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(The meeting was called to order after the Pledge of Allegiance at 10:11 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I'd like to call to order a meeting of the West Hampton Dunes Zoning Board. Do I need a second on that, Joe?

MR. PROKOP: No.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. The first
application that we're going to hear is the Weber application.

MR. HULME: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Hi.
MR. HULME: For the applicant, James N.
Hulme, 323 Mill Road, Weshampton Beach -- Wesh?
Westhampton Beach, New York.
(Laughter)
MS. SADELI: Also known as.
MR. HULME: Also known as. Well, good to see you all.

This, you've characterized it as the Weber application.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, we understand it's changed.

MR. HULME: The ownership about a month ago changed hands from Ray Weber to 846 Dunes, LLC. We provided an updated application to the Village

1 reflecting that. And Mr. Freedman, who's the 2 managing member of 846 Dunes, LLC is present here 3 today. But in -- at least from our perspective, and I'll handle this any way you'd like, but this is really just a continuing hearing --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Correct.
MR. HULME: -- on all of the effort and all the information that we've provided in the past.

But just to recap real quickly, the property is located at 846 Dune Road. It contains two single family residences. The Village Building Inspector a number of years ago issued separate COs for those, so they are both deemed separate single family residences. We're seeking to subdivide the property in half.

As we walked about in the past, at least from my perspective, one of the overarching goals of zoning at all is to compel or to bring compliance with the zoning regulations. This is a residential zone, as it's a residential zone that requires one house per lot. We have a preexisting situation of two houses per lot, and we're trying to get back to the more zoning-favored condition of one house per lot.

In order to get that subdivision, we require

1 a number of variances, nine or ten in total,
2 depending on something that we'll talk about in a 3 minute. Of the variances being sought, which

4 range from lot area to lot width, side yard relief 5 and things like that, there's only two of them

6 that are really real new variances. The other
7 variances we're seeking, although they're
8 technically required, are either existing
9 conditions, for example, if you look at Lot 2, I 10 think it's Lot 2, the bay lot, it is currently -11 Lot 2, it's currently approximately 75 feet wide, 12 and it will remain 75 feet wide, but technically 13 it still deviates from the requirement.

So a number of -- a number of the variances we are seeking are related to existing conditions that are not changed or altered by the variance. And then other than the two lot area variances, the other variances that we're seeking are all really internal to the lot. They impact each other, but, arguably, they don't impact anybody else.

Now the one question is $I$ think we finally got it right. We submitted a pyramid calculation which shows the pyramid line does slice off a little bit of the very upper story of the roof,
and to the extent that that is something more than de minimus and would require a variance, we would obviously ask for that relief, or a finding by the Board that no relief for that was required.

Now, when we last left off, we were, I
believe, my notes --
MR. PROKOP: Is that for a new house or is that for the existing house?

MR. HULME: That's for the existing house.
MR. PROKOP: Is it created -- what is -- is it created because of the subdivision?

MR. HULME: Yes. It -- because the -because of the flagpole for the -- because of the proposed flagpole for the Lot No. 2, the property line moves in --

MR. PROKOP: Oh, right, okay.
MR. HULME: -- twelve feet. If we had an
11 1/2 foot wide flagpole --
MR. PROKOP: Okay, thanks.
MR. HULME: -- we would need no relief. But, again, that's internal impact. It impacts us, it doesn't impact -- the practical impact of it is only on us and not on anybody else.

I believe we were last here on September 9th, if my notes properly reflect that. And at the

1 point we stopped, we were in the midst of, I

MR. PROKOP: We stopped the hearing. We adjourned -- we stopped the meeting. We adjourned to get an updated survey.

MR. HULME: Yes, which --
MR. PROKOP: And the water, the mean high water line, and also I think the wetlands.

MR. HULME: There was a list of 10 items, which I believe we provided in the most current version.

MR. PROKOP: Is that the -- that's the Wednesday survey?

MR. HULME: That's the Wednesday survey, yes.

MR. PROKOP: Do we have all -- does everybody have a copy? So the most recent survey is from Wednesday.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Oh, I don't -- I don't know what I have.

MR. HULME: Okay. It's not a small one, it's a big one.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Oh, I don't have it.
MS. SADELI: Did you give it back to me the
other day?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Say again.
MS. SADELI: The one that you -- did you leave it in Aram's office?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Did you give those to me?
MS. SADELI: Yeah, those two were for you.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Oh.
MR. SARETSKY: April 23rd. Is that dated?
MS. SADELI: No, those were the ones that were in the --

MR. SARETSKY: I thought that was part of the one we got.

MS. SADELI: Yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: It's the good one.
MR. HULME: Yes. Yes, that's the correct one. Prior to April 23rd. Yeah, if it says April 23rd, that's --

MR. PROKOP: Okay. Okay, thanks.
MR. HULME: Now --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: And what's the difference between these two?

MR. HULME: According to the surveyor, the subdivision map, map name, and the actual lot coverage calculation.

MR. SIEGEL: Subdivision map name?

MR. HULME: Name and --
MR. SIEGEL: Which is where? Where is the name?

MR. HULME: Up at the top.
MR. SIEGEL: It says subdivision map?
MR. HULME: Yeah. The smaller version of the map said Ray Weber still, and that now says that. And I'm not sure how it changed, but there was --

MR. SIEGEL: Lot coverage.
MR. HULME: Lot coverage. But no matter how he calculated it, we're way under the required lot coverage.

But just to finish this SEQRA thought for a minute, because I think you were in the midst of voting and analyzing, and in the interest of making that aspect of it, if and as and when we get to it, it may be a little easier.

I took a minute to look at the SEQRA regulations, and under the Type II Action, which requires no further deliberation or consideration, under a Type II Action is the granting of individual setback and lot line variances, and the granting of area variances for single two-family or three-family residences. So we're not seeking
anything other than that. So I would suggest that this is a Type II Action, and, therefore, doesn't -- SEQRA doesn't need any further attention.

And with that, I'll be happy to answer any further questions.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: On the -- along the average debris line, is that the wetland line?

MR. HULME: Yes, yes. I went there and looked at it myself. I believe -- I was hoping that Aram was going to be here. I spoke to him yesterday about this and he indicated that that was the case.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: Which line did you just refer to on this?

MR. HULME: On the --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The rear property line.
MR. SIEGEL: Tide line.
MR. HULME: Along average debris line.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Whether that's the wetland or not.

