elevations or
14
           anything like that, but yes, I think
           whatever Angela has in digital I think
15
16
           she can share it or put it on the
17
           website. However you -- whatever is
           the easiest way for you to share it.
18
19
                CLERK SADELI: If you want to
20
           e-mail me and request it?
21
                MR. GRANDE:
                             Perfect.
22
                CLERK SADELI: And I'll send it to
23
           you or whoever wants it then can e-mail
24
           me and request that.
```

MR. GRANDE: Yeah, I'll find your

- 1 e-mail. And so now these three lots,
- 2 the proposal is to merge them into two
- 3 lots, correct?
- 4 MEMBER KRASNOW: No, we're going
- from two lots to three lots. We're
- 6 going the other way.
- 7 MR. GRANDE: Oh, they want to go
- 8 from two lots that are there now to
- 9 three lots? They're building two
- houses.
- 11 MEMBER CASHIN: Three.
- 12 MEMBER KRASNOW: Possibly three.
- 13 They can build two as of right and
- three with a variance that they're
- 15 seeking the relief for.
- MR. GRANDE: Okay. So do we know
- 17 -- so again, forgive me for my
- ignorance, but what's the FAR? So in
- other words -- (inaudible). But really
- 20 how big are these houses going to be on
- 21 the lots? So if it's two versus three,
- that's where it kind of throws off the
- 23 neighborhood with some of the houses
- going down back and forth, you know, if
- it's going to be a five, six thousand

```
square foot house, then there's going
1
           to be three of them, that's probably
 2
 3
           going to be an issue.
                MR. HULME: Well, those details
 4
 5
           are all on the map that Angela will be
           sending you, but the houses are a fair
6
 7
           bit below the allowable FAR in this
8
           area and certainly less than the as of
9
           right.
10
                MEMBER KRASNOW:
                                 What was
11
           originally the estimated as of right,
           it was about 8,000 feet of house or
12
           something like that?
13
14
                MR. ANTONOCCI: 8,000 footprint.
15
                MEMBER KRASNOW: They were very
16
           large, they were about 8,000 foot
17
           footprints if they just built two
           houses. They're very large.
18
19
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: You could have
20
           a 16,000 foot --
21
                MR. GRANDE: Got you. They want
22
           three stories or two stories?
                                           That's
23
           the other thing. You understand that's
24
           where I think a lot of the -- at least
```

from what I gathered from the people

that I spoke with, the misunderstanding 1 is it's like are they three stories? 2 So it really doesn't matter what my 3 4 side view is going to be if I can't 5 look above that, if it's going, you 6 know, 10 feet above my house at my 7 second floor then there's going to be an issue --8 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think --9 10 Mr. Grande, I think there's a pyramid rule that they have to fit into, 11 12 whether it's one story, two story, or 13 three story. As you've seen in 14 Westhampton Dunes, it has to fit inside 15 that pyramid rule. Joe, am I saying 16 that right? 17 ATTORNEY PROKOP: If you read the 18 code, that's what the code says, yes. 19 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's what 20 the code says. So again, in other 21 words, these homes are probably big 22 enough with the new proposed ones that 23 it probably doesn't need a third story, 24 but if they want to we weren't at this

moment prohibiting it just as the homes

on the ocean can do the same.

2 MR. HULME: And again, if I --

3 MR. GRANDE: Okay. I'll just

4 e-mail for the plans to get an idea

5 because for because for me I need to

6 see the plans so I can get a better

7 understanding. I thought it was the

8 other way around, three houses down to

9 two, and I'm like what's the big deal

10 with that? But if it's two lots going

11 to three then that does change

dramatically what it can and can't be

and what it's going to look like over

there. And my personal concern is I'm

on the other side, what I'm looking

16 straight at at my house, so on the

17

other side of the little house. Now,

if you're going to do that over there

19 and then it's going to be a precedent

to do it over here, and it's really

21 going to throw off -- I knew when I

22 bought my house I'm not going to have

23 that view forever, right? It was great

24 while it lasted. But you know, you

also have to make sure that you're not

going to basically put up a brick wall

of three or four houses right in front

of you and you're not going to be able

to see anything.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HULME: Well, the purpose of the discussion today as well as at the last hearing and the analysis -- the view shed analysis that we provided demonstrates that your view would be more interfered with by our as of right two lot construction as opposed to the proposed three lot construction. And as far as the height, we could, you know, the as of right can go to the maximum height permitted under the code and would therefore also interfere more with your view than what we proposed even at the same height. You get a better -- you're not going to keep your view, but you're getting a lesser impact.

CLERK SADELI: We can only hear one person at a time.

MR. GRANDE: I'm sorry. So you're saying three houses will be less

imposing than two houses? 1 2 MR. HULME: Yes, as far as your ability to view. And that's what's 3 4 demonstrated on the drawings that 5 Angela will be sending you. 6 MR. GRANDE: That's great. So you 7 know what, I'll stop. I can't really 8 make any good, you know, I guess 9 judgments for lack of a better word, 10 you know, until I see kind of what's 11 going on with what's being proposed on the plans. But thank you for your 12 13 time. 14 MEMBER FARKAS: I just want to add to that that across the street from 749 15 16 there's already a subdivision of three 17 homes that's been approved in 2009 18 so --19 MR. GRANDE: Isn't that size a 20 little bit larger that the others? 21 They're almost CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: 22 the same size. 23 MEMBER KRASNOW: A couple of feet 24 larger each lot, nothing significant.

MEMBER FARKAS:

The lots across

```
1
           the street from you are 83, and the
 2
           lots that are proposed that we're
           talking about today is 79.
 3
 4
                MR. GRANDE: Got you. Okay.
 5
                CLERK SADELI: Lauren, do you
 6
           still have a question?
 7
                MS. NANNARIELLO: I do.
 8
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                    Let her
 9
           identify herself.
10
                CLERK SADELI: Lauren, can you
11
           just state your name and the address
12
           for the record?
13
                MS. NANNARIELLO:
                                  Lauren
14
           Nannariello, 743 Dune Road.
15
                CLERK SADELI: Okay. Go ahead.
16
                MS. NANNARIELLO: My concerns, I
17
           guess, are pretty much the elevations
           being that they've increased over the
18
           past couple of years. They can start 3
19
20
           to 6 feet higher than our houses in the
21
           surrounding areas. So when you are
22
           going to be building these homes,
23
           they're going to be starting a lot
24
           higher, and with the pyramid they're
25
           going to go much higher than people
```

- 1 realize. It's like 63 feet right now,
- 2 that type of home, so it's going to be
- 3 there. They're going to maximize their
- 4 space obviously, you know, if they can
- 5 go as high as they want to go.
- 6 MR. HULME: We can go as high as
- 7 the code will allow us to go, but we
- 8 can go there as of right without any
- 9 variances with two houses that will
- interfere more with your view than the
- 11 three houses will.
- MS. NANNARIELLO: That's an
- opinion.
- MR. HULME: Yes, it is. Based on
- the facts as presented.
- 16 ATTORNEY PROKOP: You should read
- 17 the code for the height -- you should
- 18 read the code for the height
- 19 limitation.
- 20 CLERK SADELI: Go ahead, Lauren.
- 21 MS. NANNARIELLO: If you have the
- 22 two homes there that are front to back
- 23 with 743 and 745, you'll have 36 feet
- between the two homes, if not more. I
- 25 think that's what we have between our

- 1 two homes.
- 2 MR. HULME: That's correct for the
- 3 as of right.
- 4 MS. NANNARIELLO: So, I mean, by
- 5 saying that you're going to put three
- 6 homes there with 12 feet side yards,
- 7 it's 12 feet.
- 8 MR. HULME: If you take the two
- 9 lot configuration and you add up the
- 10 side yards, and you take the three lot
- 11 configuration and you add up the side
- 12 yards, you get a bigger number for the
- three lots.
- MS. NANNARIELLO: With the
- 15 three-tenth rule.
- MR. HULME: No, with the
- four-tenths rule as shown.
- 18 MR. MIZZI: Is there a way to
- 19 show?
- 20 MS. NANNARIELLO: We would be more
- apt to obviously the four-tenths rule,
- and that's to go into the same rules
- that Skudrna had to comply with.
- MR. HULME: I think it's been
- 25 concluded that the same calculus was

- 1 applied.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right now the
- 3 plan is, if we agree to something, it
- 4 would be to follow consistent with the
- 5 Skudrna approval.
- 6 MS. BREEN: With the ability to
- 7 put restrictions.
- 8 MS. NANNARIELLO: I just feel like
- 9 the lines (inaudible) a couple of
- 10 meetings ago, each house lines up one
- 11 to one in the whole area up to Pikes
- 12 Beach besides the last two houses that
- are -- you know, it's the continuity of
- the neighborhood, not to just put as
- many houses as you can. It's a huge
- 16 variance they're requesting. It's a
- large variance, it's not a small
- 18 variance.
- MR. HULME: Again, I have to take
- 20 exception to that. My opinion. But
- 21 the lot widths that we are proposing
- are very consistent and, in fact, at
- 23 the high end of the lot widths in the
- 24 neighborhood.
- 25 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: And I don't

- 1 know if you heard what I said earlier
- 2 that we wanted to put something in the
- 3 approval, if we agree to it, that this
- 4 would be only for homes that are in
- 5 excess of 75 feet in width so that it
- 6 would help not set a precedent for
- 7 something in the future.
- 8 MS. NANNARIELLO: We already got
- 9 that with Skudrna, right?
- 10 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: No. With
- 11 Skudrna, I mean, they're 83 foot wide
- 12 lots that are very similar in size.
- And again, this is somewhat in keeping
- 14 with that, but it's very different from
- other homes in Westhampton Dunes that
- are, you know -- these would be on the
- bay side some of the largest width
- lots.
- 19 MS. NANNARIELLO: I know. So let
- 20 me ask you, you said that as far as,
- 21 like, the neighborhood being flag lot
- thing, that really wasn't pertaining to
- 23 this situation? There's no chance of
- it going -- the DEC won't even let you
- go beyond the lots of the envelope.

```
1
                MEMBER FARKAS: If we approve the
 2
           three lots, there will be no flag lots
           behind these homes.
 3
 4
                MS. NANNARIELLO: They couldn't be
 5
           there is my point.
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I don't know if
 6
 7
           that's true or not.
 8
                MS. NANNARIELLO: My whole biggest
 9
           problem is if it was front to back,
10
           that was my first thought, which is
11
           what I wanted to have it for the
12
           continuity with the neighborhood, but
           they gave us this schematic, this
13
14
           footprint with the house that would be
           4,200 to 4,500; is that just the first
15
16
           two floors, is that including the third
17
           floor? How much third floor are they
           going to build? You've seen what's
18
19
           been going on. The houses are like
20
           brick walls going up lately. So every
           house has character in this
21
22
           neighborhood, every house is a little
```

bit different. And you know, they're

it's square, and it's high because the

trying to maximize the square feet,

23

24

1 height levels are at least 3 to 6 feet

2 higher than they were when we built our

home, so its' definitely -- it's not 3

4 going to go with the neighborhood to be

5 honest, but we can't control the fact

that you guys -- I mean, it's better of 6

7 course the height is now raised. It's

good. But I'm saying it's not going 8

9 with the houses that are there now.

10 They're all built around 2000, the late

11 90's. Mike Roski's (phonetic) house

12 past Skudrna, that house was built,

like, '99, '96, whatever it was. 13

14 those houses aren't high.

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think the 15 16 explanation maybe we could give you, or 17 at least my understanding of this, the 18 three houses versus the two houses, you 19 wind up with greater space between the 20 homes, and if they want to make the houses fit within that pyramid with a 21 22

small third floor, I mean, again, we

23 can't really stop them from doing that

24 with a two house scenario.

25 MS. NANNARIELLO: Actually voice our opinions to make them smaller. If
we do the three lots, we should have a
say in what the max square footage

should be in the homes.

MEMBER KRASNOW: We would. If we grant the variance, we do have conditions and restrictions we can put on the homes that we're trying to say to the neighbors if this is better for you, then we will work to accommodate you. If we don't grant a variance, they can build two houses with about an 8,000 footprint each, and they can go three stories high, whatever the code allows them as of right, and they can construct any time of the year.

MS. NANNARIELLO: I understand that. And I actually don't have a problem with that to be honest. If that was the case, I don't care. But if we can get the four-tenths rule, and get the square footage under control, and see a schematic like Frank was saying, that would be helpful.

MEMBER KRASNOW: I apologize, you

1 said you don't have a problem with which scenario? I'm not sure what 2 you're referring to.

- 4 MS. NANNARIELLO: I don't have a 5 problem -- I actually don't have a problem with the two house scenario 6 7 because I feel it lines up. You know,
- my house is 7,000 square feet, and I'm 8
- 9 on the same size lot as 738, obviously
- 10 I have to expect a 7,000 square feet
- 11 home across the street from me. That's
- 12 what it is. But like you're saying,
- 13 the only reason why I would even really
- 14 be okay with it is if we do the
- four-tenth rule, and also make sure the 15
- 16 houses aren't going to be, like, a
- 17 schematic so it conforms to the
- neighborhood, not have three identical 18
- houses and look like 653 where it's 19
- 20 just a big block of house. That's
- 21 what's been going up lately because
- 22 they want to try to maximize the square
- 23 footage and get more money for their
- 24 They're going to sell them. homes.
- 25 I mean, to keep the continuity of the

- 1 neighborhood, these homes were all
- 2 built 20 years ago, 25 years ago in the
- 3 90's.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I understand
- 5 your concern. You know, this Board,
- 6 you know, is tasked with we follow
- 7 certain rules, certain training that
- 8 we've had, and we've kind of gotten to
- 9 this point of three versus two. You
- 10 know, if you look at the size of the
- 11 houses to the immediately to the east
- of it, the two homes they could build,
- while they're closer in size to your
- home on the ocean, they're considerably
- smaller or I should say considerably
- 16 narrower than the homes on the bay
- 17 side. You know, as far as what's in
- 18 keeping, that's opinion, and I respect
- 19 yours. We're just trying to come up
- with a solution here that everybody can
- 21 live with.
- MS. NANNARIELLO: I think that the
- 23 gentleman that said before that it
- should definitely be the same as
- 25 Skudrna, if not more. It should be at

- 1 least the 18 feet side yards.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think that
- 3 we're following that ratio right now.
- 4 We'll double check that.
- 5 MS. NANNARIELLO: The ratio is
- 6 less. It's 79 feet by -- because I
- 7 think Mr. Hulme was saying the side
- 8 yards they're requesting, or is it 15?
- 9 I'm confused. Was he saying 12 or 15
- 10 feet side yard?
- 11 MEMBER FARKAS: Between the two
- homes it's 35.5 feet, Skudrna is 36
- 13 feet. The Skudrna property is 83.33
- 14 feet wide, and these lots are 39.33.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I mean, it's
- inches.
- 17 MEMBER FARKAS: So the ratio is
- higher for this proposal. You're
- 19 talking now about the side yards to the
- 20 east -- to the house on the east and
- the house on the west?
- MS. NANNARIELLO: Right.
- MEMBER FARKAS: Which is 12 and
- 24 12.
- 25 MEMBER KRASNOW: What was

```
1
           Skudrna's on the east and the west?
                                  Skudrna I
                MS. NANNARIELLO:
 2
           thought was 36 feet.
 3
 4
                MR. ANTONOCCI: I thought it was
 5
           just the envelopes, I don't know --
                MEMBER FARKAS: 18 to the east and
 6
 7
           the west?
 8
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: On the west
 9
           you can scale it, but it doesn't really
10
           show. It shows all but that one spot.
11
                MR. ANTONOCCI: I also wanted to
12
           mention these are deeper lots, so
           they're bigger lots than Skudrna in lot
13
           area. So that's a consideration as
14
                  The bigger lots might be a
15
           little bit wider, but these are bigger
16
17
           lot area.
18
                CLERK SADELI: Lauren, do you want
19
           to make just one more final comment?
20
           And then we're going to take it back to
           the Board.
21
22
                MS. NANNARIELLO: No, I think what
23
           the gentleman was saying about the side
24
           yards is positive. Larger side yards.
```

CLERK SADELI: Are there any other

- questions from any other Zoom members
  or anyone here in the room?

  MR. MACRONE: I actually have a
- 4 question. John Macrone, 734 and 739 5 Dune Road. The issue concerning as of 6 right, the two properties that could be 7 built without any relief, my question 8 is if 150 feet width is required and 9 it's approximately 120 each, 240 total, 10 I don't know if it's divided equally, 11 but there's no relief required with the 12 150?
- MEMBER KRASNOW: They're preexisting.
- MR. MACRONE: And the right to a
  view, that's a question that comes up a
  lot. Does anyone actually have a legal
  right to a view?
- 19 ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's relevant to
  20 this Board as far as impacts. And I'm
  21 saying that as somebody when I owned a
  22 house on the ocean in the Village, I
  23 had a one mile unobstructed view with
  24 nobody to the west of me for one mile.
  25 But it's not -- whether or not there's

```
a legal right to a view is not -- can't
 1
           be determined by this Board, but what's
 2
           relevant to this Board is the impacts
 3
           of obstruction of the view or
 4
 5
           mitigating the obstruction.
                MR. MACRONE: And one final
 6
 7
           question, the five part test, which
           number three, the substantial nature of
 8
 9
           the variance, you know, to go down to a
10
           79 foot lot, does the Board think
11
           that's somewhat substantial to grant
12
           that type of relief? Even based on
13
           Skudrna, is that typically something
14
           that is granted?
15
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'm not sure I
16
           understand the question.
17
                MEMBER CASHIN: From what to what?
18
                MR. MACRONE: To go down to 79.
19
                MEMBER CASHIN:
                                From?
20
                MR. MACRONE: From I guess it
21
           would be it's 120 now, so approximately
22
           240 total.
23
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Do we think
24
           it's substantial? I mean, you know,
```

substantially good or --

```
MR. MACRONE: Just a lot of relief
 1
           based on what the lot is existing to go
 2
           down to 79.
 3
                MR. HULME: As a percentage of the
 4
 5
           requirement, it's a large percentage,
           but it's my understanding of the law in
 6
 7
           that area that you have to look at that
 8
           in the context of the neighborhood.
           And in the context of the neighborhood,
 9
10
           an 80 foot wide lot is the
11
           neighborhood. There aren't any lots
           that are 150 foot wide in the area of
12
13
           this lot.
14
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I think the lots
           run from about 40 feet to maybe a
15
           little over 100, but you know, we have
16
17
           a lot of lots that are 40, 50, 60 feet
18
           in the neighborhood.
19
                MR. HULME: So you can't look at
20
           that in a vacuum.
21
                MR. MACRONE: Not as the
22
           percentage but as --
23
                MR. HULME: That would be my
24
           position.
```

MR. MACRONE:

25

That's exactly the

question I had. So it's more based on the neighboring properties or other ones in the community more than the percentage.

5 MR. HULME: Yes, I would say.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the Village's opinion on that is it's a balancing. There's several considerations. One of them is the proportion or whether it's a substantial variance. In a balancing of that is the -- one of the other -one or two of the other considerations is whether or not it's consistent with other lots in the neighborhood, whether or not there's been variances granted. Some of the lots that Mr. Hulme was talking about that are smaller lots may have been the product of other variances that were granted, which also has relevance. So it's the conformity with the -- substantial is determinable -- is relevant on its own, but it also has to be taken into consideration with the conformity with existing

circumstances in the neighborhood, and

```
that also has to be taken into
1
           consideration with whether some of
 2
           those existing circumstances were the
 3
 4
           product of other variances that were
 5
           granted. That's relevant also.
6
                MR. MACRONE:
                              Thank you.
7
                MS. BREEN: I'm under the
8
           impression that the bunny hut was an
9
           original surviving structure from the
10
           storms in the 80's that has been
11
           updated and whatnot over time, just
12
           recently actually. Is there a plan for
           the bunny hut, preserving it, moving
13
14
           it?
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think there
15
16
           was chat about it, but I don't know.
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I think --
17
18
                CLERK SADELI: What about a
19
           donation to the Village?
20
                MEMBER KRASNOW: I think you were
21
           okay with it, the question was where to
22
           put it.
23
                MR. ANTONOCCI: Yes, I'm not
24
           looking to the demolish the house, just
```

looking to repurpose it elsewhere in

- the Village, elsewhere on the property,
- 2 something to that effect.
- 3 MEMBER KRASNOW: I think that was
- 4 discussed, and he was very open to
- 5 that, so it's just a matter of if we
- 6 could find a place to put it.
- 7 MR. HULME: Find a home for the
- 8 home.
- 9 MS. BREEN: Does our Village have
- 10 an Architectural Review Board?
- 11 CLERK SADELI: No.
- 12 MS. BREEN: It does not. So when
- plans are submitted, the Building
- 14 Department approves them basically?
- 15 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right. So if
- it's as of right, meaning it complies
- with the pyramid and all those zoning
- 18 rules, this Board does not get
- involved.
- 20 MS. BREEN: And you can build two
- 21 identical houses. I think that was one
- of Lauren's worries. I would hate to
- see three identical houses.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So one of the
- 25 things we could -- that this Board, if

we grant this variance we could say 1 that we would like to have the three 2 homes have different character, 3 whatever, something. I mean, we can 4 5 come up with something. 6 MR. HULME: The only thing --7 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: But we don't dictate --8 9 MR. HULME: Yeah, and since there 10 is not an Architectural Review Board in 11 the Village, and there's no Historic 12 District in the Village, there's no standard by which that can be judged. 13 14 And we agree that you can condition this on a lot of things, but the 15 conditions have to be related to the 16 17 relief that we're looking for, and I'm not sure the style of the home has 18 anything to do with that. 19 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Well, in my 20 21 experience on the Zoning Board, there 22 are things that we have -- Joe, 23 particularly, and I have done. There's 24 landscaping issues, if there are septic

system, walls, and there are various

```
1
           things that we have been able to
 2
           instigate. In other words, say hey,
                    There are other rules that
 3
           listen.
 4
           exist that, you know, we could -- there
 5
           are lighting rules, there are things
           that exist without us that need to be
 6
 7
           complied to. We can be more specific
 8
           about it to some degree. I mean, look,
 9
           we're not trying to make this a
10
           hardship for someone who is building
11
           it, and at the same time we could try
12
           to come up with something and ask for
           their best intent to do certain things,
13
14
           but we can't really say this house,
           this one is going to be a Mediterranean
15
16
           house, this one is going to be
17
           traditional, and this one is going to
18
           be --
                            Modern, whatever.
19
                MS. BREEN:
20
                MEMBER MIZZI: Would it be
21
           objectionable if there was a request
22
           not to have them all be exactly the
23
           same?
24
                                Well, it's not
                MR. ANTONOCCI:
25
           going to be to our benefit to make them
```

- 1 exactly the same.
- 2 MEMBER MIZZI: No, I'm just saying
- 3 -- but my question was -- I mean,
- 4 that's your question, right?
- 5 MS. BREEN: Right. You don't want
- it to look like three houses have been
- 7 built for a little development. I
- 8 mean, when you go up and down starting
- 9 from where the pillars are that say
- 10 you're entering the Dunes, from that to
- 11 that there's almost not one house that
- 12 matches.
- 13 MEMBER MIZZI: Let me give you an
- 14 example, I think your house, right --
- 15 those houses were built --
- 16 MEMBER CASHIN: There's three of
- my house.
- 18 MEMBER MIZZI: Those houses were
- 19 built together, but they were built
- 20 slightly differently so they don't look
- 21 exactly the same.
- 22 MEMBER CASHIN: They're pretty
- much the same, but they're separated by
- other houses. The one two houses west
- of me is an exact duplicate -- east,

1 east of me. 2 MEMBER FARKAS: I think the owner 3 would agree. 4 MS. BREEN: You hear the request 5 that they don't look like a 6 development? 7 MR. ANTONOCCI: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: What you're 9 really saying is you don't want each 10 window for each house to be exactly the 11 same, and certain things to give it 12 character so that they're slightly different. But at the same time we 13 14 can't totally dictate --15 MS. BREEN: No, I get that. 16 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So I think --17 I don't want to speak for you. 18 MR. ANTONOCCI: You can. My 19 intent is not to make them identical. 20 MS. BREEN: There you go. 21 MEMBER KRASNOW: They're going to 22 be fraternal triplets. 23 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think you 24 touched on it by saying it's not in his

interest to build three, like, clones

of each other. 1 MR. ANTONOCCI: Correct, correct. 2 3 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: For various 4 reasons. 5 MEMBER FARKAS: Eric, I'd like to address Lauren's comments about the 6 7 side yards on the end property. So the house to the east, what is -- is there 8 9 a driveway, a non-buildable driveway to 10 the east of that? 11 MR. ANTONOCCI: There are two 12 independently owned lots, so there is more of a buffer between the house to 13 14 the east because of these two parcels. MEMBER FARKAS: Are either of 15 16 those buildable? 17 CLERK SADELI: No. They're just 18 easements. 19 MR. ANTONOCCI: They're deeded, 20 somebody owns them. CLERK SADELI: Yeah, and they were 21 22 noticed. 23 MEMBER FARKAS: But you can't 24 build on them?

MR. HULME:

No.

```
1
                MS. BREEN: Unfortunately because
           I would build some place just so they
 2
           couldn't park 20 cars there.
 3
 4
                MEMBER FARKAS: So the side yard
 5
           you're proposing 12 on the --
                MR. ANTONOCCI: With the intent of
 6
 7
           making the center a little bit wider.
 8
                MR. HULME: I think what you're
 9
           getting at is the effective side yard
10
           between 734 is, in fact, larger because
11
           of those two access ways.
12
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'm not sure
13
           if the people on the Zoom call
14
           understand that, I'm not sure if you
           understand that.
15
16
                MS. BREEN: No, I totally get it.
17
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So it gets
18
           better.
19
                MEMBER FARKAS: I'm trying to say
20
           it gets better. So now, how would we
21
           address because if Skudrna is doing 18,
22
           and this proposed is 12, that's only 30
23
           feet, and I think that was one of the
24
           objections.
```

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:

So that would

```
1
           be one we would need you guys to look
 2
                In other words, on this side you
 3
           pick up that extra space, and that's
 4
           great, it helps make people's views
 5
           better. But on this side where we only
           have the 12 foot, if you could center
 6
 7
           -- put this centered, however you want
           to do it, we would want that to be at
 8
 9
           least what Skudrna has. Right now you
10
           have 12 plus --
                MEMBER FARKAS: Well, at least it
11
12
           should be the four-tenths rule, and
           you're already over the four-tenths
13
           rule according to my math.
14
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I don't think
15
16
           that's really a hardship for you
17
           because, again --
18
                MR. HULME: Are you suggesting
19
           that this house here be just centered?
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Yeah. Right?
20
21
           Wouldn't that help you?
22
                MR. HULME: That would help here,
23
           but does that help here? I mean,
24
           everything we give we're taking away.
25
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                     I guess,
```

- 1 maybe --2 MR. HULME: Our attempt was a 3 balance. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
- CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: So if it's 18 feet on this side, maybe you shift this over a foot or two, whatever it is, or maybe you leave it. Maybe -- I don't
- know. Listen, no one has built a house yet on Skudrna. You'll be first.
- MR. HULME: If we're ahead of them presumably then they can build based on what you may approve here.
- CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Right.
- MR. HULME: They don't have to build to the limits of that side of the 15 16 house.
- 17 MS. BREEN: So that property 18 that's not developed yet, their variances are already in place, so once 19 they decide to build they have the as 20 21 of right.
- MR. HULME: That is correct, but 22 23 they have to go to the Planning Board.
- 24 MS. BREEN: To get the --
- MEMBER KRASNOW: Their subdivision 25

- is approved, not their variance.
- 2 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Whenever you're
- 3 ready, I have a suggestion.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Joe, let's
- 5 hear -- I think we've have covered
- 6 everybody's comments. Guys, hang on
- 7 one second.

8 ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think you're

9 at the point probably where, you know,

10 what you should do is to communicate to

11 the Planning Board slash Trustees that

12 you'd like input on the question of

13 whether the houses should be -- whether

there should be a north -- definitive

north line, or staggering, or both.

16 And then also seek public comment on

that from the people that are online,

now they can submit public comments --

19 they can submit comments. The other

20 thing I think you might consider

21 happening just to see if you can come

22 to -- if there's going to be a middle

ground that you can come to with the

applicant is to request the applicant's

25 attorney to provide a proposed covenant

- based on the Skudrna decision, Skudrna,
- 2 and also based on the comments that
- 3 he's had so far here so we don't have
- 4 to spend another two or three meetings
- 5 going over a covenant.
- 6 MR. HULME: I can do that.
- 7 ATTORNEY PROKOP: And I don't have
- 8 to sit in my office on a Sunday doing
- 9 it.
- 10 MR. HULME: I get to sit in my
- office on a Sunday doing it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right. So
- for everyone that's on the Zoom call,
- we'd ask for you to give us any
- 15 comments you have, correct, Joe?
- 16 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. And we
- 17 encourage specifically comments about
- whether the houses should be staggered
- 19 or --
- 20 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Versus in a
- 21 row.
- 22 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Or a definitive
- 23 north line.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Or a border
- like Skudrna had. And then, I guess,

```
the other question is as far as any --
 1
           Irwin mentioned it before -- any
 2
           considerations that we're looking for,
 3
 4
           we'd like those too. So any comments
 5
           that people have that you want a
 6
           landscaping request or you want a --
 7
                MS. BREEN: No pile driving
 8
           between the 4th of July and Labor Day.
 9
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Whatever it is
10
           within reason.
11
                MS. BREEN: No. At all.
                                           I'm not
12
           saying no building, I'm saying no pile
13
           driving.
14
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I don't think
           you're -- well, I don't know what the
15
16
           rules are right now, so I can't speak
17
           to that, but in any event, give us what
           you have in writing to Angela and try
18
19
           to get it to us in the next couple of
           weeks so that we have it --
20
21
                CLERK SADELI: By May 5th so we
22
           have everything to review.
23
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Okay.
24
                CLERK SADELI: Lauren, did you
           hear that?
25
```

```
1
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We'll send an
           e-mail out saying that. The idea is
 2
 3
           that when we get together next time we
 4
           are --
 5
                MS. NANNARIELLO: Yes, I heard
 6
           that.
 7
                CLERK SADELI: So any public
 8
           comment, just send to me, if you have
 9
           it.
                Okay?
10
                MS. NANNARIELLO: Yes.
11
                MR. HULME: Procedurally, how --
           maybe this is a question for Counsel.
12
           How do we get in front of the Trustees?
13
14
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: You don't do
           anything. We're going to send a
15
16
           communication to the Trustees.
17
                MR. HULME: And the drawings?
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Angela has the
18
19
           drawings.
20
                MEMBER KRASNOW: Just technical
21
           question, back to the beginning, does
22
           he have to merge the lots and then
23
           subdivide --
24
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: So here's what
25
           happened with this application. I try
```

```
1
           to say this at least once a meeting, I
 2
           was going to try to avoid it today. I
           was contacted by the Village -- excuse
 3
 4
           me, I was berated by forcing -- because
 5
           it was said that I was forcing the
 6
           applicant to merge the lots and then
 7
           un-merge them. If you read the
           application, what it actually says is
8
 9
           that the application is to merge the
10
           lots and then un-merge them.
                                         So it
           wasn't my recommendation.
11
                                      But what's
12
           going to happen here I think is that
           there will be a plan filed, which is
13
14
           basically just dissolving the two lots
           into three lots. There's going to be a
15
16
           superseding plan filed with Suffolk
17
           County, which will be the plot, the new
           plots of this property, which will be
18
           three lots instead of two lots.
19
20
           question that we need to have the
21
           applicant resolve, hopefully amicably,
22
           with the Village and my office is
23
           whether you want the same name on those
24
           three lots or different names, and how
25
           you want us to handle that.
                                        You know,
```

1 because you're taking a lot that is owned -- two lots that are owned by two 2 different entities, and we're going to 3 4 go to the County and say we want these, 5 so the first thing the County is going to say is well, what's the name? You 6 7 probably want to transfer one of them into the -- excuse me, one of the two 8 9 into the same name as the other one so 10 then they can have the same name, or your attorney will advise you on how to 11 12 do that, but that's the next step that 13 you have to be thinking about. 14

MEMBER KRASNOW: Suffolk County Health Department will have to approve this also.

17 MR. HULME: Yes.

15

16

19

20

21

24

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the answer to 18 your question, the short answer is I don't think we can go through the merger line, the merger process. I 22 think it's just going to be an overlay 23 of a new plot map that's going to be given to the County.

25 MEMBER KRASNOW: As long as you

```
1
           guys have a blueprint for it, I just
           wanted to make sure that -- I didn't --
 2
 3
           I had the feeling --
                MR. HULME: I agree with Counsel.
 4
 5
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY:
                                     Okav.
                MEMBER FARKAS: What's the
 6
 7
           timeframe based on everything we've
 8
           heard today as to when construction
 9
           would start?
10
                MR. HULME:
                            Tomorrow? Probably
11
           not until the fall at the earliest, I
12
           would think. The question is really
           when will we be fully approved to start
13
14
           construction?
15
                MEMBER FARKAS: How long does it
16
           typically take?
17
                MR. HULME: The Health Department
18
           is going to take several months.
           That's going to be the big driver of
19
           time here. And the reason why we
20
21
           haven't applied yet is because
22
           obviously there's been a lot of
23
           interest and concern, and we didn't
24
           want to apply for something that wasn't
25
           going to get approved.
```

```
1
                MEMBER FARKAS: I'm just thinking
 2
           when are you going to start driving
 3
           those piles for two houses or three
           houses?
 4
 5
                MR. HULME: Probably sometime in
 6
           the winter.
 7
                MEMBER FARKAS: Unlikely before
 8
           Labor Day.
 9
                MR. HULME: No, not a chance.
10
                MS. BREEN: I only mention it
11
           because 2017 was our first summer, and
12
           for, like, three weeks I don't know
13
           where it was, but there was pile
14
           driving. And we spent a lot of time
15
           out at the house during the week, and
16
           you could really like, you know, drive
17
           a nail into your head because it's so
           rhythmic and so long.
18
                MR. HULME: And if there's more
19
20
           than one going on at the same time,
21
           that's got to be terrible.
22
                MS. BREEN: 4th of July to Labor
23
           Day is kind of sacred out here. And
24
           I'm not suggesting that you can't do
```

construction, I'm just suggesting the

pile driving. 1 2 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: We certainly put that on the list and we'll try to 3 make it so that --4 5 CLERK SADELI: Will you build all three houses at the same time? 6 7 MR. ANTONOCCI: Probably not. 8 Maybe two, or start one and then 9 stagger the build. See how things are when all approvals are in place. 10 11 MEMBER KRASNOW: You're waiting 12 for interest rates to go up more before 13 you start? 14 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All right. So I can make a motion to keep this open 15 16 and close --17 ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, we're going 18 to adjourn the public hearing open 19 until the next meeting date. 20 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Someone 21 second? 22 MEMBER KRASNOW: Aye. 23 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in favor? 24 (Aye said in unison.)

CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Thank you for