MR. SIEGEL: Along average debris line.
MR. HULME: My understanding that that's what that is. I mean, if you -- you know, if we get to the point where we can close the hearing
today --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We should correct it.
MR. HULME: I'll be happy to call the surveyor tomorrow and get him to changed the words.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All right.
MR. PROKOP: I thought he flagged it, so I don't know why -- I don't know if he would have flagged the wetland -- the water line.

MR. SIEGEL: Tide line along average debris line.

MR. HULME: What $I$ think he was saying, I think what Aram concluded was that they were one and the same.

MR. SIEGEL: Is there a -- what does tide line mean? Is that -- is there a wall there?

MR. HULME: No, that's just a --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No.
MR. HULME: That's just a deed thing. You got to -- you know, in order to --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You have to close.
MR. HULME: In order to close the square, you need to go from one to the other. And this approximates the shoreline, but it's -- he's referred to as a tide line, just so that the west,

1 northwest corner and the northeast corner connect 2 on his map.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Correct. On your --
MR. HULME: There is no wall there as of -I was there this morning, so as of this morning there was no wall.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah. On your picture of the -- with the -- of the house with pyramid code -_

MR. HULME: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- do you -- I believe this house had a flat ceiling.

MR. MIZZI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: It has a flat roof, but I mean --

MR. HULME: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- I assume it has a flat ceiling.

MR. FREEDMAN: This is my Architect, Brad --
MR. HULME: Oh, sorry, yes.
MR. FREEDMAN: -- so he could answer some questions, too.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Could you tell me where the ceiling is?

MR. HULME: Relative to the roof line?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah.
MR. HULME: Hopefully. If you could give your name and address to the stenographer.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Brad Bernstein, 1201 Smithbridge Road, Chadds Ford, that's C-H-A-D-D-S, Ford, Pennsylvania, 19317.

MR. HULME: And he's our architect. And you understand the question? Did you hear the question?

MR. SIEGEL: You didn't come all the way from Pennsylvania for this.

MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I built a couple of houses out here already.

MR. SIEGEL: Oh, nice.
MR. BERNSTEIN: And I'm a New York boy before moving down to Philadelphia.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Could you tell me where the ceiling is in the house?

MR. BERNSTEIN: In the front house?
MR. HULME: The front house, yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah.
MR. HULME: Relative to --
MR. BERNSTEIN: Stumped.
(Laughter)
MR. BERNSTEIN: How high is the ceiling in

1 the -- we haven't done any work on the front
2 house. I have all the work on the second house.
3 I think it has 9-foot ceilings as well. I can go
4 back and check, measure it and come back.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Why don't you go do -- why don't you go do that, okay?

MR. BERNSTEIN: All right.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Can you do a --
MR. BERNSTEIN: You want to know exactly
where the bottom of the ceiling is?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, $I$ want to know -- I want to know where in this roof line that house -that ceiling is.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Could do that.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Anymore questions?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That's all I need from you.
MR. BERNSTEIN: That's all?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, darn, I have all this stuff. Okay.

MR. HULME: You walked?
MR. BERNSTEIN: I walked.
MR. HULME: Do you want to -- the black Tahoe.

MR. BERNSTEIN: What is it?
MR. HULME: The black Tahoe parked out there.

MR. FREEDMAN: Thanks. Thanks, Brad.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So this is more like that mansard.

MR. FREEDMAN: Yeah, yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: When you stand up there, you can't walk right off the roof.

MR. FREEDMAN: Right, correct.
MR. SIEGEL: Can you see it from above?
MR. MIZZI: No. I stayed at the house once. I rented it years ago and --

MR. HULME: Oh, yeah? Well, that's interesting.

MR. PROKOP: Did you rent it for more than two weeks or less than that?

MR. MIZZI: I can't say. (Laughter)

MR. HULME: On the advice of counsel.
MR. MIZZI: There might not be a permit in the file, so --

MR. SIEGEL: Knowing this house, there's two permits and they both don't agree. Harvey, are you thinking about a second story?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No, no, no, no, no. From what I understand is the pyramid code has to not go through the top plate of the house, highest floor. So if the plate -- if the top plate's down here, he doesn't need a variance for this.

MR. MIZZI: That's what I think.
MR. HULME: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: Yeah. This house, you will be able to get a second floor on it without a pyramid problem, just putting it off to the side, if you extend this up here, and you take this and put it here.

MR. HULME: Well, it would be narrow or -well, it would be narrow.

MR. SIEGEL: I mean, just saying one less. I don't know what else.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Does anyone on the Board have any additional questions on this application?

MR. SIEGEL: Does -- I don't know. Does the sale of the property like make you feel any different about it?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No. It shouldn't have any -it shouldn't matter.

MR. SIEGEL: And you know how --

MR. HULME: Except, maybe if you like Howard, no.
(Laughter)
MR. HULME: No offense to Ray.
MR. MIZZI: Ray who?
(Laughter)
MR. HULME: Your landlord.
MR. SIEGEL: The properties down on this side are -- there is different zoning, the $R$-the residence zones, and there are properties that are pretty small around. It just so happens that this particular one is not in that spot, it's further down.

MR. HULME: The residential zoning in the Village is all R-40.

MS. SADELI: Right.
MR. HULME: And there's no --
MR. SIEGEL: There's no changes?
MR. HULME: There's no different zoning anywhere in the Village of West Hampton Dunes. And so, as a result of that, almost -- well, not almost, but many, many lots are undersized. We had given some examples, obviously, down on Dune Lane, which is down the road in one direction, there's a whole bunch of 5,000 square

1 foot lots. We're double that. If you go the
2 other way to 830 -- what was it? 830 -- I think 3 it's 836.

MR. HULME: A, B, C.
MR. SIEGEL: This is -- this has, you know, country residence, starting from country residence, and then it goes from $R-120$ all the way down to $\mathrm{R}-10$.

MR. HULME: Because when the Village was, as I understand it, and then Joe can speak to this, too, but when the Village was created, you guys initially adopted Southampton zoning, and that's a table directly out of the Southampton Town Zoning Code. Now over the years, it's been amended in some significant ways. For example, you went from 45 degrees to 60 degrees on the pyramid law, is one major example, and other -- in other manners, but you never unadopted that chart.

MR. SIEGEL: This chart. So everything is R-40 and it all works on this.

MR. HULME: Yes, it's all -- it's all in a -- all subject to that one column, because there aren't any of these other zones. There might be

1 some -- oh, those are all residence -- yeah, there's like a multi-family zone on there. You don't have multi-family zone in the Village anywhere, you don't have any business districts in the Village

MR. SIEGEL: Probably not even country residence.