```
all coming. Thanks for listening.
1
                MEMBER MIZZI: I have one comment
 2
          before we go. I think just
 3
 4
           procedurally, I'm not sure it's the
 5
           case, but it seems to be the case,
 6
           we're inviting people to a Zoom
 7
           meeting, when I accept the invite to a
8
           Zoom meeting, I assume that the
9
           materials that are being shown are
10
           going to be available, and it sounds
11
           like people are just giving comments
12
          based on not, like -- if we're going to
          do Zoom, we should do a screen share,
13
           documents that people are looking at.
14
                MEMBER KRASNOW: And we need a
15
16
           speaker. We need an external speaker.
17
                MEMBER MIZZI: Otherwise it's
18
           wasting time if people are giving
           comments that are not relevant because
19
           they're not looking at the materials.
20
21
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Do we have
22
           anything else on the agenda today? I
23
           just had one item. (Handing).
24
                So Angela, what I did was -- I
```

thought I had so many copies of this.

- 1 Oh I do. Angela, what I did was I made
- one copy of what we're going to talk
- 3 about. I think this is what we're
- 4 looking at, and I copied the
- 5 transcript, I copied the transcript
- from the last date that we actually
- 7 voted on it, and I pasted it in the
- 8 file after the decision so that way it
- 9 will actually become part of the
- 10 decision so we can see what we talked
- 11 about. So this is what will go into
- 12 your office, and then we need to
- discuss this.
- 14 So here is my recommendation for
- decision. I'm sorry you're getting it
- today, but it basically lists the
- meetings that we talked about this. It
- was a relatively simple application
- 19 based on the comments and what took
- 20 place at the last meeting. If you look
- 21 at Page 4 after -- where it says
- therefore, I have the maximum lot
- 23 coverage variance at 3.7 percent is
- 24 granted. We have to talk about that.
- One of the conditions is the applicant

1 is required to pay the -- reimburse the 2 Village prior to a building permit 3 being issued for stenography, 4 consulting, and professional costs. 5 The letter -- okay. There's a note in this -- I made a note here because it 6 7 somehow has to be dealt with. The Building Inspector sent an applicant a 8 letter midstream that said that the 9 10 side yard is not compliant. The side yard is shown as being 20.3 feet, and 11 12 the minimum is supposed to be 20.8 13 feet, and there was no variance 14 requested for that. So I don't know, 15 do you have any explanation? 16 MR. HULME: We adjusted. 17 final version of the map we adjusted that side yard setback so that it 18 19 complied. 20 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. So I'll 21 take that out and then have Eric sign. 22 And then the other thing is your 23 survey -- you made a comment at the 24 last meeting that your survey showed

the lot coverage was 23.8 percent, but

25

1 what was applied for was 23.7 percent, so how do you want to deal with that? 2 MR. HULME: Well, I think what 3 4 happened was when we updated the survey 5 and reduced the side yard, the lot coverage was recalculated based on the 6 7 current conditions. So we'll need the larger relief. 8 9 CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: Also Joe, I 10 remember when you said this, we agreed 11 that they would put no extra solar panels on that side, but you write here 12 that there shall be no additional solar 13 14 panels added. In other words, is that -- I though you said we couldn't 15 16 prohibit that? 17 ATTORNEY PROKOP: You could if 18 it's going to be an impact. You think 19 it's --20 I quess, what CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'm saying is if they wanted to add a 21 22 solar panel in a place that's not 23 offensive to the neighbor --24 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. Not on

that side.

25

```
1
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I thought
 2
           there was some issue with the State,
 3
           you couldn't -- we can only prohibit
 4
           them --
 5
                CLERK SADELI: I think in a
           location.
 6
 7
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: -- language
 8
           that I think is okay.
 9
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: What about
10
           approving exterior lighting, you want
11
           to do that, or no, you don't care?
12
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I think we
13
           wanted to.
14
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. So I
           added that in. And then the ground
15
16
           floor, there was a comment by the
17
           applicant that the ground floor is to
18
           be used for storage and garage use
19
           only.
20
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: That's okay
           with me.
21
22
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. I asked
23
           him that. So then we -- so otherwise
24
           what I'd like to do basically is kind
           -- I know it's kind of backwards
25
```

```
1
           because you're getting this today, but
 2
           maybe we could vote to approve this,
           and then everybody take it with them
 3
 4
           and have, like, a week or two to edit
 5
           it if you want, and then we'll have
 6
           Eric sign it based on whatever comments
 7
           we get. Don't sign that one because I
 8
           have to make the adjustments that we
 9
           talked about.
10
                MEMBER KRASNOW: So we're
           approving it as potentially modified?
11
12
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, with the
13
           comments that we got today.
14
                MEMBER MIZZI: Approved as to be
15
           noted.
16
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: If that's okay.
17
                MEMBER KRASNOW: This helps him so
           he can get started, is that why we're
18
19
           trying to --
20
                CLERK SADELI: Yeah, so once we
21
           have the signed decision and he pays
22
           the fees to the Zoning Board, which
23
           he's already paid the first fee, so it
24
           would be for the second meeting, then
```

he can get his permit.

25

```
1
                MEMBER MIZZI: Approved subject to
           there's no objections to the further
 2
           modifications.
 3
 4
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, you can
 5
           make that motion.
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: I'll make a
 6
 7
           motion to approve it.
 8
                MEMBER CASHIN: I'm going to
 9
           abstain, unless it matters because I
10
           wasn't at this meeting and I don't know
11
           anything about this.
12
                MEMBER MIZZI: I was at prior
13
           meetings, I was not at the last
14
           meeting, so I can vote on it, but I --
15
           you know.
16
                MEMBER CASHIN: If you don't need
17
           my vote, I'll abstain.
                MEMBER FARKAS: You should make
18
19
           the motion should be for Eric Saretsky
20
           to sign the approval.
21
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: My name is
22
           already on it.
23
                MEMBER FARKAS: I understand.
24
           You're going to get all the comments
25
           and you're going to say this is okay,
```

```
this is not okay.
 1
                MEMBER KRASNOW: As noted slash
 2
           modified.
 3
 4
                MEMBER MIZZI: I'm just saying I
 5
           was at other meetings that are noted
           here, I wasn't at the last meeting.
 6
 7
           I'm willing to -- if it's okay for me
 8
           to vote, I'm willing to vote.
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in favor?
 9
10
                (Aye said in unison.)
11
                MEMBER CASHIN: One abstention.
                ATTORNEY PROKOP: We can close --
12
13
                MEMBER KRASNOW: All right. Make
14
           a motion to adjourn the meeting.
15
                MEMBER CASHIN:
                                 Second.
16
                CHAIRMAN SARETSKY: All in favor?
17
               (Aye said in unison.)
18
                (The meeting was adjourned at
19
           11:36 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 151
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, AMY THOMAS, a Court Reporter and Notary	
4	Public, for and within the State of New York,	
5	do hereby certify:	
6	THAT the above and foregoing contains a	
7	true and correct transcription of the	
8	proceedings held on April 15, 2023, and were	
9	reported by me.	
10	I further certify that I am not related to	
11	any of the parties to this action by blood or	
12	by marriage and that I am in no way	
13	interested in the outcome of this matter	
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
15	hand this 21st day of APRIL, 2023.	
16		
17		
18	AMY THOMAS	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	145 16 15	41.15.65.16		1.42.10
A	145:16,17	41:15 65:16	applied 111:1	armed 43:10
<b>a.m</b> 1:5 2:2 150:19	adjustments 26:21	101:15 103:5	140:21 146:1	arrow 83:14
<b>ability</b> 107:3 111:6	148:8	107:5 136:18	applies 16:16	asked 8:11,11,23
<b>able</b> 62:2 96:11	advantageous	137:18 143:24	<b>apply</b> 16:15 43:7	11:16 60:9 70:9
97:1 106:3 127:1	59:12	144:1	96:4 140:24	70:15 71:4,10
<b>Absolutely</b> 38:16	advice 53:9	<b>angle</b> 84:14 89:11	appreciate 33:8	147:22
<b>abstain</b> 149:9,17	<b>advise</b> 139:11	angles 17:16	36:5 38:20	asking 2:24 3:16,18
abstention 150:11	aerial 19:5,8 81:16	<b>answer</b> 31:17 53:20	approach 93:8	3:20 7:21,24,24
accept 143:7	<b>agenda</b> 2:9 143:22	139:18,19	approval 4:12	8:4 10:15,20 11:4
access 60:13 64:9	<b>ago</b> 27:1 40:23	answers 36:2	61:12 67:15 69:14	11:13,22,25 12:8
101:7 131:11	41:12 111:10	Antonacci 79:8	111:5 112:3	13:5,7,12 14:3,11
accessory 11:23	117:2,2	82:23 86:4,4	149:20	15:4 18:25 19:6
12:2 15:1 18:1,3	<b>agree</b> 38:25 65:1,11	ANTONOCCI	approvals 142:10	27:9 30:8 32:13
accommodate 5:22	76:1 79:8 111:3	78:17 81:17,24	<b>approve</b> 32:7 47:25	43:1 52:10 55:2
38:2 115:10	112:3 126:14	82:8 85:13 87:1	52:24 58:12 89:25	72:12 98:11
accommodated	129:3 140:4	90:15 95:12,16	113:1 133:12	assessment 41:17
2:18 86:19	agreed 72:16 73:3	96:19 99:2 103:14	139:15 148:2	associated 42:12
accomplish 5:15	75:21 89:21 92:9	119:4,11 124:23	149:7	assume 143:8
6:1 61:13	146:10	127:24 129:7,18	approved 2:25	assuming 25:13
accomplished 6:15	agreement 70:9	130:2,11,19 131:6	42:19 44:22 48:9	attached 25:4
6:19	ahead 30:20 61:23	142:7	56:10 107:17	attempt 133:2
achieve 4:23 5:8,15	74:24 108:15	anybody 34:1	134:1 140:13,25	attorney 1:19 11:18
44:15 73:10	109:20 133:10	37:11 39:19,21	148:14 149:1	12:13,22,25 16:24
acre 49:5	akin 78:20 84:5	66:17 84:22 99:9	approves 125:14	23:16 24:7,11
acting 91:25	Alex 86:4	anybody's 98:2	approving 147:10	30:14 31:4,8
acting 91.23	aligned 29:8	anymore 38:17	148:11	36:18 38:7 40:7
actual 42:22 43:18	Allegiance 2:5,7	anyway 30:15 46:1	approximately	40:13 41:13 46:8
70:25	alleviate 60:15	84:7,9	120:9 121:21	46:11,14,18 49:23
add 107:14 110:9	allow 90:4 92:22	apologies 33:16	<b>April</b> 1:4 151:8,15	52:4,25 56:19
	109:7	apologize 97:7	apt 110:21	57:11 60:3 64:25
110:11 146:21	allowable 103:7	115:25	<b>Aram</b> 5:9 9:16	65:10 72:21 73:1
added 146:14	allowance 73:24	apparently 50:24	10:22 15:9 21:20	73:18 74:9,17,25
147:15	allowed 17:11	APPEALS 1:2	23:2 25:11 26:19	77:5,25 78:3,7
addition 69:2	allowing 95:8	applicable 96:1	27:9 31:11,24	79:4,11,16 90:9
additional 9:22	allows 24:21	applicant 41:21	97:6,7	91:14 92:24 95:24
24:8 42:23 58:8	115:15	73:21 134:24	Aram's 41:17	96:13 97:6 104:17
61:18 92:1 146:13	alternatives 87:10	138:6,21 144:25	architect 5:14	109:16 120:19
address 8:12 9:2	Alvillar 38:20	145:8 147:17	32:19	123:6 134:2,8,25
53:15 108:11	39:14,14	applicant's 134:24	Architectural	135:7,16,22
130:6 131:21	amicably 138:21	application 15:20	125:10 126:10	137:14,18,24
addressed 4:4	amount 6:11 24:23	31:5 33:22 40:18	area 42:24 56:15	139:11,18 142:17
53:16	24:23 45:3,5	41:25 42:14 44:4	61:10 68:13,23	143:21 145:20
adherence 34:21	59:10	52:6 53:10,12	70:6,25 76:20	145.21 145.20
adjacent 62:23	Amy 1:24 151:3,18	54:12 56:6 65:7	103:8 111:11	140:17,24 147:9
67:21				147:14,22 148:12
adjourn 23:15	analogy 73:21 analysis 19:15 22:6	66:2,17 69:4	119:14,17 122:7 122:12	148:16 149:4
66:19,22 142:18	26:10 68:21 70:20	79:18,20,23 87:2		
150:14		137:25 138:8,9	areas 108:21	automatic 16:12
adjourned 150:18	106:7,8	144:18	argument 58:23	automatically 65:4
adjusted 86:21	<b>Angela</b> 1:20 40:23	applications 65:1	argument's 46:4	65:14

,	Ī		İ	1
available 36:11	becoming 54:16	80:6,16,17	89:21 90:13 92:7	<b>camp</b> 10:10
100:23 143:10	bedrooms 5:17	blocks 77:25 78:4	102:13 113:18	car 64:21
average 26:15	beginning 12:20	<b>blood</b> 151:11	115:12 117:12	care 4:8 82:16
averages 72:3	31:6 32:17 41:6	blueprint 140:1	125:20 129:25	115:20 147:11
avoid 9:13 138:2	137:21	<b>board</b> 1:2 2:16,23	130:24 131:2	cars 64:23 131:3
<b>Aye</b> 40:2 67:3	<b>behalf</b> 40:21	4:2 10:9 19:12,17	133:11,15,20	case 17:4,20 26:25
142:22,24 150:10	<b>believe</b> 2:10 4:11	20:14 26:20,25	142:5,9	61:3 68:17 115:20
150:17	4:25 5:1 14:4,20	37:19 38:21 40:22	buildable 130:16	143:5,5
	17:4 35:3 50:15	42:18 43:13 44:4	<b>builder</b> 28:11 90:4	<b>cases</b> 19:13
<u>B</u>	51:17 60:9 70:10	47:3,19 52:3,7,12	<b>building</b> 6:1 16:17	<b>Cashin</b> 1:13 3:13
back 24:5 25:13,21	81:13 85:10 87:2	52:20,22,23 53:4	17:11 19:16 23:23	7:18 12:2,5,8
26:3 42:6,15	<b>bend</b> 27:2	55:9 67:15 85:15	25:1 31:15 51:23	14:21 21:20 22:10
47:14 60:5,7	<b>benefit</b> 21:5 48:22	86:8,18 90:18	55:15 58:5 75:21	22:19 23:9 29:14
62:13 72:17 80:14	48:23 86:17 87:20	91:15,17,24 92:22	84:17 89:10 96:11	29:20,25 31:11,16
81:1,1 85:2 89:11	87:22 95:19 99:23	92:23 93:1,2,12	102:9 108:22	37:5 39:12,24
90:6 97:16 100:25	100:1 127:25	97:11 117:5	125:13 127:10	47:1,6,12,24 57:9
102:24 109:22	berated 138:4	119:21 120:20	136:12 145:2,8	86:2 94:24 100:9
113:9 119:20	<b>best</b> 79:13 87:9	121:2,3,10 125:10	<b>builds</b> 80:24	102:11 121:17,19
137:21	88:13 90:6 127:13	125:18,25 126:10	<b>built</b> 47:25 50:19	128:16,22 149:8
background 32:20	better 55:10 63:21	126:21 133:23	50:24 51:7,23	149:16 150:11,15
67:18	67:10 79:13 86:23	134:11 148:22	77:8 88:6 93:10	caught 66:9
backing 8:19 20:21 backs 54:21	87:18 92:10 99:18	<b>border</b> 3:3 135:24	93:17,19 99:19,21	center 16:17 62:7
backwards 147:25	105:6 106:19	borrow 51:1	101:3 103:17	131:7 132:6
bad 63:4	107:9 114:6 115:9	bought 105:22	114:2,10,12 117:2	centered 73:14
<b>balance</b> 47:13	131:18,20 132:5	<b>bound</b> 57:9,11,16	120:7 128:7,15,19	95:19 96:13 132:7
133:3	beyond 112:25	boundary 17:24	128:19 133:8	132:19
<b>balancing</b> 123:7,10	<b>big</b> 50:8,19,23 51:6 102:20 104:21	80:22 <b>Box</b> 92:25	bump 32:8,12	centering 96:23 certain 4:3 76:3
based 4:13 9:18			<b>bumping</b> 29:12	
13:23 40:12 44:4	105:9 116:20 140:19	<b>Breen</b> 78:1,24 81:5 81:5,22 82:1,5,12	<b>bunny</b> 81:10 94:13 124:8,13	117:7,7 127:13 129:11
57:13,15 70:16	bigger 35:5 53:25	82:15 83:2,17,21	BURNER 28:12	certainly 46:24
74:11,13 91:5	59:17 96:20 99:22	83:25 84:6,12	busy 8:20 71:14	76:16 103:8 142:2
109:14 121:12	110:12 119:13,15	85:4 86:24 89:14	buy 100:11	certified 65:23
122:2 123:1	110.12 119.13,13	90:12,16 93:23		certify 151:5,10
133:11 135:1,2	biggest 113:8	94:2,5,12 100:1	C	Chairman 1:10 2:3
140:7 143:12	birthday 36:16	111:6 124:7 125:9	C 151:1,1	2:8,12 3:9,14 4:18
144:19 146:6	<b>bit</b> 10:2 30:22 34:7	125:12,20 127:19	calculated 17:18	5:2,12 6:25 7:5
148:6	42:3 59:17 88:20	128:5 129:4,15,20	calculation 17:7	8:1,8 9:14 14:7,17
basically 2:20,23	88:24 100:19	131:1,16 133:17	calculations 70:24	15:8 20:20 23:14
4:16 27:12,14	103:7 107:20	133:24 136:7,11	calculus 110:25	23:25 25:23 26:18
91:9 106:1 125:14	113:23 119:16	141:10,22	calendar 40:23	27:5,23 28:7,14
138:14 144:16	131:7	brick 106:1 113:20	41:1 65:6	29:1,4 31:22
147:24	Blacksberg 34:5,6	bring 3:9 91:21	California 62:17	32:16 33:9,15,20
<b>bay</b> 42:21 49:1	34:16 35:12 36:4	97:10	call 2:4 28:4,6	34:4,13 35:9,15
50:19 51:7 85:7	36:25 37:12	bringing 18:22	33:21,24 66:14	36:22 37:7,17,24
112:17 117:16	block 11:8 77:10	brought 76:9	80:23 81:11 92:11	38:10 39:16,22
<b>Beach</b> 1:7 41:23	78:5 84:7,9 89:12	<b>buffer</b> 130:13	131:13 135:13	40:1,3,15,20 41:4
111:12	116:20	<b>build</b> 21:13 58:17	called 2:1	41:15 47:4 49:14
<b>bear</b> 100:19	blocking 77:19	59:3 87:12 89:1	calling 27:13	49:24 50:4,10

51.5.11.14.10.22	1 . 1 20 6 21 14	115 14	105.16	72.00
51:5,11,14,19,22	check 29:6 31:14	115:14	complies 125:16	considerable 73:22
52:1,8,17 55:4,16	118:4	collection 64:22	<b>compliment</b> 93:20	considerably
58:20 59:6 61:16	<b>choice</b> 45:19 63:15	Colucci 21:4	comply 6:20 110:23	117:14,15
61:21 62:1,6,10	<b>choose</b> 98:6	Colucci's 14:20	compromise 88:13	consideration
62:25 63:3,11,17	<b>choosing</b> 45:14,22	combine 27:24	92:10	20:14 79:22 91:23
64:24 66:12,18,24	circumstances	Combined 16:24	comps 5:6 27:12	119:14 123:23
67:2,4 69:7,16,21	53:15 57:16	17:1	conceived 74:18	124:2
70:1,4,12 71:16	123:25 124:3	come 15:20 24:22	concern 38:22 39:9	considerations
71:21 72:1,8,14	claim 95:24	37:16,22 38:3	54:25 60:15 70:19	123:8,12 136:3
72:24 73:7 74:2,7	clarify 34:9	47:2 49:19 52:3	105:14 117:5	considered 34:10
74:24 76:4 77:1,3	clarifying 10:22	60:23 89:5 90:3	140:23	34:14 37:3
79:24 80:12,15,19	23:13	100:25 117:19	concerned 55:6	considering 10:25
81:13,20,25 83:7	cleaner 64:12	126:5 127:12	91:10	consistent 26:22
83:10 84:3,13	clear 5:4	134:21,23	concerning 120:5	111:4,22 123:13
85:10 86:1 89:19	clearly 9:24	comes 57:21 120:16	concerns 35:16	construct 87:25
90:20 92:2,16,19	Clerk 1:20 2:11 3:8	<b>coming</b> 64:22 90:2	36:1 37:8 54:11	115:16
93:4 94:8 98:18	3:11 24:10 27:4	143:1	108:16	constructed 6:9
99:13 100:5	28:5 33:25 35:11	comment 32:4	concluded 110:25	constructing 88:2
103:19 104:9,19	36:8,14,20,24	38:14,18 48:2	<b>concur</b> 20:19	construction 35:23
107:21 108:8	37:19 38:12,17	77:13 79:17,18	condensers 28:8	87:14 106:11,12
111:2,25 112:10	39:20 40:4,17,25	96:2 119:19	condition 48:4	140:8,14 141:25
114:15 117:4	41:11,19 49:13	134:16 137:8	58:12 60:12 61:12	consult 85:11
118:2,15 119:8	50:21 51:10,13	143:2 145:23	96:4 126:14	consulting 145:4
121:15,23 124:15	52:16 65:5,21	147:16	conditions 43:3	contacted 138:3
125:15,24 126:7	66:4,16,21 85:17	<b>comments</b> 33:5,23	73:25 115:7	contains 151:6
126:20 129:8,16	85:23 93:2 95:1	38:21 66:15 130:6	126:16 144:25	<b>context</b> 42:15 122:8
129:23 130:3	100:7,10,21 101:9	134:6,18,19 135:2	146:7	122:9
131:12,17,25	101:19,22 106:22	135:15,17 136:4	configuration 44:5	continue 10:11
132:15,20,25	108:5,10,15	143:11,19 144:19	44:6 78:21 110:9	38:8,11 66:22
133:4,13 134:4	109:20 119:18,25	148:6,13 149:24	110:11	70:2
135:12,20,24	124:18 125:11	commodity 45:25	configure 63:23	continuity 111:13
136:9,14,23 137:1	130:17,21 136:21	<b>common</b> 84:16	confirmed 43:25	113:12 116:25
140:5 142:2,14,20	136:24 137:7	communicate	<b>conform</b> 24:19	contrast 83:4
142:23,25 146:9	142:5 147:5	134:10	26:11	<b>control</b> 87:23 114:5
146:20 147:1,7,12	148:20	communication	conformance 6:13	115:22
147:20 149:6,21	<b>client</b> 44:10 67:17	137:16	26:8	<b>copied</b> 144:4,5
150:9,16	85:12	communion 36:15	conforming 45:22	<b>copies</b> 3:7 24:8
<b>chance</b> 112:23	clones 129:25	community 14:3,5	conformity 123:20	143:25
141:9	close 39:17 61:10	123:3	123:24	<b>copy</b> 24:9 40:13
<b>change</b> 30:9 49:6	86:13 142:16	compact 35:21	conforms 14:2	46:13,20 144:2
105:11	150:12	compared 2:21	116:17	<b>corner</b> 4:5 11:2
<b>changed</b> 43:11 44:2	<b>closer</b> 3:4 76:9	completed 43:13	confused 118:9	12:16,18 14:18,21
44:24 47:15 53:14	82:24 117:13	completely 75:17	confusing 23:11	15:24 20:10 22:7
<b>changes</b> 18:24 30:6	<b>closet</b> 32:9,25	compliance 17:14	connection 33:3	26:13 27:1,3
30:19 40:12	<b>code</b> 6:6 7:15,17,21	17:16 18:11 26:17	consensus 91:22	31:25 39:1
character 20:12	13:24 15:25 26:17	99:11	<b>consider</b> 13:20,21	corners 28:9
113:21 126:3	56:20 68:4 104:18	compliant 145:10	19:19 20:23 35:25	<b>correct</b> 5:11 13:14
129:12	104:18,20 106:15	complied 127:7	53:7,11,13 56:23	14:14 20:2 23:6
<b>chat</b> 124:16	109:7,17,18	145:19	57:14 134:20	51:24 76:7 77:1
	, ,			
I	-	-	-	-

90:15 97:14 100:5	<b>data</b> 19:9	<b>deeper</b> 119:12	139:3	60:17,19 61:4,8
100:6 102:3 110:2	data 19:9 date 36:8,23 38:9	definitely 86:25	differently 29:11	62:4,13,18 63:6
130:2,2 133:22	,	114:3 117:24	53:16 96:9 128:20	, ,
135:15 151:7	65:2,8 142:19 144:6			63:19,20,21,21,24
		definition 56:17	difficult 39:7	63:25 64:5,8,16
correctly 5:20	daughter 35:13	<b>definitive</b> 134:14	digital 101:15	64:23 130:9,9
corridors 91:2	daughter's 36:15	135:22	<b>digitally</b> 100:23	driveways 39:3,4
cost 28:12,25 35:6	Dave 38:18	<b>degree</b> 127:8	101:8	60:15 62:19 64:13
costs 145:4	<b>David</b> 39:14	demolish 124:24	<b>dimension</b> 18:5,6,7	<b>driving</b> 136:7,13
Counsel 137:12	<b>Davis</b> 2:15 3:16	demonstrated	18:13	141:2,14 142:1
140:4	4:16,25 5:25 7:13	107:4	dimensions 20:11	<b>Dune</b> 1:6 8:5,14,20
count 31:12	7:19 8:7 9:5,9	demonstrates	direction 32:6	9:1,23 12:17 15:6
County 138:17	10:13 11:10,13,20	106:9	directly 3:1	19:22 20:21 21:5
139:4,5,14,24	12:4,7,10,12,15	<b>Department</b> 21:11	discuss 144:13	21:15 22:12,14,16
couple 43:2 100:25	12:24 13:1,3,8,14	125:14 139:15	<b>discussed</b> 68:3 75:8	24:23 27:4 38:23
107:23 108:19	13:21 14:14,19,23	140:17	125:4	39:1,2,3,7,15
111:9 136:19	17:13,25 18:9	depending 59:9	discussing 54:11	40:18 42:1 55:24
course 114:7	19:1,20 20:2,17	depends 25:14 73:1	<b>discussion</b> 30:18	67:21 86:15
Court 1:24 4:14	21:9 22:22 23:4	depicted 42:12	33:18 54:3 90:24	100:14 108:14
57:7 82:13 85:20	23:20 25:16 26:4	<b>depiction</b> 6:16	106:6	120:5
151:3	26:16 27:21 28:21	14:15 48:8	dissolving 138:14	<b>Dunes</b> 1:1 93:20
Cove 2:10 3:2 8:12	30:5 31:2,7 33:8	depicts 3:24	<b>District</b> 42:3	99:24 104:14
8:18 10:17 14:8	33:14 40:11	depth 6:5 17:21	126:12	112:15 128:10
19:25 20:5,24	day 36:13,16,19,20	21:17 78:10	divided 52:19	duplicate 128:25
21:3 24:22 26:13	65:25 66:2 67:24	<b>described</b> 19:6 27:9	120:10	
27:1 31:20 34:6,9	88:5 136:8 141:8	designed 58:3 91:5	<b>dividing</b> 45:14	E 151:1,1
34:10 35:1,14	141:23 151:15	details 70:17 71:5	54:20	e-mail 101:10,20,23
37:4 38:5,24 40:5	days 66:7	103:4	documents 143:14	102:1 105:4 137:2
covenant 21:13	deal 105:9 146:2	determinable	doing 16:18 21:21	earlier 112:1
134:25 135:5	dealing 11:6 13:22	123:21	25:22 32:12 44:19	earliest 140:11
covenants 87:17	dealt 145:7	determination 45:9	61:5 65:18 84:14	early 69:5 91:7,11
94:10	<b>Debuski</b> 50:6 <b>DEC</b> 112:24	52:5 58:11	114:23 131:21	easement 60:21
coverage 7:14,18		determined 121:2	135:8,11 <b>donation</b> 124:19	61:14 64:7
7:19 13:5,9 27:16 27:20 31:13 34:18	<b>decide</b> 53:6 59:9 90:5,10 93:6	detract 85:2 develop 76:20	double 10:18 118:4	easements 130:18
34:21,24 144:23	133:20	-	downstairs 5:17	easiest 101:18
145:25 146:6	decided 26:25	developed 133:18 developer 101:1	dramatically	east 16:6 23:9 62:4
covered 24:25 25:2	52:20 60:6	Developing 34:20	105:12	62:6 63:6,11
27:15 134:5	<b>decision</b> 36:3 46:9	developing 34.20 development 45:6	drawing 4:22 49:14	77:11 79:5 85:6
create 34:22 47:20	46:12,19 47:13	128:7 129:6	drawings 107:4	117:11 118:20,20
68:7	48:3,4 52:13 53:3	dictate 126:8	137:17,19	119:1,6 128:25
created 43:5	53:5 56:7,9 57:10	129:14	drew 91:2	129:1 130:8,10,14
creation 67:15	135:1 144:8,10,15	difference 79:2	drive 141:16	eastern 17:24
	, ,		drive 141.10 driver 140:19	eats 30:4
crossroad 35:18 89:20	148:21 deck 12:20 15:1	98:2 <b>different</b> 19:21		echoing 55:5,22
89:20 current 54:7 146:7			driveway 8:4,17	edge 29:19
current 54: / 146: / cut 59:2	25:3,7,9,21 decrease 59:10	28:9 29:9 56:2,3 57:12 86:16 88:20	9:4,22,23 10:6 20:24 21:3 22:15	edit 148:4
Cut 37.4	decrease 59:10 dedicating 43:22	91:5 99:6 112:14	20:24 21:3 22:13 22:24 24:17 38:23	effect 34:24 35:2
D	decided 130:19	113:23 126:3	39:8 59:24 60:2	125:2
dangerous 20:22	deep 42:10	129:13 138:24	60:16,16,16,17,17	effected 87:8 91:13
8	uccp 42.10	147.13 130.44	00.10,10,10,17,17	
	l		l	l

effecting 91:4	exact 128:25	103:7 106:13	73:5,6,15,17 74:5	flipped 22:21
effective 28:13,25	exact 128.23 exactly 3:25 4:10	103.7 100.13	74:15,16 75:2,24	floor 104:7 113:17
131:9	45:7 46:1 122:25	117:17 120:20	77:16,17,19,21	113:17 114:22
efficiency 28:2	127:22 128:1,21	135:3 136:1	78:11,12 80:4	147:16,17
either 81:8 130:15	127.22 128.1,21	Farkas 1:12 12:11	93:11 97:4,18,19	floors 113:16
<b>Elevation</b> 21:18	example 73:12	22:17 25:11 26:2	97:22 98:15,22	follow 70:10 79:25
elevations 23:17,18	91:20 128:14	31:19 36:6 37:14	103:12 104:6	111:4 117:6
23:19,23,23,24	exceed 72:9 93:11	39:25 41:2,9	107:23 108:20	<b>following</b> 36:11,21
101:13 108:17	excellent 19:5	48:16,20 49:3,7	107.23 108.20	118:3
eliminate 21:23,25	exception 111:20	50:16 51:1 59:20	112:5 113:24	<b>foot</b> 2:22 6:3,4
59:18	exception 111.20 excess 112:5	59:23 62:3,8,12	112.3 113.24	12:16 25:21 32:10
emphasizes 71:14	Excluding 13:4	63:5 64:15 67:1	118:1,6,10,12,13	32:24 47:21,22,22
71:15	excuse 73:3,19 97:7	69:11,18 72:12,23	118:14 119:3	48:11 54:14 60:1
enables 19:11	138:3 139:8	74:6 76:22 79:10	120:8 122:15,17	60:23 68:16 75:6
enclosed 27:19	exhausted 92:5	82:3,10 83:23	131:23 133:5	93:8 95:18,22
29:21	exist 127:4,6	84:8 89:9 91:6	145:11,13	96:10 97:2 98:23
encourage 135:17	existing 59:7 81:11	93:25 94:3,21	figured 59:22	103:1,16,20
endeavor 56:9	81:17 94:17 99:24	98:7 99:9 107:14	file 23:22 41:14	112:11 121:10
ended 30:18	122:2 123:24	107:25 113:1	144:8	122:10,12 132:6
engineering 21:10	124:3	118:11,17,23	<b>filed</b> 44:3 138:13	133:6
enjoyment 35:1	exists 45:21	119:6 129:2 130:5	138:16	footage 115:3,22
entering 128:10	<b>expect</b> 116:10	130:15,23 131:4	<b>final</b> 119:19 121:6	116:23
entities 139:3	experience 126:21	131:19 132:11	145:17	<b>footprint</b> 26:7 30:9
<b>entitled</b> 17:23 89:1	<b>explain</b> 43:11 51:6	140:6,15 141:1,7	<b>find</b> 5:5 28:15 39:5	43:3 94:16 103:14
envelope 55:15	explanation 114:16	149:18,23	39:8 56:10 84:15	113:14 115:13
57:23 58:5 112:25	145:15	<b>farther</b> 77:8 78:12	101:25 125:6,7	footprints 103:17
envelopes 119:5	expressed 37:8	<b>favor</b> 39:25 40:1	fine 65:15	<b>forcing</b> 138:4,5
environmental	exterior 147:10	67:2 142:23 150:9	<b>finish</b> 95:14	foregoing 151:6
41:17	external 143:16	150:16	<b>first</b> 2:10 7:13	<b>forever</b> 44:9 105:23
<b>equal</b> 16:21	extra 3:11 32:8	February 41:3	15:22 24:1 36:15	<b>forget</b> 97:23
<b>equally</b> 89:8 120:10	132:3 146:11	<b>fee</b> 148:23	47:1 81:7 85:16	forgive 46:16
<b>Eric</b> 1:10 65:11		<b>feel</b> 111:8 116:7	100:12,17 113:10	102:17
97:17 98:12 130:5	F	feeling 140:3	113:15 133:9	<b>form</b> 19:10 41:18
145:21 148:6	<b>F</b> 151:1	fees 148:22	139:5 141:11	42:6
149:19	face 22:12,16,17	<b>feet</b> 6:5 7:23,24,25	148:23	formerly 50:6
especially 8:19	faces 8:16	11:15,16,17,24	<b>fit</b> 4:23 57:23	<b>forth</b> 102:24
essentially 47:17	facing 37:4	12:1,19 17:8,12	104:11,14 114:21	forward 55:22
established 15:6	fact 71:15 85:5	17:22,24 18:20	fits 55:2	80:20 81:1,1
76:19	111:22 114:5	25:12,13,25 32:8	<b>five</b> 14:8 24:3 65:25	83:13 84:21 90:6
establishing 10:24	131:10	32:24 34:20 42:9	66:2 102:25 121:7	<b>four</b> 11:7,10 28:8,9
estimated 103:11	<b>facts</b> 109:15 <b>fair</b> 56:4 90:8 103:6	42:9,10,20,22	fix 15:15	28:24 64:15 95:11
event 136:17		43:6 44:7,11,12	flag 33:12 44:20,21	106:2
everybody 3:7 24:8	<b>fall</b> 14:10 20:12 140:11	44:14,17,18 45:1	54:15,24 55:25	four-tenth 116:15
54:19 86:15 88:23	falls 14:5	45:2,18 46:5	56:18 59:24 62:24	four-tenths 16:12
89:3,6 117:20	familiar 47:7	48:22 57:3,20	93:22 112:21	16:14,19,19,21
148:3	far 4:6 15:11 25:19	58:24 59:2 68:5,6	113:2	17:3,3,9,9 24:19
everybody's 134:6	27:5 41:25 44:15	68:9,9,10,12,15	<b>flagpole</b> 60:24	43:6 48:6 58:6,14
evil 92:13	55:14 102:18	68:24,25 69:15	61:15	95:18,20 96:17,24
<b>evils</b> 92:11	JJ.17 102.10	71:24 72:2,4,6	flexibility 98:17	97:3,13,23 98:21
	l	l	l	