MR. HULME: And no country residence here, no. So it's all R-40.

MR. SIEGEL: I guess, I guess what $I$ was thinking of, Harvey, was that if there was the differences here, it might be even simpler to just change the zone of the house --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Uh-huh.
MR. SIEGEL: -- so that it complied with all the things.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: It's like a spot zoning change.
MR. HULME: Yeah. That would be a good idea, but you don't have any other zones.

MR. SIEGEL: Right, but we have plenty of houses that --

MR. HULME: Don't comply.
MR. SIEGEL: Don't even come close --
MR. HULME: To complying.

MR. SIEGEL: -- to complying with this.
MR. HULME: And that's part of, you know, the neighbor, the community -- you know, the --

MR. SIEGEL: So it's not like we're creating a monster, we're just spreading the monster. (Laughter)

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. HULME: We would like to think about that as neighborhood conditions, and the conditions of the neighborhood are lots that don't --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Conform.
MR. HULME: Don't conform at all.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right. Like the --
MR. SIEGEL: So could the next door neighbor decide to knock down his house and divide it in half, or is this just so special because it's in here like that?

MR. HULME: Well, I would --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I think it's a little bit different.

MR. HULME: Yeah, because we have two houses.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. HULME: If somebody -- if somebody next
door to us had one house on a similarly sized lot, they -- I would have a much more difficult time making this case to you guys. That the thing that drives this case is that we have a CO for two separate residences.

MR. SIEGEL: Right. We're knocking one house down, we're dividing it into two and making two houses.

MR. HULME: Right. Well, we have two houses.

MR. SIEGEL: No. I'm saying. I'm now the neighbor.

MR. HULME: On the other -- on the other circumstance, yes.

MR. SIEGEL: I'm like thinking about like what are we creating.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Maybe you want to knock your house down to make two lots.

MR. SIEGEL: Maybe, with your help.
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Can't help you.
MR. HULME: It's not possible, but it's -- I would never want to say it's impossible, because somebody might hire me to do it, but --
(laughter)

MR. SIEGEL: In which case it's ultimately possible.

MR. HULME: Right, but it would -- yeah, but it would be a lot harder. It would be a lot harder than -- I think, than the case, the circumstances we have here.

MR. MIZZI: Harder than the pool case that we're about to hear?

MR. VERO: Much harder, much harder. (Laughter)

MS. YOUNG: It's so easy, I should have gone first. It's easy.

MR. VERO: Strike that from the record.
(Laughter)
MR. SIEGEL: Are there other, any other houses that -- are there any other properties besides this one that we know of that have two houses on it on one lot right now?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I got to think about it, but I'm sure the answer is yes.

MR. HULME: I'm sure there are some, but these are -- this is not a common circumstance. Most of -- although most of the lots in your village are smaller than the required acre, most of them --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, all of the 836's, there's like a mess of them.

MR. HULME: Right, yeah. They all have just one residence on them. I'm sure there are others, but $I$ didn't do an inventory of the whole village, but it's --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right, yeah. If you go down to the 836's, there's houses behind houses all over the place there.

MR. HULME: Yeah.
MR. SIEGEL: And are they going to be the next ones to the table here?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They already are, they're already separate.

MR. SIEGEL: They're already what?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Separate.
MR. MIZZI: Yeah, like these.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They're single and separate already.

MR. PROKOP: Some are and some aren't.
MR. MIZZI: Just like three deep, you know.
MR. SIEGEL: Oh, no, I'm talking about the ones that are --

MR. SARETSKY: It's being subdivided.
MR. SIEGEL: -- on one lot that want to be
subdivided, like this wants to be subdivided.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That doesn't currently have two houses on it?

MR. SIEGEL: That does currently have two.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I don't know if there's any left.

MR. SIEGEL: That's what $I$ was asking. Is there any left that is one lot with two houses --

MR. EFF: There is.
MR. SIEGEL: -- that is going to be --
MR. EFF: And I own it.
(Laughter)
MR. SIEGEL: You own it?
MR. EFF: 828.
MR. HULME: There's one other.
MR. SIEGEL: It's two houses, one lot?
MR. EFF: Right.
MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
MR. HULME: But it's rare, it's not a common thing. So, you know, the fact that our -- I guess I could use this term. The fact that our hook here is the fact that we have two single family residences, you're not opening up a floodgate, because there's not a whole bunch of properties that have --

MR. SIEGEL: Right.
MR. HULME: -- two residences.
MR. SIEGEL: Might not be opening a floodgate, but we are starting a precedent, and I want to know how far this precedent is going to go. And if it's going to go to one more property, then we're not going to be part of this forever, it's just one more property. But if it's nine more properties --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right. Well, let me ask Aram.

MR. SIEGEL: That's a big --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The 826's and the 836's, all those ones with $A, B ' s$ and C's and D's and -okay?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Did they -- were they single and separate before the Village was created, or did the --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They were.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah. Those groups where it's like a tree?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All over the place, right, yeah.

MS. SADELI: Yeah.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah, those are all
preexisting lots.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right, right. So we're not doing anything different than is already here.

MR. SIEGEL: So there's one more that we know of.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Say again.
MR. SIEGEL: There's one more that we know of.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Except for yours.
MR. SIEGEL: The fellow is right here.
Okay. He's next to the table.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Are you next?
MR. EFF: Excuse me?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Are you -- they want to know if you're next.

MR. SIEGEL: You don't have to answer that. You don't have to answer that.
(Laughter)
MR. EFF: At this point, I'm here observing.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Good.
MR. PROKOP: There was nothing on T.V. this morning, so he came.

MR. EFF: Right.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you all for your patience. To the underside of the roof deck is 12-foot-4. The ceiling throughout the entire house to the sheetrock, it's 8-foot.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay, good. So this roof is at -- the roof is at -- this is measuring -- I don't know what this wall is, but it's measuring from here, and the deck is 9-foot-6.

MR. SIEGEL: Could you say that one more time? I apologize. I'm an architect, too, as well, by the way. Ken Siegel.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: Nice to meet you.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Twelve-foot-four to the underside of the roof deck.

MR. SIEGEL: This says -- this says 12.5 feet from here to here. Eight plus 4.5 is 12.5, so that's 8-foot-6 to this edge. That's what this drawing shows. And 8 foot is what it says, you say is the ceiling height?