	<u> </u>		l	l
99:3,5,8,16	given 3:23 15:6	106:19 107:11	14:1 21:17 23:24	106:22 129:4
110:17,21 115:21	139:24	108:22,23,25	84:16 134:23	134:5 136:25
132:12,13	<b>gives</b> 65:17	109:2,3 110:5	147:15,17	heard 100:18 112:1
Frank 100:14	giving 53:9 60:20	112:24 113:18,19	guess 37:3 50:1	137:5 140:8
115:23	64:10 143:11,18	113:20 114:4,8	52:9 55:1,17,20	<b>hearing</b> 36:7 38:6,8
fraternal 129:22	<b>go</b> 3:15 7:1 8:8 10:5	116:16,21,24	74:2 78:16 96:7	57:15 100:8 106:7
<b>front</b> 3:3,21 4:7 6:3	10:5 15:15 23:7	119:20 127:15,16	96:22 99:14 107:8	142:18
7:12,22 8:2 10:14	25:12 33:17 55:24	127:17,25 129:21	108:17 121:20	height 106:13,15
10:16,18,19,24	60:5,7 65:14	134:22 135:5	132:25 135:25	106:18 109:17,18
11:3,10,22 15:2	74:24 81:22 86:14	137:15 138:2,12	146:20	114:1,7
15:12,24 16:2	102:7 106:14	138:15 139:3,5,22	guys 38:24 57:21	held 33:18 151:8
20:7,8,9 26:14,19	108:15,25 109:5,5	139:23 140:18,19	100:20 101:5	help 33:6 55:11,20
44:16 50:24 54:12	109:6,7,8,20	140:25 141:2,20	114:6 132:1 134:6	93:6 112:6 132:21
56:17 59:16 60:13	110:22 112:25	142:17 143:10,12	140:1	132:22,23
60:20,24 61:14	114:4 115:13	144:2 146:18	H	helpful 39:6,9 48:7
63:13 106:2	121:9,18 122:2	149:8,24,25	half 21:18 49:5	49:17 54:1 56:12
109:22 113:9	128:8 129:20	good 3:24 41:23	naii 21:18 49:5 54:20 98:8	62:22 115:24
137:13	133:23 139:4,20	63:4 76:17 87:20	54:20 98:8 hallway 32:10,24	helps 71:17 83:15
fronts 54:21	142:12 143:3	107:8 114:8	hand 34:1 151:15	132:4 148:17
fully 20:19 140:13	144:11	121:25	<b>Handing</b> 143:23	hereunto 151:14
<b>further</b> 43:8 149:2	goes 9:22,23 10:4	Google 49:21	handle 138:25	hey 127:2
151:10	25:10,14 62:7	gotten 117:8	hands 44:8	<b>hi</b> 100:13
future 112:7	78:12	Grande 100:11,13	hang 134:6	high 69:23 109:5,6
G	going 2:13 4:10	100:14,22 101:21	happen 33:10	111:23 113:25
garage 147:18	5:14 9:15,15 10:8	101:25 102:7,16	138:12	114:14 115:14
Gary 50:7 51:15	15:9 19:8 21:10	103:21 104:10	happened 66:10	higher 108:20,24
gathered 103:25	21:12 22:22 27:11	105:3 106:24	73:23 137:25	108:25 114:2
General 1:3	28:22 29:22 30:7	107:6,19 108:4	146:4	118:18
gentleman 88:15	30:9,12,17 32:7	grant 44:24 94:6,9	happening 56:1	highest 9:9
117:23 119:23	32:13,18,22,23,25	115:6,11 121:11 126:1	77:12 88:8 134:21	Historic 126:11 history 42:3
Gentlemen 2:12	33:4 35:18,25	granted 3:19 42:5	happens 15:3	•
Gessin 64:17	37:2,15,21,24 38:2,6 39:1 40:11	42:23 43:2 47:19	happy 88:15,16	hold 41:18 home 62:14 84:19
Gessin's 50:15	40:16,18 41:20	47:24 75:22 98:13	hard 15:10 32:7	109:2 114:3
getting 54:10 73:22	44:7 46:14 47:8	121:14 123:15,19	34:7,12	116:11 117:14
80:3,5 96:20	48:11,17 49:16	121.14 123.13,19	hardship 34:22	125:7,8 126:18
99:15,17 106:20	55:22 59:21 61:17		35:4 127:10	homeowner 45:12
131:9 144:15	66:19 70:5 73:10	granting 87:15 97:20	132:16	homes 5:6 71:8,23
148:1	84:6,24 85:2	grated 76:1	Harvey 50:15,16	79:14,14 80:1,24
gigantic 89:17	86:15 88:5,9,17	great 5:24 37:12	64:5	83:16 84:18 86:10
give 2:19 15:10	88:22,23,25 89:5	38:4 56:12 70:18	hate 125:22	86:11,13,18,21
17:17 27:11 40:7	89:10,12,15 91:13	88:10 101:4	he'll 28:11 64:22	92:7,9 93:18
41:16,20 46:13	93:16,19 96:15	105:23 107:6	head 79:3 141:17	96:21 99:20
55:12 61:13,17	97:9,16 98:1	132:4	headed 20:3 26:19	104:21,25 107:17
73:15 74:10 76:21	100:12,19 101:3	greater 18:17 70:7	70:14 74:3	104.21,23 107.17
91:17 114:16	100.12,19 101.3	72:11,13,19 79:6	Health 21:11	110:1,6 112:4,15
128:13 129:11	102.4,0,20,24,23	99:1 114:19	139:15 140:17	110.1,6 112.4,13
132:24 135:14	105:10,13,18,19	grid 27:12	hear 34:7,8,12	115:4,8 116:24
136:17	105:10,13,18,19	ground 3:1 6:14	53:21 100:20	117:1,12,16
	103.21,22 100.1,3	510unu 3.1 0.14		117.1,12,10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	I

118:12 126:3	82:21,22,24,24	131:8 132:18,22	improves 77:24	<b>invite</b> 143:7
honest 114:5	83:1 84:24 85:9	133:2,10,14,22	inaudible 42:12	inviting 143:6
115:19	87:13,18,21 89:10	135:6,10 137:11	102:19 111:9	involved 43:22
hopefully 138:21	89:17,18,22 90:5	137:17 139:17	inches 118:16	52:12 125:19
hoping 5:13 44:14	90:13 95:8 98:5	140:4,10,17 141:5	included 47:18	Irwin 1:11 10:7
68:7	99:19 102:10,20	141:9,19 145:16	includes 25:16	55:23 89:24 92:6
house 4:6,22 5:18	102:23 103:6,18	146:3	including 39:11	94:9 136:2
5:23 6:1,8 8:13,16	105:8 106:2,25	hurt 84:18	113:16	Irwin's 55:5
8:25 12:16,18	107:1 108:20	hurts 84:22	INCORPORAT	issue 5:4 8:3 15:13
14:20 18:7,23	109:9,11 111:12	hut 81:10 94:13	1:1	28:16 88:1,24
21:2,22 22:12,14	111:15 113:19	124:8,13	increase 34:17,19	103:3 104:8 120:5
22:16,17,24 25:4	114:9,14,18,18,21	HVAC 27:19 31:11	35:8	147:2
25:5,8,10 26:3,7	115:12 116:16,19	37:1	increased 45:4	issued 35:24 145:3
27:8 28:18 29:8,9	117:11 125:21,23	hypothetical 57:19	108:18	issues 2:9 21:10
29:15,17 31:19,25	128:6,15,18,24,24		increasing 34:23	126:24
35:5 42:11 43:4	134:13 135:18	I	independently	item 143:23
44:16,25 45:20	141:3,4 142:6	IA 4:8 9:5,8	130:12	items 4:3,12
47:9 48:12 50:9	huge 111:15	idea 77:5,6 105:4	indicate 81:8	its' 114:3
50:13,14,15,19,24	Hulme 41:7,21,22	137:2	indicated 12:14,23	165 114.5
50:25 51:6,22	44:21 45:16,23	ideally 61:23 80:23	13:2 29:11,12	J
54:5 56:22 57:3	46:7,10,12,16,22	identical 116:18	67:23 68:16	James 41:22
58:3,16 59:4,12	47:5,11,17 48:2	125:21,23 129:19	indicating 14:24	<b>Jeff</b> 1:12 76:5
62:13,17 63:13	48:12,19 49:2,5,9	identify 39:13 86:3	influenced 85:4	<b>Jim</b> 1:13 40:15
73:2,13 75:16,19	49:12,21 50:3,13	108:9	information 20:15	48:16 58:24 65:21
76:21 77:2,15	50:23 51:3,9,17	ignorance 102:18	22:8 61:18	83:10
78:10 79:12 81:6	51:21,25 52:19	imagine 46:2	initial 87:1	<b>job</b> 31:9,9
81:11 82:4,11	53:18 55:14 56:5	immediately 49:24	initially 86:11	<b>Joe</b> 9:17 10:22
83:12 84:1,1,6,9	56:13,25 57:5,14	117:11	input 87:7 92:1	15:10 24:6 32:19
84:25,25 85:1,5	58:2,9,18,25	<b>impact</b> 8:6 9:18,21	134:12	38:5 49:22 52:10
86:10 87:24 89:11	59:14,22 60:1,11	70:21 71:19 78:8	inside 27:2 29:18	52:11 55:11,19
93:25 94:1,16,17	60:22 61:6,9,19	79:7 82:25 86:16	104:14	61:22 65:23 66:13
94:18 98:23 100:3	61:25 62:21 63:2	92:17 106:21	inspector 31:15	72:20 74:24 76:7
100:16 103:1,12	63:7,16,19 64:17	146:18	96:11 145:8	79:10,24 90:7
104:6 105:16,17	65:15,25 66:5	<b>impacted</b> 82:20,22	instigate 127:2	92:4,21 93:12
105:22 111:10	67:11 69:9,13,19	86:12	intended 9:25	104:15 126:22
113:14,21,22	69:23 70:3,11,15	impacts 90:10,25	intent 127:13	134:4 135:15
114:11,12,24	71:18,24 72:3,6	120:20 121:3	129:19 131:6	146:9
116:6,8,20 118:20	73:12 75:2,10,15	impervious 64:14	intention 96:20	<b>John</b> 120:4
118:21 120:22	77:23 78:5,19	important 91:23	interest 56:7 59:16	<b>Joseph</b> 1:14,19
124:24 127:14,16	81:23 82:7 83:3,8	impose 73:25 96:5	129:25 140:23	<b>judge</b> 9:17
128:11,14,17	84:23 85:11 90:23	100:2,3	142:12	<b>judged</b> 126:13
129:10 130:8,13	92:13,18 96:17	imposed 4:8	interested 100:7	judgments 107:9
132:19 133:8,16	97:2 98:4,9 103:4	imposing 107:1	151:13	<b>July</b> 136:8 141:22
141:15	105:2 106:5 107:2	impression 124:8	interfere 106:16	<b>June</b> 65:4,14
houses 14:8 49:10	109:6,14 110:2,8	impressive 48:25	109:10	
63:23 64:16 71:2	110:16,24 111:19	<b>improve</b> 78:1 86:9	interfered 106:10	K
71:4 72:13,15,18	118:7 122:4,19,23	improved 42:11	internal 57:1	<b>keep</b> 39:17 45:21
75:7,12,14 76:2	123:5,16 125:7	improvement	interrupted 70:13	54:22 66:24 87:21
77:11,17,20 78:12	126:6,9 130:25	78:22 83:6,8	introduced 15:19	106:19 116:25
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				142:15
i	!	1	1	1

keeping 73:9	8:9 9:7 11:5,11	larger 24:23 45:24	link 101:8	32:5,21 38:25
112:13 117:18	13:4,10,17 21:1	58:15,16 59:4	<b>list</b> 10:12 40:8,9	69:11 78:9,11,24
key 45:8	22:2 23:1,7,10	60:4 69:22 71:1	61:20 142:3	79:5 80:9,10
kick 65:4 93:5	36:13 38:15 39:19	78:8 91:21 107:20	<b>listen</b> 101:2 127:3	82:17 83:16 86:16
kidding 11:12	44:19 45:13,17	107:24 119:24	133:8	101:12,13 105:15
<b>killing</b> 11:7,9	46:2 47:10 48:10	131:10 146:8	listening 143:1	124:24,25 126:17
kind 8:13 45:22	48:14 50:8,12,18	largest 112:17	<b>lists</b> 144:16	136:3 143:14,20
57:22 88:12	54:9 56:16,21	lasted 105:24	<b>litigation</b> 43:16,20	144:4
100:15,17 101:2	57:2,6,18 58:7,15	late 15:20 114:10	little 10:2 18:16	looks 19:16 76:16
102:22 107:10	58:19,22 59:1,8	lately 113:20	30:22 32:11 34:7	<b>lose</b> 74:10
117:8 141:23	60:18 61:3,7	116:21	42:3 44:11 53:23	<b>lost</b> 46:6
147:24,25	62:15 63:9 64:3	<b>lattice</b> 29:24 30:1	53:24 59:17 62:17	<b>lot</b> 2:21 3:1,17 6:2
knew 105:21	64:18 65:16 66:8	<b>Lauren</b> 108:5,10	67:9 71:14 88:20	6:4,7,9 7:14,18,19
know 8:18 9:1	74:16 75:23 81:15	108:13 109:20	88:24 99:6,7	11:3 13:5,8,15
15:17,18 20:15	84:10 85:18 87:5	119:18 136:24	100:19 105:17	15:24 16:11,17,22
21:9,20 24:18	91:1 92:15 97:15	<b>Lauren's</b> 125:22	107:20 113:22	17:18,21 21:21
25:18 27:15,21	98:10 101:11	130:6	119:16 122:16	27:16,20 31:13
28:18 29:10,23	102:4,12 103:10	law 53:1 122:6	128:7 131:7	34:18,21,23 41:25
31:16,18,24 32:11	103:15 107:23	layout 27:12	live 99:15 117:21	42:23,24 43:4,8
34:18 37:6,7,20	113:6 115:5,25	leave 38:6 133:7	LLC 86:5	43:20,23,25 44:10
37:22 43:14 45:23	118:25 120:13	<b>left</b> 2:13 67:9 73:8	<b>located</b> 37:2 42:1,2	44:16,17,20,21,25
49:18 52:2,6 53:2	122:14 124:17,20	76:13 77:4	67:19,20	45:6,8,15,24 46:3
54:22,23 55:18,19	125:3 129:21	<b>legal</b> 120:17 121:1	<b>location</b> 37:9 75:18	47:14,14,18,21,22
61:11 63:3,9 64:4	133:25 137:20	<b>Legally</b> 52:25 53:3	88:25 147:6	48:1,11,15,21,23
64:14,17 68:3	139:14,25 142:11	lesser 92:10,17	locations 29:9	50:2 53:22,24
72:22 73:18 74:19	142:22 143:15	106:20	86:20	55:15,25 56:18
81:1 84:18 85:7	148:10,17 150:2	<b>let's</b> 2:4,4 10:11	<b>logic</b> 74:12 77:23	57:1,20,24 58:1,5
86:22 87:11 88:7	150:13	16:9 18:14 46:4	79:20	58:16 59:16,25
88:14,16,18,22		58:22 80:23 92:16	logical 27:25	60:13,19,24 61:1
89:4 90:11 91:20	<u>L</u>	134:4	long 19:23 61:19	61:14 62:22,23
91:22 92:24 94:10	<b>Labor</b> 136:8 141:8	<b>letter</b> 145:5,9	76:18 139:25	64:12 68:2,4,6,16
94:12,14,18 98:1	141:22	levels 114:1	140:15 141:18	69:1,2,4 70:6,7,24
98:15 102:16,24	lack 107:9	lighting 127:5	longer 51:18 98:6	73:13 74:14,19
104:6 105:24	laid 54:6	147:10	look 2:14 3:19 5:14	95:10,18 96:10,12
106:14 107:7,8,10	land 45:3	<b>limit</b> 6:10	8:9,12,14,24 9:16	96:25 97:2,9,19
109:4 111:13	landed 98:22	limitation 109:19	13:25 15:9 19:3	97:22 103:24
112:1,16,19 113:6	landscaping 60:9	<b>limited</b> 20:6 43:5	19:15 20:5,8,9	106:11,12 107:24
113:23 116:7	126:24 136:6	limits 133:15	26:12 27:10 29:7	108:23 110:9,10
117:5,6,10,17	<b>Lane</b> 2:10 3:2 10:17 14:8 19:25	line 11:21 15:2 16:6	30:23 32:19,22	111:21,23 112:21
119:5 120:10		16:8 18:8 28:17	58:10 63:7 87:24	116:9 119:13,17
121:9,24 122:16	20:5,24 21:3 24:22 26:13 27:1	57:1 76:23 77:7,9	97:10 104:5	120:17 121:10
124:16 127:4		79:14 80:1 86:14	105:13 116:19	122:1,2,10,13,17
133:8 134:9	34:6,10,10 35:1 35:14 37:4 38:24	87:22 90:3,4	117:10 122:7,19	126:15 139:1
136:15 138:25	39:1,2 40:5	134:15 135:23	127:8 128:6,20	140:22 141:14
141:12,16 145:14	language 147:7	139:21	129:5 132:1	144:22 145:25
147:25 149:10,15	large 13:25 87:13	lined 80:7,20 85:6,9	144:20	146:5
knowing 63:24	103:16,18 111:17	87:3	looked 65:5	lots 13:23 19:23
knows 22:8	122:5	lines 90:7 91:3	looking 5:21 7:2	20:6,10 22:7
<b>Krasnow</b> 1:11 3:6	144.J	111:9,10 116:7	10:4 17:5 22:13	26:13 39:1 42:19