MR. BERNSTEIN: The ceiling height inside to the sheetrock is 8 foot.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Then I opened up the stairs that lead to the attic, or whatever. And from the

1 sheetrock to the bottom of the roof deck, the flat 2 roof deck was exactly 4-foot-4. So this is saying 3 4-foot-6 and 8. Two inches?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So, Ken, so the top plate of that floor, is it 8 foot?

MR. SIEGEL: Which means that to the corner.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Which means -- I think it's good.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, this is correct.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: He's good.
MR. HULME: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So he doesn't need a variance for that.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I don't believe.
MR. HULME: Just so the record is clear, our Architect is testifying that the ceiling height inside the first floor of the building on Lot No. 1 is at 8 feet.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Correct.
MR. HULME: And says --
MR. SIEGEL: Which pretty much means the plate is at 8 feet.

MR. HULME: So the plate is at 8 feet.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.

MR. HULME: And since the pyramid line does not penetrate the house at all until well above 8 feet --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, it's coming through at about $91 / 2$ feet.

MR. HULME: Okay. It appears that a variance for that piercing is not necessary.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Is not necessary.
MR. HULME: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Anything else, guys?
(No Response)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: And the only other issue is the 6.7 side yard, which, as I mentioned, I had discussed this with Aram last week, and they can actually amend this application and get rid of this deeded driveway and go to an easement, and then they would not even need a side yard variance.

MR. SIEGEL: So you're looking to minimize the amount of variances?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, no, no. We're actually going to --

MR. SIEGEL: Like minimize what it was.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No. We would minimize it by having them amend the -- amend this map.

MR. SIEGEL: They would reduce the amount of variances.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes. But then we have a -- then we have a deeded easement, which has been historically problemistic in this village.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Correct.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So this is a better plan.
MR. HULME: Balancing one versus the other, you're better off having a deeded flagpole.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. HULME: Because, as the Chairman has indicated, I've been directly involved in a number of disputes over access to other people's property --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Absolutely.
MR. HULME: -- over rights-of-way, whereas this makes it clear. And so although it creates the need for a variance, again, it's one of those internal variances. The effective -- the effective setback for this house, as compared to the actual neighbor, is in compliance.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right. So, Aram, so let's assume we approve this application. What else do they have to do to complete the subdivision at this point?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: They have to go to the Suffolk County Department of Health and get a subdivision approval there. Then they have to come back to the Village Planning Board, which is the Village Board of Trustees, and get their final subdivision approval, and then they're done.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Pay their fees, and whatever, right?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: As well as the DEC.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: They have to finish the DEC process.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: And those couple of minor things, like separate the water and/or electric, if necessary.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They have to be separated.
MR. HULME: Oh, that reminds me. I think I communicated with the Board, but just to be clear, there are two electric meters on this property.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I think you did, yes.
MR. HULME: There is only one water meter.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. HULME: But, obviously, if this is a condition of the approval, my client is more than
happy to put in a --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Put a second --
MR. HULME: -- second meter.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Separate water main.
MR. HULME: Likely, he may do it anyway.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. PROKOP: I think we were going to ask Aram if the -- this thing that's indicated as the tide line is the mean high water mark, and also the wetlands boundary.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's not the mean high water mark, but it's very close to it. It's probably about five feet above it. I did flag this about a year ago, and $I$ can go back and reflag it, but it was very close to that line.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right. I think, really, the only concern that the Board has is that they have at least 75 feet clear of the wetlands on the Lot 2. So we can make it conditioned on amending this map.

MR. HULME: We're 88 from the tide line.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah.
MR. HULME: The deck is 88.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The deck is 88.
MR. HULME: Yeah.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay. I can go back and reflag that, but $I$ think it would meet that 75 feet.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, it might, it might be fine, but we'll just make that a condition, that this has to get amended, that the plan has to get amended showing the wetlands of the minimum of 75 feet from the back of the deck.

MR. HULME: Do I need to formally request him to -- of the village to go do it?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You can.
MR. HULME: Or can he just go do it?
MR. PROKOP: Did the plan come in with the easement?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I think he formally does it no matter what, right?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I think the Chairman's asking me to do that right now.

MR. HULME: Okay, that's fine.
MR. PROKOP: Did the plan, the plan as we have it, does it have the easement, or does it have the deeded?

MR. HULME: It has the deeded.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Deeded.
MR. PROKOP: It has the deeded version?

MR. HULME: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah.
MR. PROKOP: So we noticed it with the variances that are necessary for the deed, the deeded version?

MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. So now I do not think I have a list of all the variances. I think that was in my old file. That's what happens when it's delayed for too many months, right?

MR. HULME: This is the -- I don't know if you can read -- oh, that's easier to read. I had trouble reading this one, too.

MS. SADELI: It's like microscopic.
MR. PROKOP: So we just need to do SEQRA before we do the variances.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: We just need to do a vote --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah. I just want to see if we covered everything.

MR. SIEGEL: I just have one more question --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Go ahead.
MR. SIEGEL: -- for this fellow who's

1 sitting here. He said that he had stuff to show us having to do with the other house. Can we see what that is?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I don't think it matters to the -- you can ask him, but I don't think it matters at this point.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay. If it doesn't matter, I mean, if it's -- okay.

MR. HULME: The variance that we're looking for is based on exactly what's right there.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Hopefully, has nothing to do with what's in his folder.

MR. HULME: If they're choosing to do something else, they obviously have to come back to the village, and if it needs further variances, then we have to come back here.

MR. BERNSTEIN: It has nothing to do with this.

MR. SIEGEL: The subdivision and what's there is all part of one big thing.

MR. HULME: Right.
MR. SIEGEL: Which is what we're dealing with.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Correct.
MR. SIEGEL: If they want to remodel one of

1 the houses, they're welcome to remodel.

MR. SARETSKY: Right, or could do two COs.
MR. SIEGEL: And if it requires another variance, then they have to come back here again.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Correct.
MR. SIEGEL: But it almost seems like if you're -- when this happened, that the next is definitely going to happen, and you're going to feel like, oh, we've get to give the second variance, too?

MR. SARETSKY: Right.
MR. HULME: No, I don't think you -- you don't have to. I mean, we -- I don't even -- I don't even know what they're contemplating, so it's really in the same state.

MR. SIEGEL: I guess that's what I
was thinking about. Are we contemplating another one like sitting here already?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Ken, can I answer that?
MR. SIEGEL: Yeah.
MR. BERNSTEIN: The preliminary design that I have for the second house, the one facing the bay, requires no variances.

MR. SIEGEL: Well, that's an as-of-right submission which won't come here.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. SIEGEL: All right.
MR. HULME: And if something were to come here, it has to be analyzed in accordance with the law. There's nothing about this grant that makes that more or less likely. I mean, we would have to meet the same standards for that particular, particular thing. And, you know -- well, I don't want to say it then.

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah. I mean, if I am hired to do a variance for somebody, I mean, I try my hardest to make it so that we don't have to do a variance --

MR. HULME: Right.
MR. SIEGEL: -- you know, because it's a tough road to walk down.

MR. HULME: Yes, it is.
MR. SIEGEL: And if it turns out that we need one, then we'll fight for that one, you know.

MR. HULME: Yeah.
MR. SIEGEL: And -- but there are times when I come to a meeting and I see people going for seven variances for their client, you know --

MR. HULME: Right.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Probably seven.
(Laughter)
MR. SIEGEL: And -- wow. There is nine or 12 of them right here.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: This was only 10. No, this is 10.

MR. HULME: Ten.
MR. SIEGEL: So like what's one more?
MR. HULME: But you still fully retain your ability to review those applications in the context of the zoning code and --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right. And you will --
MR. SIEGEL: It just seems like it's --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- be able to vote on this application.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: The new house is the front one, the front one?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: The one that's closest to the road, that's going to be a new house?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No.
MR. HULME: No. We haven't proposed any new houses at all.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right. As far as we're --

MR. PROKOP: I thought this plan --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: As far as what's in front of this Board, there's no change other than the water main, and they're showing --

MR. PROKOP: Excuse me, I'm sorry. Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They're putting two --
MR. PROKOP: I thought we were looking at an image of a new house. Okay.

MR. HULME: No.
MR. PROKOP: Excuse me.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: And they're creating two parking areas for --

MR. PROKOP: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- each house.
MR. HULME: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. So what should we do next, Joe? I think we're done.

MR. SIEGEL: Is creating parking areas for the house part of this application?

MR. HULME: It's on there.
MR. PROKOP: The question $I$ was going to ask was just, and I'm sure Aram knows the answer to this, the house doesn't have to be centered on the lot, right?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: What's that?

MR. PROKOP: Does the house have to be centered on the lot on the bay side?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It can either be centered or not, but if you center it, you'd get a better side yard setback. If you don't center it, if you're offset, then you have the four-tenths rule. If you center it, you can use the three-tenths rule.

MR. PROKOP: So if we -- if we allow them to -- if we do the alternative where we -- where they're deeding the access, does that change around the setbacks?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: And was the application based on the changed around setbacks?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: Okay. Also, that was the question.

MR. SARETSKY: Can we go through these?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Say again.
MR. SARETSKY: Can we go through these?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You want to go through each one?

MR. SARETSKY: Again, I'm just kind of curious, because, again, this is somewhat
interpretive.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. All right. Let me open it up.

MR. SARETSKY: You know, when it talks about undesirable change --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, first thing you want to do is to complete your State Environmental Quality Review procedure. So, Joe, I think you have the language for that.

MR. PROKOP: Right. So it's my opinion that the -- because it's a subdivision, it's what's -it's an Unlisted Action for purposes of SEQRA. So the Board should first vote to adopt Lead Agency and determine that this is an Unlisted Action for purposes of SEQRA. That would be the motion.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. HULME: The only comment I would make about that is that you're not granting the subdivision, you're granting variances, and area variances only. And the SEQRA regulations indicate that area variances alone are a Type II Action, not an Unlisted Action. The Planning Board may very well have to take it up from the perspective that Joe suggests, because they're the ones that are actually granting the subdivision.

You guys are --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: But there could only be one SEQRA.

MR. HULME: So okay. I think it should rest with the Planning Board.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So it is a --
MR. PROKOP: You can't. It's called -- what he's talking about is called segmentation, where you're dividing up the SEQRA review, and you can't. You can't be one level at one Board and then a lower level at another Board.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
MR. PROKOP: That's called segmentation.
MR. HULME: Okay. I just wanted to --
MR. PROKOP: So I just -- I don't think it's going to change the result, but $I$ would --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So we -- for this Board, are we calling it a subdivision?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We're just -- we just have area variances.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You're just doing area variances, but the overall action is unlisted.

MR. PROKOP: Right. So the vote would be to adopt Lead Agency status, and determine that this
is an Unlisted Action for purposes of SEQRA. CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Everyone heard what Joe just said? Would someone like to --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You need a motion.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Would someone like to make a motion?

MR. SIEGEL: I'm unaware of the legal part of it, so I'm sort of staying out of that.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: What? Say it again.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, the Board can't act unless they do SEQRA. SEQRA says -- the State Environmental Quality Review Act, $S-E-Q-R-A$, requires all discretionary actions of all municipal entities within the State of New York to first under -- go through the SEQRA process, identify the level of action it is, and whether or not it has -- make a Positive or a Negative Declaration of environmental impact. Then the Board is free to make their decision on the specifics of the case. But unless you undertake SEQRA first, you cannot make the decision. So this is -- this is a pro forma action that must be taken.

MR. PROKOP: This first vote is just to determine that you're the Board that's going to be

1 doing SEQRA, and to determine that it's an unlisted action. Then we'll get into whether or not it will have an impact on the environment. There's -- it's a two-vote process. So this first vote is just to identify that you're going to be what's called the Lead Agency, meaning you're going to be the Board that determines SEQRA, which you already -- you don't have a choice, you are.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You are.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So would someone like to make this motion?

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah, I mean, I'll make the motion that this -- I'm not sure of the exact words say.

MR. PROKOP: The motion is for the Zoning Board of Appeals to adopt Lead Agency status and determine that this is an Unlisted Action for purposes of SEQRA.

MR. SIEGEL: I have to learn that, those words.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You don't have to learn it, you have Joe.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You need a second and a vote.

MR. SIEGEL: I make that motion.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I'll second it.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So you have to call the vote, Mr. Chairman.

MS. SADELI: All in favor?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor of becoming Lead Agency, $I$ guess it is, right, Aram?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yup.
MR. SARETSKY: So, Joe, this does not determine --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor?
MR. PROKOP: This doesn't determine anything.

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah, we're not voting for this yet.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor?
MR. MIZZI: Aye.
MR. SARETSKY: Aye.
MR. SIEGEL: I'm in favor.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Aye.
MR. PROKOP: Okay. So now you're Lead Agency and you can talk about the potential for impacts on the environment. The potential for impacts on the environment -- excuse me. The considerations that you must consider are the potential for impacts on light, utility usage,

1 traffic, noise, views, whether this will generate 2 large groups of people for more than one -- more 3 than one time, things like that. And other things 4 that you might think about is impacts on the environment.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So we have to make a motion on that?