				Page 100
44:15 49:11 52:15	mathematical	21:1,20 22:2,10	130:23 131:4,19	48:7 49:10,16
54:14,15,16,17,20	70:24	22:17,19 23:1,7,9	130.23 131.4,19	54:1 55:1 56:11
54:24 55:25 67:16	matter 10:24 53:14	23:10 24:13 25:7	137:20 139:14,25	60:8 61:2 63:22
67:16,25 68:1,7	88:7,11,17 89:2	25:11 26:2,5 29:3	140:6,15 141:1,7	64:21 72:5 74:22
68:12,23,25 69:14	104:3 125:5	29:6,14,20,25	140.0,13 141.1,7	75:5,13,20,25
, ,	151:13	31:11,16,19 32:4	142.11,22 143.2	
71:12,18 74:4 81:18 93:9,10,22	matters 149:9	34:15 36:6,13	148:14,17 149:1,8	76:18,24 77:2 78:14 79:1 80:9
, , ,		,		
102:1,3,5,5,8,9,21	max 115:3	37:5,14 38:15	149:12,16,18,23	80:13,17 83:19
105:10 107:25	maximize 109:3	39:12,19,24,25	150:2,4,11,13,15	89:8 95:4,14,17
108:2 110:13	113:24 116:22	41:2,9 44:19	members 1:9 20:20	96:7,22 110:18
112:12,18,25	maximum 34:21	45:13,17 46:2	37:20 52:12 120:1	127:20 128:2,13
113:2,2 115:2	106:15 144:22	47:1,6,10,12,24	<b>Memorial</b> 36:19,20	128:18 143:2,17
118:14 119:12,13	mean 5:9,16 8:20	48:7,10,14,16,20	mention 53:10	148:14 149:1,12
119:15 122:11,14	16:25 22:3 25:20	49:3,7,10,16 50:8	119:12 141:10	150:4
122:17 123:14,16	29:1 32:19 48:25	50:12,16,18 51:1	mentioned 8:10	Modern 127:19
123:17 130:12	54:2 55:4,14,16	54:1,9 55:1 56:11	10:7 28:4 34:18	modifications
137:22 138:6,10	55:23,25 60:22	56:16,21 57:2,6,9	97:8 136:2	149:3
138:14,15,19,19	61:16 62:5 80:23	57:18 58:7,15,19	merge 102:2	<b>modified</b> 148:11
138:24 139:2	84:20 90:3 92:2	58:22 59:1,8,20	137:22 138:6,9	150:3
<b>luxury</b> 99:21	97:22,25 110:4	59:23 60:8,18	merger 139:21,21	moment 33:5 43:12
M	112:11 114:6,22	61:2,3,7 62:3,8,12	<b>Merle</b> 50:6	50:5 58:11 104:25
	116:25 118:15	62:15 63:5,9,22	MERONE 21:4	money 116:23
Macrone 120:3,4	121:24 126:4	64:3,15,18,21	27:17 28:17 29:18	month 4:20
120:15 121:6,18	127:8 128:3,8	65:16 66:8 67:1	29:22	months 4:16 40:23
121:20 122:1,21	132:23	69:11,18 72:5,12	Michelle 34:5	140:18
122:25 124:6	meaning 125:16	72:23 74:6,16,22	35:12 38:22	Moriches 42:21
mailed 3:8 7:10	Mediterranean	75:5,13,20,23,25	<b>middle</b> 73:13 81:14	morning 41:23,24
24:10	127:15	76:18,22,24 77:2	134:22	<b>Mother's</b> 36:13,16
major 20:13	meet 6:6 58:6 61:23	78:14 79:1,10	midstream 145:9	<b>motion</b> 38:8,11
making 22:20 36:3	meeting 1:3 2:1,4	80:9,13,17 81:15	<b>Mike</b> 114:11	66:13,19 142:15
45:19 53:18 79:18	7:8,9 30:20 33:16	82:3,10 83:19,23	mile 120:23,24	149:5,7,19 150:14
93:24 131:7	37:14 66:25 67:8	84:8,10 85:18	Mill 41:22	move 9:3,7 16:18
managing 86:5	84:11 85:16 87:12	86:2,5 87:5 89:8,9	mind 57:22 73:20	22:23 25:13,19
<b>Mancini</b> 50:14,25	138:1 142:19	91:1,6 92:15	92:20 93:11	26:2 27:8 38:5
manner 16:10	143:7,8 144:20	93:25 94:3,21,24	<b>mine</b> 49:19	39:16,22 61:7
map 2:19 49:21	145:24 148:24	95:4,14,17 96:7	minimum 17:2	moved 96:19
56:10 83:19 103:5	149:10,14 150:6	96:22 97:15 98:7	73:6 74:13,19	moves 91:9
139:23 145:17	150:14,18	98:10 99:9 100:9	97:21 145:12	<b>moving</b> 20:24
mark 51:4	meetings 89:4	101:11 102:4,11	minute 42:7	21:22 23:4 67:5
marker 51:2,3	111:10 135:4	102:12 103:10,15	missed 7:8	124:13
marriage 151:12	144:17 149:13	107:14,23,25	missing 4:3	
massing 77:18	150:5	113:1,6 115:5,25	misunderstanding	N A1 22
master 5:17	member 1:11,12,13	118:11,17,23,25	104:1	N 41:22
masters 5:16	1:14 3:6,13 6:22	119:6 120:13	mitigating 121:5	nail 141:17
matches 128:12	7:3,7,18 8:9 9:7	121:17,19 122:14	<b>Mizzi</b> 1:14 6:22 7:3	name 81:4 100:14
material 65:3,12,13	10:21 11:4,5,11	124:17,20 125:3	7:7 10:21 11:4	108:11 138:23
materials 143:9,20	12:2,5,8,11 13:4	127:20 128:2,13	18:4,12,16,19	139:6,9,10 149:21
math 21:21 48:24	13:10,17 14:21	128:16,18,22	24:13 25:7 26:5	names 138:24
99:10 132:14	18:4,12,16,19	129:2,21 130:5,15	29:3,6 32:4 34:15	Nannariello 108:7

1.00.10.11.1	l	 		
108:13,14,16	19:21	number 17:17,17	147:20,22 148:16	P
109:12,21 110:4	neighboring 68:23	20:6 53:23,24	149:25 150:1,7	packet 49:18,20
110:14,20 111:8	75:14,15 123:2	57:21 69:20 70:16	<b>old</b> 76:5,6	page 24:3,5 144:21
112:8,19 113:4,8	neighbors 4:1 27:6	83:23 110:12	oldest 52:11	paid 148:23
114:25 115:17	31:1 35:7 65:19	121:8	once 26:6,10 51:20	panel 146:22
116:4 117:22	65:22 68:22 71:1	numbers 99:5	133:19 138:1	panels 146:12,14
118:5,22 119:2,22	87:8 88:16 89:15	numerous 86:10	148:20	paperwork 41:3,5
137:5,10	92:8 94:23 115:9	0	ones 76:15 104:22	41:12
narrow 98:5	<b>Nellie</b> 35:11		123:3	parcel 48:25
narrower 60:20	Nellie's 35:13	object 35:7	<b>online</b> 134:17	parcels 130:14
117:16	never 26:16 28:9	objection 34:17	open 33:23 38:6	<b>pardon</b> 15:18
nature 121:8	40:25 52:19,22	95:7	39:18 42:21 43:9	park 131:3
navigate 54:17	74:18	objectionable	47:22 48:18,24	parking 22:18
navigating 54:18	new 1:7 4:21 40:10	127:21	49:4 66:24 75:11	61:10
near 99:15	44:3,5,5 53:13	<b>objections</b> 131:24	125:4 142:15,18	part 5:3 8:3 9:16
necessary 44:3	56:6 57:16 59:12	149:2 <b>observations</b> 15:21	opening 62:7	16:10 19:4 25:4
47:20 52:21 67:13	71:22 99:25		<b>opinion</b> 10:8 22:11	47:19 48:3 70:8
67:24	100:24 101:1	obstruction 121:4,5	26:6 27:7 98:25	97:20,24 121:7
necessitates 67:25	104:22 138:17	<b>obviously</b> 64:14 109:4 110:21	109:13 111:20	144:9
need 6:19 10:19	139:23 151:4		117:18 123:7	partially 5:5
12:25 19:9 21:23	nice 31:8 54:6	116:9 140:22	opinions 115:1	particular 17:20
33:1,10,13 50:21	61:19 64:4 76:14	occurs 59:14 60:11	opposed 19:11	19:12,14 58:3
53:6,8 58:8 59:11	80:24	ocean 76:11 82:19	32:14 45:11 60:14	68:17
59:19 65:2 82:13	non-buildable	105:1 117:14	80:7 88:21 106:11	particularly 26:22
83:3,4 85:20 86:2	130:9	120:22 <b>odd</b> 18:19	opposite 14:9 16:1	63:17 126:23
90:10 95:20	nonconforming		options 92:5	parties 151:11
104:23 105:5	3:17 6:7 13:15,23	off-centered 96:25 offensive 28:16	order 2:1,4 6:19	passed 4:15
127:6 132:1	95:9	37:10 146:23	86:8	pasted 144:7
138:20 143:15,16	normal 70:8 72:9	offer 37:23	orient 16:9	<b>paving</b> 64:13
144:12 146:7	72:19 74:12	office 135:8,11	original 43:23 45:6	<b>pay</b> 145:1
149:16	north 16:7 17:10	138:22 144:12	47:18 89:23 90:12	pays 148:21
needed 48:5	18:23 21:22 35:10	offset 96:12	90:16 124:9	<b>PDF</b> 40:8
needs 25:5	77:7,8,16,18	oh 13:9 24:11 36:24	originally 97:17	<b>people</b> 8:19 13:20
negative 9:18,21	134:14,15 135:23	81:22 102:7 144:1	103:11	33:2,23 37:15
78:8 79:6	northeast 22:25	okay 7:19 10:13	outcome 151:13	63:23 66:11 67:7
negatively 34:24	23:2,5	15:15,16 16:8	outside 31:3	82:16 87:7 88:21
35:2	northerly 11:20,21	34:7,16 36:4	overall 34:25 45:5	91:3 94:25 99:14
neighbor 28:3	northwest 23:5	39:22 46:16 49:2	45:6 86:17	103:25 108:25
146:23	27:3	52:8 58:19 61:16	overlay 2:20 97:12	131:13 134:17
neighborhood 6:21	Notary 151:3	61:22 65:10 67:6	139:22	136:5 143:6,11,14
19:15,17 20:1,13	note 145:5,6	70:3 71:24 74:7	oversized 68:13	143:18
54:23 68:21 69:1	noted 148:15 150:2	83:21 96:22	owned 120:21	people's 132:4
69:24 102:23	150:5	100:20 102:16	130:12 139:2,2	perceived 86:12
111:14,24 112:21	notes 40:14	105:3 108:4,15	owner 39:5 43:17	percent 7:15,16,16
113:12,22 114:4	notice 30:7,16,25	116:14 124:21	44:1 129:2	7:20,21 13:6,7,8,9
116:18 117:1	65:24 66:1,2	136:23 137:9	owners 34:23 76:10	13:11,13 22:3
122:8,9,11,18	noticed 130:22	140:5 145:5,20	ownership 43:18	74:11,13,18 98:19
123:14,25	notices 65:18,18,21	146:24 147:8,14	owns 67:17 86:6	144:23 145:25
neighborhoods	66:11	170.27 177.0,17	130:20	146:1
	l			1

percentage 13:19	134:11	prefer 93:6	147:3	131:22 134:25
13:25 98:22 122:4	<b>plans</b> 4:1 7:10,11	preference 35:5	prohibiting 104:25	proposing 55:13
122:5,22 123:4	7:11 24:1 32:23	<b>PRESENT</b> 1:9,18	project 8:21	76:15 96:23
percentages 13:22	46:20 100:23	presentation 41:10	<b>Prokop</b> 1:19 11:18	111:21 131:5
45:5	101:5,12 105:4,6	presented 41:8	12:13,22,25 16:24	<b>provide</b> 46:23,24
perfect 89:1 101:21	107:12 125:13	109:15	23:16 24:7,11	46:25 56:8 71:4
permissible 95:23	platform 29:25	preserved 44:9	30:6,14 31:4,8	134:25
permission 64:11	31:12	45:8,10,11	36:18 38:7 40:7	<b>provided</b> 19:5 56:9
permit 145:2	please 13:1 41:14	preserving 124:13	40:13 41:13 46:8	68:21 71:7 106:8
148:25	46:17 49:23 81:7	presumably 133:11	46:11,14,18 49:23	<b>public</b> 38:13,18
permitted 106:15	86:3 95:15	pretty 3:24 6:18	52:4,25 56:19	82:5,9 134:16,18
person 37:16,22	Pledge 2:5,6	14:25 48:25	57:11 60:3 64:25	137:7 142:18
38:1,4 106:23	plenty 21:17	108:17 128:22	65:10 72:21 73:1	151:4
personal 105:14	<b>plot</b> 138:17 139:23	prevent 56:1	73:18 74:9,17,25	<b>publish</b> 65:19
personally 52:1	plots 138:18	previously 3:22	77:5,25 78:3,7	publishing 66:10
89:16 95:6	plus 21:18 42:8,22	principal 7:22	79:4,16 90:9	pull 85:1
perspective 20:22	44:11 132:10	10:14,16 11:14	91:14 92:24 95:24	<b>pulled</b> 83:13
79:2	<b>PO</b> 92:25	17:11,25 25:17	96:13 97:6 104:17	<b>pulling</b> 39:6 84:21
pertaining 112:22	point 9:10 10:2	principle 96:14	109:16 120:19	purpose 106:5
pertains 43:19	18:21 20:18 32:17	<b>prior</b> 46:9,12 48:3	123:6 134:2,8	push 18:23
phonetic 50:7	33:11 59:13 60:14	65:7 145:2 149:12	135:7,16,22	put 8:13 9:10 22:23
114:11	70:5 75:1 78:3,7	probably 9:1,2	137:14,18,24	30:1 37:9 80:19
<b>photo</b> 19:5,8	78:22 83:12 89:24	10:10 27:7 37:16	139:18 142:17	87:16 94:10
pick 132:3	113:5 117:9 134:9	41:16 56:13 58:7	143:21 145:20	101:16 106:1
<b>picture</b> 3:23 19:11	pointed 87:11	63:12 67:10 99:11	146:17,24 147:9	110:5 111:7,14
<b>piece</b> 72:16	pointing 19:13	100:24 103:2	147:14,22 148:12	112:2 115:7
pieces 15:11	<b>policy</b> 30:15	104:21,23 134:9	148:16 149:4	124:22 125:6
<b>Pikes</b> 111:11	<b>pool</b> 12:4,21 15:2	139:7 140:10	150:12	132:7 142:3
<b>pile</b> 136:7,12	18:5	141:5 142:7	properly 21:13	146:11
141:13 142:1	porch 24:25 25:2	<b>problem</b> 9:14 10:3	properties 67:20	puts 65:23
<b>piles</b> 141:3	27:16	13:3 21:16,19	86:6 120:6 123:2	putting 8:12,14
pillars 128:9	portion 43:22	46:3 73:19,20	<b>property</b> 11:6,21	27:25 64:6
<b>place</b> 9:10 55:21	<b>pose</b> 9:11	84:20 94:21 113:9	16:6,8 18:8 34:20	<b>pylons</b> 29:23
58:4 76:3 77:2	position 122:24	115:19 116:1,5,6	35:2,6 42:4,6,8,18	pyramid 4:23
125:6 131:2	positive 119:24	problematic 84:2	43:16,19 51:12	104:10,15 108:24
133:19 142:10	possibility 94:5	problems 9:11	52:14 53:4,5	114:21 125:17
144:20 146:22	96:15	procedurally	63:10 67:19 86:23	
<b>placed</b> 76:2 95:9	<b>possible</b> 6:23 9:12	137:11 143:4	99:7 118:13 125:1	Q
placement 54:5	59:11	proceed 46:17	130:7 133:17	<b>question</b> 8:2 10:22
<b>plan</b> 6:16 12:14	possibly 59:10	57:12	138:18	23:17 26:12 30:5
29:11 42:19 48:8	80:21 90:19	proceedings 151:8	proportion 123:9	36:2 37:1 45:13
54:6 57:22 90:12	102:12	process 139:21	proposal 2:21	46:15 47:8 57:19
90:17 111:3	potentially 148:11	<b>product</b> 123:18	71:22 79:12 102:2	74:22 75:5 81:7
124:12 138:13,16	precedent 54:16	124:4	118:18	91:19 92:20 95:2
planner 77:14	55:7 93:14 98:12	professional 31:9	proposed 68:12	95:3,4 96:8 97:16
planning 43:13	98:14 105:19	145:4	70:22 71:7 73:13	108:6 120:4,7,16
52:22,23 67:14	112:6	<b>program</b> 5:8,22	79:12 86:20 99:2	121:7,16 123:1
79:17,22 91:16	preexisting 32:3	progress 101:4	104:22 106:12,17	124:21 128:3,4
92:22,23 133:23	120:14	prohibit 146:16	107:11 108:2	134:12 136:1