MR. PROKOP: Yeah.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah. So under SEQRA, once a Board makes themselves a Lead Agency, they then have to determine whether or not this action will have a significant environmental impact. This is the -- this is the standard, significant environmental impact on the values that Joe described, air, light, noise, water. So the question before you is will creating two lots with a house on each lot, where there now is one lot with a -- with two houses, create a significant environmental impact on those, air, light, water, noise.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Before we vote on that, does anyone want to discuss that?

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah.
MR. SARETSKY: Yeah.
MR. SIEGEL: I want to say -- I have

1 something to say about it, because up until
2 basically right now, I thought we were voting on whether to allow this subdivision to happen, but this subdivision may never happen after these variances happen. I mean, they seem to want to, because they're making drawings that show that they want to, but it doesn't seem like it's in our hand, this subdivision thing, it's other people that I've never met.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, this Board plays an integral part in the process, and that process is a twofold process. First, the applicant may not proceed to the subdivision procedure unless this Board agrees to grant these variances.

MR. SIEGEL: Correct.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So it is -- the action of this Board is integral to the subdivision process, and the subdivision process cannot take place without the approval of this Board. But this is not -- only a Planning Board can create a subdivision in the State of New York, that is the State Law. This Board doesn't have that authority. But the Planning Board, on the other hand, does not have the authority to vary the setbacks. The variance procedure, that power lies

1 with this Board. So it's a two-board process.

MR. SIEGEL: And it seems like this is what they want, that's on this page.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, if they change this at any point, they have to come back. If they go to the Planning Board and they say, "You know, we had a great time at the Zoning Board for two years, but we've decided we don't like this layout, we're going to do a new layout," the Planning Board is going to say, "Well, you got to go back to the Zoning Board."

MR. SARETSKY: I think the hard part is just that it seems like there were neighbors who were against this. They may have given up. They may -I know their houses were for sale. They were -whatever the reasons were, combined were where -that some of these where the rules are -- probably Joe. The criteria for whether things -- it can be perceived as undesirable to some and --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: That's a different standard than SEQRA.

MR. PROKOP: Those are different. We're just talking about --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You're a step ahead.
MR. SARETSKY: Okay.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You got to -- you got to stay with SEQRA.

MR. SARETSKY: I'm sorry, I'm sorry.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: SEQRA gives you the authority to act.

MR. SARETSKY: I'm sorry.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's a prescribed process.
MR. PROKOP: It is a -- it is a little related, because one of the questions that you have, one of the five questions is whether there's going to be an impact on the environment. So Zoning Boards kind of get tripped up sometimes, because they decide that they -- for SEQRA, they say it's not going to have an impact on the environment, and then they get to the five questions and they say that, "Wait a second, it is going to have an impact on the environment." I'm not -- and I'm not saying that this application will, but -- so the answer to your question is you're talking about the five questions, but one of the five questions is the impact on the environment.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And the standard is significant environmental impact. Everything has an environmental impact. The question is, is it

1 significant or not? So when you're answering that question for yourselves, my suggestion is, your balancing is there are two houses there now, they're occupied, they're used, and they have the impact that they have. This will create an imaginary line separating the ownership of these two houses. Will that action significantly change and increase the environmental impact that presently exists? That is the way that you weight it.

MR. SARETSKY: But when we get to the term significant, in other words, it's again somewhat subjective. And, again, I come back to is it better for the neighborhood, is it not?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: But you're not on neighborhood.

MR. SARETSKY: Right, I understand.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: In other words, answer the questions in a step-wise manner. If you create -if you allow them to create this imaginary line, will it significantly change the shade on the site, the light on the site, the way that the air flows on the site, yes or no?

MR. SIEGEL: I would say no.
MR. SARETSKY: No.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay. If they -- you grant
this imaginary line, will it significantly change the amount of noise that's generated on this site?

MR. SIEGEL: Only if the people who buy one of the houses likes to have noisy parties.

MR. SARETSKY: Right.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right, but they could have a noisy party now, couldn't they?

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah, and they can.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: But would it be a routine thing? In other words, would this be like creating a factory that has a hammer going eight hours a day?

MR. SARETSKY: Well, I would say no --
MR. SIEGEL: No.
MR. SARETSKY: -- because previously it was used as an Airbnb.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: So you would say?
MR. SIEGEL: I would say this would be less.
MR. SARETSKY: If it's sub -- oh, so you're saying if it's subdivided --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
MR. SARETSKY: -- it would need approval.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, all I'm saying, would it be significantly worse if you granted -- would there be significantly more noise if you granted
this imaginary line?
MR. SIEGEL: There's no way I could tell.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So water, would this have a significant impact on the amount of water that's used, or what happens to the water when it's used? In other words, are you taking a residential waste stream and making it a commercial waste stream?

MR. SARETSKY: No.
MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, okay. So these are what SEQRA is designed to look at. Will you create -- will your -- will this action create a significant environmental impact beyond what exists right now based on the basic parameters of air, water, noise? And if the answer to those questions are no, it won't, then you -- this Board would make a Negative Declaration of Significant Environmental Impact, which then would -- then you are looking at the five criteria.

So you have to get through this step, which is really pro forma, and it's very simple in the sense of are you going to have a significant impact or not. You know, are you building a gas station, or is this an imaginary line separating two houses that already exist? So --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Really, the only change is to these.

MR. SARETSKY: Right.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Whatever they could do now they'll be able to do later, and vice versa.

MR. SIEGEL: That's really true.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So the question to the Board is will a Board member make a motion that based upon the information in the record, that there is a Negative -- a Negative Declaration of Significant Environmental Impact?

MR. SIEGEL: May I ask, are these leaching pools all existing --

MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. SIEGEL: -- at this time?
MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. SIEGEL: They're existing?
MR. HULME: Yes, pursuant to a Health Department permit.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay. I only ask that because as I was driving over here, I saw a new project that went in that has like 4-foot-tall walls, concrete walls, and the tops of the tanks are right at the top of that, and like, wow, those are big walls. Anybody planning on building giant
walls around here?
MR. BERNSTEIN: No, it's all in the ground. MR. SIEGEL: You know what I mean? So -MR. HULME: And they're modern septic systems. They've been approved recently and installed recently. And so when we do go to the Health Department for -- they do have subdivision approval. We will just get a certification from our architect or an engineer that these comply with the current code. And as long as we're not building anything new or further, which would have other reviews in the village and elsewhere, they will approve the subdivision.

MR. SIEGEL: I think that we should -- well, should I make a motion?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Let's make a motion.
MR. SIEGEL: All right. So let's make a motion --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Just make a motion.
MR. SIEGEL: -- that this action is -- has no negative --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: It's a Negative Declaration --

MR. SIEGEL: It's a Negative Declaration --
MR. TERCHUNIAN: -- of Significant

1 Environmental Impact.

MR. SIEGEL: -- of Significant Environmental Impact.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: So you need a second and a vote on that.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Somebody like to second? I'll second it.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Now let's vote.
MR. SIEGEL: Just the four of us, right?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor? (Raised hand).

MR. SIEGEL: (Raised hand). Two-two. We need another person.

MR. PROKOP: So the motion doesn't pass. And now we're -- now we're stuck. Maybe what you could do is put -- I don't have a recommendation. I was just going to say put it over until the next meeting, but I'm not going to recommend.

MR. MIZZI: I mean, I feel like we -- I feel like I missed a meeting, and I feel like, you know, then $I$ got -- I got a small packet in the mail and $I$ was just handed a big packet, now something is being explained to us that I wasn't clear on and --

MR. PROKOP: So we're kind of stuck now. So maybe the thing to do is to put it off for a meeting, as long as you -- but I'm not recommending that.

MR. MIZZI: I mean, I don't know. The survey that was issued on Wednesday, I didn't receive it. I got a packet before Wednesday, I didn't get another, you know.

MS. SADELI: It was all -- all that is exactly what was in the -- all the previous packets.

MR. MIZZI: What I was handed --
MR. HULME: The only change was the name and a lot coverage --

MR. MIZZI: What I was handed was not in the --

MR. HULME: This application has not changed in any significant way since the beginning of this process two years ago.

MR. MIZZI: I just don't understand why $I$ got -- why I got one packet. This is -- I got a very small packet.

MR. SARETSKY: I'm still uncomfortable with it, that's why I'm not really voting for it.

MR. SIEGEL: But you're not actually voting for it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: I'm going to explain the process again, because $I$ think that maybe you don't understand the process. When you vote on SEQRA, you are not voting to approve or disapprove the project. You are merely voting to give the Board the authority to approve or disapprove the project. And so --

MR. SARETSKY: And again -- again, I have no -- then I suppose I have no issue with it. I just am reluctant to for other reasons. So I'm -- if your recommendation, then, is that this is not leading to the decision, then I guess I don't have an issue with it -- against it.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, the decision will be the 10 variances that they are requesting.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Which you will go through one at a time and review each variance, and then vote on -- right, Joe? That's the way you do it, right?

MR. PROKOP: Well, they could vote as a group or vote individually.

You know, one of the things that happened with the application is we had -- the last meeting on this was in September, and we were -- we

1 adjourned it to have a survey, which we got. And
2 I think -- I think, basically, the -- what the
3 members are expressing is they're uncomfortable
4 because of the lapse of time. You know, we need basically a rebooting of the discussion which we -- which we had today, but that's what I'm --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So would you guys like to adjourn this to another meeting?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, at least get through the SEQRA process.

MR. HULME: You're in the middle of the SEQRA process.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: You really should complete the SEQRA process.

MR. HULME: Because there's a motion pending on the SEQRA determination. If you decide there's no Neg Dec, then it's a Positive Dec, then we have to go do a Generic Environmental Impact Study, and these are just for variances. This is -- if we could at least get past the SEQRA part.

MR. PROKOP: The problem is --
MR. MIZZI: Okay. Could you clarify, Joe?
MR. PROKOP: So what's happened is we don't have a vote, then we just -- we just denied the --

MR. HULME: Well, these two guys didn't

1 actually vote. There were two yes votes and --

MR. PROKOP: Can I just advise my client, please?

MR. HULME: You can, but I want it for the record.

MR. PROKOP: And then you can have all day. I'll sit here and listen to you all day.

MR. HULME: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: Why don't you let me finish?
MR. HULME: I will take you up on that, Joe.
MR. PROKOP: Okay. My uninterrupted advice to the Board -- what just happened is we had a non -- we just had a denial of a motion to adopt a Negative Declaration. So the choices that you have is to either -- to do a new motion, which would be to determine that -- excuse me, Jim, I'm sorry, I apologize that I cut you off. I'm sorry. MR. HULME: All right.

MR. PROKOP: We could either redo the motion as a -- what's called a Positive Declaration, which is that we can determine that it will have a significant negative impact on the environment. You should express the aspects of the environment that you think that it will have a significant impact on.

There's one other alternative, which is called a Conditioned Negative Declaration, which is that you could determine that it will not have a significant negative impact on the environment provided certain conditions are met, okay? So I didn't -- we haven't really talked about conditions, so I'm not -- I'm not suggesting that, because I don't know what conditions you would consider imposing, but those are your choices. So --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Just to clarify what Joe said, because he brought out a very important point, but $I$ want to underscore it, the Board's choice at this point is to make a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact and proceed to a decision yay or nay, or, if you don't make a negative decision, it becomes a positive environmental impact, which is then instructions to the applicant to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement, which then will come back to this Board, and then this Board will have to act on that.

I can tell you in my 35 years of practice, I've never done a Pos Dec on a variance, what's called a Pos Dec, a Positive Declaration of

1 Environmental Impact. They just -- they don't 2 rise to that level of --

MR. PROKOP: On a residential.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: -- significance on a residential.

MR. MIZZI: What was that last thing you mentioned, a condition?

MR. PROKOP: A conditioned negative -- I'm sorry, these pretzels are my breakfast. I'm sorry if I'm --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: It's okay.
MR. PROKOP: -- nibbling on these, I apologize.
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: You can have what's called a Conditioned Negative Declaration, which means that you visualize conditions that you want to impose, that you want to impose on the applicant. They could be mild or they could be significant. But you tell the applicant as long as you don't -we're willing to give you a Negative Declaration, as long as you comply with these conditions, one condition, a couple of conditions. But we haven't really talked about conditions, so I don't know what they would be.

MR. SARETSKY: I guess, Joe, the question I have on that is the conditions are not really my issue, it's really the issue of the subdivision that I'm more concerned about. So, again, as far as the variances and us going through them, and that, you know, I'm open to reviewing that, but it's --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah. But what I think you're saying is that you think that this application is going to positively affect -- needs to be pos dec'd, because it will negatively affect the environment, the community, or something else.