137:12,21 138:20	136:10 140:20	18:24 19:7 30:11	40:6	27:4 38:23 39:4,7
139:19 140:12	reasonable 94:20	30:13 32:14 42:5	restrictions 54:4	39:15 40:19 41:22
questions 4:19	reasons 9:20 27:25	43:2 44:2 57:24	87:16 111:7 115:7	42:1 55:24 67:21
30:24 33:22	130:4	58:1,8 59:11,18	<b>result</b> 69:14	86:15 100:14
100:11 120:1	recalculated 146:6	73:22 83:5 102:15	retaining 9:12	108:14 120:5
quickly 17:7	recall 5:19 31:23	120:7,11 121:12	return 67:14	roof 25:2
quite 82:21	31:24 69:6 70:18	122:1 126:17	review 6:23 56:19	room 17:16 26:1
<b></b>	recap 67:9 87:11	146:8	125:10 126:10	54:10 120:2
R	receipts 65:23	reliefs 3:22	136:22	Rosanne 81:5
<b>R</b> 151:1	recited 2:7	relocate 21:14	reviewed 65:9	Roski's 114:11
<b>R-40</b> 3:18 6:7 42:2	recommend 23:19	rely 19:8	rhythmic 141:18	row 77:17 135:21
67:22	recommendation	remaining 43:25	right 2:3,8,11 5:9	rule 16:13,13,14,15
radius 2:19,22	20:5 85:15 90:18	remeasure 35:19	11:5 14:5,19,23	16:16,19,20 17:19
raise 33:12 34:1	91:17 138:11	remember 146:10	15:18 23:8,9 25:8	24:19,20 43:6
raised 114:7	144:14	reported 151:9	29:12,18 35:22	48:6 58:14 73:15
range 26:15 68:14	recommended 99:3	<b>Reporter</b> 1:24 57:7	40:16,20,24 46:7	74:11,13,18,21
68:23 69:24	recommending	82:13 85:20 151:3	49:3 50:2,3,12,20	95:18,25 96:3,16
rates 142:12	24:16	repurpose 124:25	51:7,9,16,24	97:9 99:16 104:11
ratio 118:3,5,17	reconfiguration	request 2:16 35:3	52:15 58:18 59:8	104:15 110:15,17
re-mail 30:10	59:7	40:21 86:8 91:16	61:6,10,25 62:8	110:21 115:21
re-notice 30:10,15	reconfiguring	101:20,24 127:21	62:16 63:2,2,16	116:15 132:12,14
30:25	63:13	129:4 134:24	64:24 66:12,18,23	rules 94:7 100:2
re-post 30:10	record 33:19 65:2	136:6	67:4 68:8 70:11	110:22 117:7
read 104:17 109:16	65:12 85:22,24	requested 3:5 4:2	70:21 71:3,6,11	125:18 127:3,5
109:18 138:7	108:12	4:11 5:1 6:12	72:20 73:7 78:20	136:16
ready 134:3	recorder 39:13	65:13 71:9 99:8	80:8 81:3,18	<b>ruling</b> 93:13
reality 48:16	<b>redo</b> 40:11	145:14	83:21 84:8,17	rumors 100:18
realize 109:1	redoing 63:18	requesting 111:16	87:13 89:23 91:7	run 122:15
really 19:9 25:5	redraft 35:19	118:8	92:7 97:24 99:19	
28:8 32:20 33:9	reduce 22:1 59:17	requests 2:17,18	100:22 101:4	S
34:12 44:23 72:15	reduced 44:10 45:1	require 64:10	102:13 103:9,11	<b>sacred</b> 141:23
74:18 82:16,21	146:5	required 7:14,17	104:16 105:23	<b>Sadeli</b> 1:20 2:11
84:20,21,22 85:8	referencing 21:2	7:20,23 10:20	106:2,10,14 109:1	3:8,11 24:10 27:4
91:11 94:4,15	referring 116:3	11:15,24 12:6	109:8 110:3 111:2	28:5 33:25 35:11
96:3,6 98:1	regarding 75:6	64:19 120:8,11	112:9 115:15	36:8,14,20,24
102:19 104:3	rehearing 53:7,12	145:1	118:3,22 120:6,15	37:19 38:12,17
105:20 107:7	56:6 57:15	requirement 43:9	120:18 121:1	39:20 40:4,17,25
112:22 114:23	reimburse 145:1	60:4 66:1,3 68:4	125:15,16 128:4,5	41:11,19 49:13
116:13 119:9	related 126:16	68:14 122:5	128:14 132:9,20	50:21 51:10,13
127:14 129:9	151:10	requirements	133:13,21 135:12	52:16 65:5,21
132:16 140:12	relatively 144:18	59:24	136:16 142:14	66:4,16,21 85:17
141:16	relaxation 7:25	requiring 57:24	150:13	85:23 93:2 95:1
rear 3:21 4:5 6:4	relevance 123:20	58:1 60:12	<b>rights</b> 71:20	100:7,10,21 101:9
11:14,19 16:1,6	relevant 120:19	residence 11:15	risk 54:9	101:19,22 106:22
17:6,18,23 24:15	121:3 123:22	<b>residents</b> 99:25,25	<b>Road</b> 1:6 8:5,13,14	108:5,10,15
24:21 26:11 34:11	124:5 143:19	resolve 138:21	8:18,20 9:1,23	109:20 119:18,25
60:13 76:23	<b>relief</b> 3:18,21 6:10	respect 47:13	12:17 15:7 19:22	124:18 125:11
reason 13:16 29:2	6:11,23 10:19	117:18	20:21 21:5,15	130:17,21 136:21
76:5 77:9 116:13	14:4 15:5 16:12	rest 6:20 15:16	22:12,14,16 24:24	136:24 137:7

,	İ	İ	İ	İ
142:5 147:5	132:15,20,25	5:24 18:5,6 22:21	share 15:22 38:22	119:23,24 130:7
148:20	133:4,13 134:4	30:23 35:20 40:3	39:9 64:16 101:16	131:4,9 132:2,5
sake 46:5 58:23	135:12,20,24	48:8 49:17 54:2,2	101:18 143:13	133:5,15 145:10
salt 30:3,4	136:9,14,23 137:1	54:6 55:2 60:5,7	<b>shed</b> 106:8	145:10,18 146:5
sanitary 21:12,15	140:5 142:2,14,20	67:11 71:17 82:1	<b>shift</b> 22:24 133:5	146:12,25
22:23	142:23,25 146:9	84:24 86:17 87:9	shifted 26:6	sides 11:1 70:7
Saretsky 1:10 2:3,8	146:20 147:1,7,12	89:16 93:21 99:23	shifting 75:12	sign 145:21 148:6,7
2:12 3:9,14 4:18	147:20 149:6,19	101:6 105:6 106:4	<b>short</b> 23:3 139:19	149:20
5:2,12 6:25 7:5	149:21 150:9,16	107:10 115:23	shortest 16:2,2	<b>signed</b> 148:21
8:1,8 9:14 14:7,17	sat 79:19	125:23 134:21	<b>shot</b> 54:10	significant 13:19
15:8 23:14,25	satisfied 89:6	142:9 144:10	show 2:23 28:21	107:24
25:23 26:18 27:5	Saturday 36:18	seeing 78:16,16	32:23 56:14 58:5	similar 2:19,24
27:23 28:7,14	saying 5:10 8:25	seek 134:16	70:25 84:14 91:3	42:5,25 54:13
29:1,4 31:22	13:17,18 21:7	seeking 4:12 7:16	110:19 119:10	73:11 112:12
32:16 33:9,20	22:20 37:20 40:24	67:13,23 68:5,19	showed 68:22	<b>simple</b> 144:18
34:4,13 35:9,15	53:21 55:5,23	102:15	145:24	simply 20:25
36:22 37:7,17,24	64:18,19 75:25	seen 28:10 104:13	showing 3:24 4:5	<b>single</b> 13:24 85:5
38:10 39:16,22	79:11,25 91:6	113:18	4:22 19:10 55:13	95:10 96:9,25
40:1,3,15,20 41:4	92:21 96:8,24	self-created 35:4	70:20 76:13	97:4
41:15 47:4 49:14	97:17 98:16	self-imposed 9:19	<b>shown</b> 51:15 60:1	<b>sit</b> 135:8,10
49:24 50:4,10	104:15 106:25	9:20	73:16 75:3 96:18	site 6:16 101:12
51:5,11,14,19,22	110:5 114:8	sell 116:24	110:17 143:9	situation 54:13
52:1,8,17 55:4,16	115:24 116:12	semblance 54:22	145:11	93:15 100:4
58:20 59:6 61:16	118:7,9 119:23	send 31:1 61:22	shows 6:18 12:15	112:23
61:21 62:1,6,10	120:21 128:2	101:22 137:1,8,15	14:15,24 28:18	situations 26:21
62:25 63:3,11,17	129:9,24 136:12	sending 103:6	49:15 50:6 62:23	<b>six</b> 54:17 93:17,18
64:24 66:12,18,24	136:12 137:2	107:5	71:13 83:20	102:25
67:2,4 69:7,16,21	146:21 150:4	sends 65:22	119:10	sizable 32:10
70:1,4,12 71:16	says 16:20 104:18	sent 145:8	side 8:5,23 10:25	size 42:20 57:25
71:21 72:1,8,14	104:20 138:8	separate 74:1	11:18,20 14:9	68:9,11,12,15
72:24 73:7 74:2,7	144:21	separated 128:23	16:4,5,8,15,21,23	71:8 73:2 87:23
74:24 76:4 77:1,3	scale 18:14,15	<b>septic</b> 126:24	16:24 17:1,2,10	88:25 107:19,22
79:24 80:12,15,19	119:9	set 24:1 32:22	18:11 20:7 21:6	112:12 116:9
81:13,20,25 83:7	scenario 114:24	83:14 112:6	21:16 22:13,18	117:10,13
83:10 84:3,13	116:2,6	151:14	24:16,20 26:11	<b>sketch</b> 55:12
85:10 86:1 89:19	scheduled 36:9	setback 6:3,4 7:22	28:15 34:11,14,15	skinnier 24:14
90:20 92:2,16,19	schematic 113:13	8:3 10:14,16	37:4 42:24,24	<b>skinny</b> 19:23
93:4 94:8 98:18	115:23 116:17	11:14,23 12:11,19	43:7 45:24 48:5	<b>Skudrna</b> 69:3,12
99:13 100:5	screen 143:13	12:23 14:24 15:3	49:1 58:14 61:4	70:6 72:16 73:4,9
103:19 104:9,19	season 88:2 91:8,11	15:12 19:14 24:24	63:1,1,6,12 64:1,2	73:23 74:4 75:17
107:21 108:8	91:12	25:1,5 34:9 56:17	72:23,24 73:5,6	75:22 79:19,21
111:2,25 112:10	<b>second</b> 16:10 19:4	58:14 71:5,22	73:16 75:6 76:11	80:22 90:2 93:9
114:15 117:4	24:5 39:23,24	96:5 98:16 99:16	78:9 82:19 95:21	97:18 98:19,20
118:2,15 119:8	51:2 53:1 67:1	145:18	95:22 97:3,4	99:4,12 110:23
121:15,23 124:15	104:7 134:7	<b>setbacks</b> 26:19 27:6	98:16 100:16	111:5 112:9,11
125:15,24 126:7	142:21 148:24	43:7 70:7 72:18	104:4 105:15,17	114:12 117:25
126:20 129:8,16	150:15	setting 55:7	110:6,10,11	118:12,13 119:2
129:23 130:3	seconds 85:12	settled 43:21	112:17 117:17	119:13 121:13
131:12,17,25	see 2:22 4:6,9 5:7	settlement 43:21	118:1,7,10,19	131:21 132:9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				<u>J</u>
133:9 135:1,1,25	63:14 75:11 99:17	151:4	substantial 121:8	63:7 80:1 85:22
Skudrna's 119:1	99:22 109:4	statistically 22:4	121:11,24 123:10	95:2 110:8,10
slash 134:11 150:2	114:19 132:3	stay 40:5 98:14	123:21	111:19 119:20
<b>slightly</b> 69:22 81:21	speak 10:8 57:8	stenography 145:3	substantially	140:16,18 145:21
128:20 129:12	85:21 89:15	step 139:12	121:25	148:3
<b>small</b> 16:11 17:18	129:17 136:16	steps 4:7	subtracting 44:6	taken 1:23 4:8
24:22 97:9 111:17	speaker 143:16,16	sticking 28:20	<b>Suffolk</b> 138:16	123:23 124:1
114:22	speaking 15:13	sticks 28:19	139:14	takes 25:17
smaller 48:14	spec 57:22	<b>stop</b> 107:7 114:23	suggesting 132:18	talk 31:2 42:7
53:23 71:2 89:17	<b>specific</b> 19:7 71:7	storage 147:18	141:24,25	50:22 53:1 144:2
89:22 92:9 115:1	127:7	stories 103:22,22	suggestion 134:3	144:24
117:15 123:17	specifically 20:9	104:2 115:14	<b>suit</b> 70:10	talked 14:12 27:23
solar 146:11,13,22	135:17	storms 124:10	summer 141:11	93:23 144:10,17
<b>solution</b> 87:9 88:12	spend 135:4	story 5:19,22 42:11	<b>Sunday</b> 135:8,11	148:9
117:20	<b>spent</b> 69:2 141:14	104:12,12,13,23	sunlight 34:25	talking 69:3 79:1
somebody 64:10	<b>split</b> 59:9	straight 85:6,9	sunrise 82:18	85:24 98:12 101:5
88:1,19 98:11	<b>spoke</b> 104:1	90:14 105:16	sunset 82:18,20	108:3 118:19
120:21 130:20	<b>spot</b> 28:1 83:18	<b>street</b> 3:2 11:1	83:2 91:7,13	123:17
somebody's 78:6	94:19 119:10	31:20 56:22 57:1	superimposed 3:20	tasked 117:6
someday 93:17	<b>square</b> 34:19 42:9	71:2 76:9 78:23	6:17 71:11 81:9	tastefully 93:18
somewhat 90:13	42:20,22 43:6	86:11 88:22	superseding 138:16	technical 97:16
94:16 112:13	44:7,11,12,17,18	100:15 107:15	supplied 4:1	137:20
121:11	45:1,2 47:21,21	108:1 116:11	supposed 9:17	tell 15:15 20:10
son's 36:14	47:22 48:21 68:8	strongly 20:23	145:12	28:11 33:12 53:8
soon 36:10 40:3	68:10,12,15 103:1	structure 7:23	sure 7:3 22:20 23:1	53:17 59:23
sorry 24:12 34:11	113:24,25 115:3	10:15,17 11:24	27:22 33:14 38:13	ten 13:9,10 26:25
38:15 46:15 52:18	115:22 116:8,10	12:3 15:1 25:18	49:23 66:9 67:11	60:23
66:13 69:19 70:1	116:22	81:18 96:14 124:9	74:4 81:2 98:20	tenths 16:16
70:12 73:20 74:8	stagger 77:15,20	structures 54:7	100:9 105:25	tenure 31:23
77:6 81:4 82:14	142:9	56:15	116:2,15 121:15	TERCHUNIAN
95:13 97:7 106:24	staggered 76:6,14	studied 14:10	126:18 131:12,14	5:11 11:2 15:17
144:15	78:11 86:20 87:3	<b>stuff</b> 27:19	140:2 143:4	17:1,15 18:2,15
sort 5:21 10:1	87:18,19,21 90:17	stupid 47:8	surrounding 56:15	18:18,21 19:2,25
27:11 32:17,19	135:18	style 126:18	108:21	20:4,18 21:14,25
35:17 80:6 84:15	staggering 80:14	subdivide 137:23	survey 4:4,9 6:17	22:5 23:6,12,21
93:7	85:14 86:7 134:15	subdivided 44:15	42:13 46:20 50:6	24:2,18 25:9,15
sorting 5:13	staggers 80:25	52:14	51:15 56:14 69:12	25:20,25 26:9,24
sorts 93:14	standard 14:6	subdivision 41:25	76:13 81:17	27:14,18 29:16
sought 6:24 42:16	126:13	42:14,17 43:8,14	145:23,24 146:4	30:3 31:14,18
sounds 36:23 38:24	start 41:5 54:19	52:24 69:5 73:24	surviving 124:9	32:2 33:15
143:10	87:14 108:19	75:23 107:16	swath 80:3	terms 22:14 97:12
source 68:18	140:9,13 141:2	133:25	system 4:9 9:6,8	terrible 141:21
south 16:4 19:22	142:8,13	subject 48:5 58:13	10:4 21:15 126:25	test 121:7
77:16,18	started 74:20	149:1		thank 23:12 31:10
southeasterly	148:18	submit 4:21 134:18	T 151:1,1	36:5 37:12 39:10
12:17	starting 10:1	134:19	tabulate 19:9	46:18 92:18
<b>space</b> 39:8 42:21	108:23 128:8	submitted 42:13,15		107:12 124:6
43:9 47:23 48:18	starts 91:7	65:9 125:13	take 8:18,24 19:3 22:2 32:25 58:10	142:25
48:24 49:4 60:21	state 108:11 147:2	submitting 79:21	44.4 34.43 38.10	<b>Thanks</b> 143:1

,	I		Ì	
<b>theory</b> 45:17 87:15	122:14 124:15,17	16:14 17:19,20,22	transcription 151:7	115:12 116:6
they'd 62:25	124:20 125:3,21	24:20 73:14 95:21	transfer 139:7	117:9,12 118:11
thing 13:11 15:8	128:14 129:2,16	95:25 96:3,16	transition 53:2	120:6 123:12
22:10 44:23 54:3	129:23 131:8,23	97:13 98:21	transpose 91:4	125:20 128:24
71:9 81:3 83:11	132:15 134:5,8,20	throw 105:21	<b>tried</b> 6:12 32:24	130:11,14 131:11
85:13 103:23	136:14 138:12	throws 102:22	triplets 129:22	133:6 135:4
112:22 126:6	139:20,22 140:12	time 4:14 8:21 10:6	<b>true</b> 49:9 113:7	138:14,19 139:2,2
134:20 139:5	143:3 144:3 146:3	21:8 30:16,21,23	151:7	139:8 141:3 142:8
145:22	146:18 147:5,8,12	35:22 42:8,18	truly 14:4 85:8	148:4
things 2:13 9:17	thinking 70:5	43:15 44:22 45:9	<b>Trustees</b> 43:18,23	<b>tying</b> 9:13
14:12 15:11 30:4	90:18 139:13	47:2 48:9 50:22	43:24 44:8 93:3	<b>type</b> 58:4 62:17
35:20,21 43:10	141:1	53:19 55:22 57:8	134:11 137:13,16	109:2 121:12
55:7 70:16,18	<b>third</b> 5:19 24:5	61:23 65:17 66:4	<b>try</b> 28:16 33:13	<b>typed</b> 40:8
91:15,18 93:15	61:24 94:15	68:20 69:3 75:8	37:9,16 38:2	typically 10:23
125:25 126:15,22	104:23 113:16,17	76:19 82:14 85:17	73:10 116:22	121:13 140:16
127:1,5,13 129:11	114:22	85:21 86:1 88:11	127:11 136:18	
142:9	<b>Thomas</b> 1:24 151:3	99:20 106:23	137:25 138:2	<u>U</u>
think 2:17 3:23 5:2	151:18	107:13 115:16	142:3	ultimately 32:13
5:4,7,16,25 6:14	thought 8:11,23	124:11 127:11	<b>trying</b> 5:8 6:10	54:4 61:12
6:15 8:3 10:1,9	17:7 18:10 21:4	129:13 137:3	27:24 33:6 45:21	un-developable
14:12,14 15:14	32:18,21 69:16	140:20 141:14,20	52:9 54:19,22	44:9
19:2 21:22 22:11	73:8 74:10 76:6	142:6 143:18	75:11 84:15 85:19	<b>un-merge</b> 138:7,10
22:15 23:2,20,21	76:19 92:8 105:7	timeframe 140:7	87:6 113:24 115:8	un-mute 34:2,3 100:12
24:4 25:15 26:9	113:10 119:3,4	times 17:8,9	117:19 127:9	
26:18 28:2,3,8	143:25 147:1	title 44:1 51:18	131:19 148:19	understand 7:4
29:5 31:4 32:6	thoughts 93:7	today 2:9 5:13	tune 5:10	8:16 15:12 18:13
34:2 35:15,17	thousand 102:25	32:22 35:17 36:3	turn 8:25 60:25	19:12,20 22:9 28:23,24 33:14
36:10,16 37:5,25	three 2:9 5:16,22	43:1 106:6 108:3	64:7 93:21	35:16 52:9 55:8
39:4,20 41:7,24	16:16 67:16,25	138:2 140:8	twist 15:23	55:10,19 59:6
45:25 53:5,8,19	69:4,8 71:2,12,18	143:22 144:16	two 4:16 5:17 13:8	83:11 101:2
55:9 56:25 57:5	77:20 78:11 79:14	148:1,13	15:24 17:17 19:21	103:23 115:17
58:2,4 60:3 61:17	80:1,20 89:13,16	tomorrow 87:14	30:19 32:8 40:23	117:4 121:16
62:5 66:16 70:23	89:22 90:13 92:9	140:10	42:11,19 48:21	131:14,15 149:23
71:13,16 72:15	93:9 102:1,5,9,11	top 71:11	50:10 52:15 53:23	understanding
74:3 75:10 76:7,8	102:12,14,21	total 16:22 42:24	54:15,16 55:15	105:7 114:17
76:11,24 77:3	103:2,22 104:2,13	71:25 73:4 97:3	60:14 64:1,12	122:6
79:10 82:16,23	105:8,11 106:2,12	120:9 121:22	65:7 67:16,19	Understood 19:1
83:3,11,18 84:2,3	106:25 107:16	totally 129:14	71:3,20 72:13,15	undertake 42:17
84:15,20,22 87:5	109:11 110:5,10	131:16	75:7 79:13 83:15	Unfortunately
88:5 89:19,20	110:13 113:2	touched 129:24	84:18 86:6 87:10	131:1
90:10,20 91:9,12	114:18 115:2,14	Town 43:17,23,24	87:13 89:10,17,22	unhappy 89:8
92:3,3,4,8 93:19	116:18 117:9	45:4,10	92:7 95:8 99:19	unique 26:21 93:9
94:15,19 99:4,9	121:8 125:23	trade 88:3	100:3,10 102:2,5	93:15
99:10,13 101:14	126:2 128:6,16	traditional 127:17	102:8,9,13,21	unison 40:2 67:3
101:15 103:24	129:25 135:4	trafficked 8:22	103:17,22 104:12	142:24 150:10,17
104:9,10 109:25	138:15,19,24	training 117:7	105:9,10 106:11	unit 31:12
110:24 114:15	141:3,12 142:6	TRANSCRIBED	107:1 109:9,22,24	units 27:19 37:1
117:22 118:2,7	three-tenth 110:15	1:23	110:1,8 111:12	unobstructed
119:22 121:10,23	three-tenths 16:13	transcript 144:5,5	113:16 114:18,24	anonni acteu

120:23	70:22,23,25 71:19	95:2,3 96:6	15:4 17:13 27:13	WHEREOF
unsafe 20:25	77:10,19 78:2,6	101:19 102:7	33:3,6 35:18,25	151:14
update 56:14	78:23 79:7,13	103:21 104:24	36:3 37:24 38:1,6	whichever 88:4
updated 124:11	80:2,6 82:15,18	107:14 109:5	40:4,15 42:25	wide 25:21 26:1
146:4	82:18 84:4 85:3	114:20 116:22	44:14 54:18,18,21	42:9 60:2 68:9
upper 68:25	90:25 91:2 98:2	119:18 128:5	67:5,12,17,23	112:11 118:14
upset 89:7	104:4 105:23	129:9,17 132:7,8	68:7,19 75:2	122:10,12
upstairs 5:18	106:8,9,17,20	136:5,6 138:23,25	76:15 84:15 85:18	wider 99:7 119:16
urge 20:23	107:3 109:10	139:4,7 140:24	85:24 89:20 91:4	131:7
use 147:18	120:16,18,23	146:2 147:10	97:9 101:6,12,13	Widgeon's 39:2,3
	121:1,4	148:5	102:4,5 108:2	width 16:22 26:1
V	viewing 78:15	wanted 4:6 5:16	115:8 117:19	42:24 68:2,5,6
V 83:15	views 77:24 78:9,23	8:15 18:12 66:8	118:3 119:20	69:15 74:5,14,19
vacant 43:4 44:17	82:19 86:9 94:14	74:4 75:1 76:11	126:17 127:9	112:5,17 120:8
75:17 88:9	132:4	98:13,15 112:2	132:24 133:10	widths 68:17 69:1
vacate 88:10	Vigliante 50:7	113:11 119:11	136:3 137:15	111:21,23
vacuum 122:20	51:16	140:2 146:21	139:3 142:17	willing 150:7,8
valid 86:24,25	<b>Village</b> 1:1,19,20	147:13	143:6,12 144:2,3	wind 114:19
<b>valuable</b> 9:3 45:25	91:24 93:1 120:22	wants 34:1 55:10	148:10,18	window 129:10
<b>value</b> 35:3,6	124:19 125:1,9	101:23	we've 11:8,16 37:8	winter 141:6
<b>variance</b> 7:13 8:6	126:11,12 138:3	wasn't 75:8 92:15	60:1,6 71:7 73:16	<b>WITNESS</b> 151:14
11:16,25 13:24	138:22 145:2	95:5 112:22	75:3 87:6 92:4,5	wondering 56:23
32:6 35:4 52:2,21	Village's 42:2	138:11 140:24	94:22 96:18 117:8	word 40:8,9 92:15
68:1,2 70:9 72:10	123:6	149:10 150:6	117:8 134:5 140:7	107:9
94:1,6 97:20	voice 114:25	wasting 143:18	website 101:17	words 10:3 36:2
102:14 111:16,17	vote 91:19 148:2	water 21:17 45:24	week 4:20 61:24	72:11,19 80:3,4
111:18 115:6,11	149:14,17 150:8,8	way 6:8 8:16 15:25	141:15 148:4	92:6 102:19
121:9 123:10	<b>voted</b> 144:7	20:15 25:10 32:21	weekend 36:12,19	104:21 127:2
126:1 134:1		38:3 39:2,3 52:11	36:21,21	132:2 146:14
144:23 145:13	W	54:8 80:25 85:7	weeks 30:20 65:7	work 5:24 31:10
variances 5:20 6:2	<b>wait</b> 95:3	86:14 89:25 90:1	101:1 136:20	37:25 115:10
7:2 10:12 15:10	waiting 30:17	91:21 92:1,23	141:12	working 48:15
21:24 30:7 31:21	142:11	93:19 95:9 99:23	went 52:22 70:19	88:12 89:3
32:1 35:24 40:9	<b>walk-in</b> 32:9	101:7,18 102:6	70:23 96:1,10	<b>worlds</b> 88:14
40:10 42:16,23	walkway 25:24	105:8 110:18	weren't 67:7	worries 125:22
47:20 67:13,23	82:6,9	144:8 151:12	104:24	worse 78:18 79:15
68:18 74:20 87:16	<b>wall</b> 106:1	ways 131:11	west 16:5 18:24	86:23 89:12
109:9 123:15,19	walls 9:12 113:20	<b>we'll</b> 16:18 23:14	21:23 23:3 49:12	<b>worth</b> 98:3
124:4 133:19	126:25	26:16 30:21,23	49:25 51:12 62:4	wouldn't 22:13,21
various 126:25	want 4:24 5:23 7:3	37:23 58:10 60:7	62:11,15 69:7,9	29:10 30:1 33:1
130:3	7:12 8:17,22 9:1	62:1 67:11 118:4	78:25 79:6 82:17	58:8 95:22 132:21
vast 78:21	15:22 22:13,21	137:1 142:3 146:7	118:21 119:1,7,8	write 146:12
verify 18:14	28:8 29:5,6,7,14	148:5	120:24 128:24	<b>writing</b> 136:18
version 145:17	29:16,20 33:22	we're 2:24 3:16,18	westerly 50:1	written 15:25 19:10
versus 24:14 38:23	38:12 40:5 54:14	3:20 4:12 6:10	Westhampton 1:1	wrong 52:10 69:20
74:6 102:21	55:23 63:22 66:21	7:15,21,24 9:12	1:7 41:23 93:20	76:8
114:18 117:9	66:22 77:10,12,13	9:15,15,17 10:1	99:24 104:14	
135:20	79:16 83:17 91:18	10:15,20 11:13,22	112:15	X
view 34:25 70:19	92:11 93:13,21	11:24 13:22 14:3	whatnot 124:11	Y
1				

yard 3:3,21,22 4:5	0	<b>2</b> 2:10 7:16 34:9	<b>36</b> 73:5 75:3 97:18	12:7 42:9 44:13
4:7 6:3,4 7:22 8:2	<b>06</b> 72:17	38:5 40:4	97:21 98:22	44:13 54:14
10:14,16,18,19,24	00 /2.1/	<b>2,000</b> 43:6	109:23 118:12	<b>70,000</b> 68:8
10:25 11:14,19,23	1	<b>20</b> 7:15,20 18:5,15	119:3	<b>733</b> 81:5 83:25
15:3,12 16:1,1,2,6	<b>10</b> 13:6 25:13 46:6	18:17 22:3 46:6	<b>38.7</b> 10:20	88:19
16:8,23,25 17:2,2	60:1 97:5 104:6	49:7 59:3,4,5,5	<b>39.3</b> 17:24	<b>734</b> 75:18,19 120:4
17:6,10,18,23	<b>10,000</b> 93:8	78:12 117:2 131:3	<b>39.33</b> 118:14	131:10
18:11 24:20,21	<b>100</b> 16:3 74:14 80:5	<b>20,000</b> 44:7 57:20		<b>737</b> 80:12 83:24
26:19 37:4 42:25	122:16	<b>20,400</b> 44:18	4	88:19
43:7 56:17 58:14	<b>106</b> 16:4	<b>20.3</b> 145:11	<b>4</b> 34:6,10 35:1,9,11	<b>738</b> 67:5,20 68:8
73:5 75:6 95:22	<b>11:36</b> 150:19	<b>20.8</b> 145:12	35:13 37:4 144:21	116:9
95:22 97:4,4	<b>116</b> 6:5 17:8 24:14	<b>200</b> 2:21	<b>4,200</b> 113:15	<b>739</b> 120:4
98:16 118:10	<b>118</b> 68:11	<b>2000</b> 114:10	<b>4,500</b> 113:15	<b>742</b> 67:5,20 68:10
131:4,9 145:10,11	<b>119</b> 68:9,11	<b>2000's</b> 69:5	<b>40</b> 18:19 122:15,17	<b>743</b> 108:14 109:23
145:18 146:5	<b>12</b> 72:6,7 73:15	<b>2006</b> 42:6,16 44:24	<b>42,000</b> 68:14	<b>745</b> 109:23
yards 11:3,10	75:6 93:21 95:22	46:19,21 47:19	<b>43.8</b> 7:24	<b>749</b> 100:14 107:15
15:24 16:15,21	97:1 110:6,7	52:13,20 55:9,21	<b>46,000</b> 68:15	<b>75</b> 93:11 112:5
20:7,7,8,9 26:11	118:9,23,24 131:5	56:3,7 57:10	<b>470</b> 44:14	<b>772</b> 40:16,18 42:1
26:14 42:24 48:5	131:22 132:6,10	<b>2009</b> 107:17	<b>49</b> 11:16	52:16,17 62:16
72:23,24 96:21	<b>12,430</b> 44:16	<b>2017</b> 141:11	<b>4th</b> 136:8 141:22	<b>774</b> 50:1 51:8 52:14
110:6,10,12 118:1	<b>12.7</b> 11:25	<b>2023</b> 1:4 151:8,15	5	<b>774A</b> 51:10
118:8,19 119:24	<b>120</b> 77:22 120:9	<b>20th</b> 36:22,24		<b>776</b> 49:13,25 50:11
119:24 130:7	121:21	37:15 61:25	<b>50</b> 12:16 68:24	51:8
yeah 6:25 13:9 22:5	<b>13</b> 48:13	<b>21</b> 11:17	122:17	<b>79</b> 74:5,6 108:3
25:9 36:24 37:17	<b>13,000</b> 42:22 45:2	<b>21st</b> 151:15	<b>52,000</b> 42:8 44:10	118:6 121:10,18
41:7 46:7 55:16	47:22 48:11	<b>22</b> 7:16,21	<b>57</b> 12:9,11	122:3
61:2,20 69:10	<b>131</b> 17:22 24:14	<b>23.7</b> 146:1	<b>57.3</b> 11:25 12:10	<b>79.3</b> 68:6,16,24
78:14 79:4 80:18	<b>15</b> 1:4 49:7 59:3,5	<b>23.8</b> 145:25	<b>5th</b> 65:8,13,16 66:6 136:21	
90:9 101:25 126:9	66:6 72:7,7 118:8	<b>240</b> 120:9 121:22	150.21	8
130:21 132:20	118:9 151:8	<b>24th</b> 36:17,19	6	8 32:10,24
135:16 148:20	<b>15,000</b> 48:21,21	<b>25</b> 117:2	<b>6</b> 32:24 108:20	<b>8,000</b> 45:19 103:12
<b>year</b> 115:16	57:20	<b>25,000</b> 45:18	114:1	103:14,16 115:13
<b>years</b> 27:1 108:19	<b>15.8</b> 73:17	<b>28.1</b> 25:17	<b>6/15/09</b> 69:12	<b>80</b> 68:24 74:5 95:18
117:2,2	<b>150</b> 68:5 74:16	3	<b>60</b> 6:3 7:23 10:19	96:9 97:2 122:10
York 1:7 100:24	120:8,12 122:12		57:2 77:16,17,19	<b>80's</b> 124:10
101:1 151:4	<b>16</b> 72:1,3	<b>3</b> 108:19 114:1	77:20 78:10 80:4	<b>812</b> 27:4
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>16,000</b> 103:20	<b>3,311</b> 34:19	122:17	<b>813</b> 39:14
	<b>16.2</b> 7:25	<b>3.7</b> 144:23	<b>63</b> 109:1	<b>83</b> 69:17 74:6,8
<b>zone</b> 3:18 6:8 67:22	<b>18</b> 25:12 73:6 97:19	<b>30</b> 13:7,12 22:3	<b>640</b> 42:10 44:13	75:24 98:23 108:1
75:21 76:25	98:15 118:1 119:6	58:23 74:11,12,17 85:12 131:22	<b>65,000</b> 68:10	112:11 <b>83.33</b> 69:18 118:13
<b>zoning</b> 1:2 42:2 44:4 52:20 53:4	131:21 133:4	<b>30,000</b> 46:5	<b>653</b> 116:19	<b>03.33</b> 09:10 110:13
	<b>18.56</b> 17:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>66</b> 69:15	9
91:15 125:17 126:21 148:22	<b>180</b> 77:21	<b>32</b> 71:24 75:2,4 97:4	<b>6th</b> 36:9	921:18
<b>Zoom</b> 28:4,6 33:3	<b>19</b> 72:6,7	<b>323</b> 41:22		<b>9:00</b> 1:5
33:21,24 34:8	<b>19,000</b> 42:20 45:1	<b>33,000</b> 44:12	7	<b>9:17</b> 2:2
37:23 66:14 101:6	47:21,21 49:6	<b>35</b> 49:8 98:7	<b>7</b> 12:19 25:21	<b>90's</b> 114:11 117:3
120:1 131:13	<b>19.7</b> 72:5	<b>35,000</b> 58:24 59:2	<b>7,000</b> 116:8,10	<b>91</b> 16:4
135:13 143:6,8,13	2	<b>35.5</b> 118:12	<b>7.7</b> 25:25	<b>914</b> 1:6
133.13 173.0,0,13		00.0 110.12	<b>70</b> 6:4 11:15,24	<b>96</b> 114:13
	l		l	1