MR. SARETSKY: Yes. Yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: I'm sorry. Yes?
MR. SARETSKY: Yes, I think it -- that it has an impact like that.

MR. HULME: But there's nothing in the record that suggests that's the case. There's absolutely nothing in the record to base that conclusion on.

MR. SARETSKY: I'm talking about the subdivision component of it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, but this --
MR. SARETSKY: I'm not talking about the environmental piece, so to speak, the light --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: But, see, that decision -in my opinion --

MR. SARETSKY: Okay.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: -- this is a two-part decision. Part one decision is we give ourselves the authority under State Law to make a determination. That's what SEQRA is. Part two of the decision is I'm looking at these individual variances being -- are being requested, and either I like them, or I don't like them, or I like them with conditions. So when I hear you talking, what I'm hearing you say is you're talking about the actual decision of the Board, as opposed to the decision that gives the Board the authority to make that decision.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: See, what I think Aram is saying is we really -- we're not empowered to vote on the subdivision, okay? But you can vote negatively on every one of these variances that might actually negatively affect this subdivision and it may never happen.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The subdivision cannot occur with without these variances. So if you vote these variances down, there's no subdivision. MR. SARETSKY: Okay.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: But you cannot vote on these variances until you adopt SEQRA.

MR. PROKOP: The other thing is that you could --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: In other words, all these setback issues, do they negatively affect SEQRA?

MS. SADELI: Right.
MR. SARETSKY: Joe, do you recommend that this is the route we go?

MR. SIEGEL: Can we even?
MR. PROKOP: Are there -- can I talk to you for a second?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah.
(Mr. Prokop and Mr. Terchunian stepped away from the meeting.)

MR. HULME: One possible solution here is that we can deal with SEQRA now, then we can adjourn it. I can come back. You guys can look at everything we've supplied. I can come back with the discussion of the five elements again, and then you can make a decision on the variance the next time.

I think that there's an -- I think that there is an indication that some of the members weren't sure what they were voting on when the

1 SEQRA vote was called for. It's just the -- it's 2 just the -- you're not approving the variances by 3 adopting SEQRA, negative, positive, or otherwise.

4 Your Board will have plenty of opportunity to

7 grant or deny those variances, we're not anywhere.
So I would ask that you reconsider the vote on the Neg Dec, and so that we can at least get that far, and then move on from there.

MR. PROKOP: So I think that there may be reservations -- there may be concerns about the application that are catching us now in the SEQRA vote. I think that there may be conditions that you might want to consider, you know, about future development and things like that. But I don't -but $I$ don't think that that should necessarily hold up the SEQRA vote.

So, Aram, do you have a recommendation for the SEQRA vote?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yes, I do. I've reviewed this application, and based on my professional opinion, I recommend that the Board adopt a Negative Declaration pursuant to SEQRA and proceed to its decision.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Now we can adopt SEQRA and we can actually --

MR. SARETSKY: Okay.
MR. MIZZI: We're prepared to do it.
MR. SARETSKY: We're prepared to do it.
MR. PROKOP: And the kinds of things that you expressed, concerns about the neighbors' opinion, you can -- you're still going to be able to address that --

MR. SARETSKY: Okay, good.
MR. PROKOP: -- in the decision.
MR. SARETSKY: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: So now, if you wanted to re-vote, it would be a new motion to determine that the approval of variances will not have a significant negative impact on the environment, and, therefore, the Board is adopting a Negative Declaration for purposes of SEQRA.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: So you need a motion, a second and a vote.

MR. SIEGEL: You can do that and I'll second. It.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. I'd like to, yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: So, Mr. Chairman, you're making that motion?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes. I'd like to make
that motion, yes. Would you like to --
MR. SIEGEL: And I'll second that motion. CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Sorry.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Call the question.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I'm going to call for a vote. All in favor?

MR. MIZZI: (Raised hand)
MR. SARETSKY: (Raised hand)
MR. SIEGEL: (Raised hand)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: (Raised hand)
Oh, we actually got a vote.
Okay. Would you guys like to adjourn at this point?

MR. SIEGEL: It seems that there's more to talk about, and voting on the variances right now is going to be --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: It's going to go bad.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Would you -- should we give Jim some instructions on information he may want to bring back to bring this vote to a head next time?

MR. SIEGEL: I don't mean to be any kind of a problem, but a letter --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I'm not trying to put you
under pressure. Just if you have anything you want him to deal with, let's put it down.

MR. SIEGEL: A letter from each of the side neighbors saying they don't mind --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You heard that, Jim?
MR. HULME: What's that? What did he say?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: He wants you -- he's joking.
(Laughter)
MR. SIEGEL: I wasn't trying to be funny, but a letter from the neighbors would be really nice.

MR. PROKOP: The relevant consideration before the Board, when -- if we -- at the next meeting on this, the relevant consideration will be the -- those five questions.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: So I think that -- I think that if Jim is going to provide us with anything, which he already has, but he could maybe go through that more in depth.

MR. HULME: I'll be happy to do it again.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: Jim should give us an analysis, you know, at least a week before the meeting.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. HULME: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: And I think that we should have a meeting at least 30 days from today.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: Or at the next cycle of our meeting date.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: And if the -- you know, the members have -- now this is all front and center, and you have thoughts that come to you that you want him to address, just communicate them to the Clerk, and she will pass them along, you know, to the applicant and his agent, so that, you know -because it would be better to give them a chance to --

MR. SARETSKY: Sure.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: -- you know, understand your concern and address it before your next meeting.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: And, Jim, I think we should maybe do a little research into the 826's and 36's.

MR. HULME: Sure.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay?
MR. SARETSKY: Yeah, that would be great.

MR. HULME: I've already --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Which is very similar.
MR. HULME: Yeah.
MR. SIEGEL: What's that, Harvey?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: What's that?
MR. SIEGEL: What's that, 826?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: This is the other group that's very similar to this application.

MR. PROKOP: I think the hearing's technically closed. Will you consent to give us the extension of time --

MR. HULME: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: -- for the next meeting,

```
please?
```

MR. HULME: Yes. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So we have to make a

```
motion to close this --
```

MR. PROKOP: No, it's a motion to adjourn the meeting.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: To adjourn it. Would you
like to make a motion to adjourn this meeting?
MR. SIEGEL: Yes, I would like to make a motion to adjourn this meeting.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Who would like to second it?
MR. PROKOP: On this application.

MR. SARETSKY: Second.
MR. HULME: Adjourn this application. I
think you have another applicant.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. HULME: You don't want to --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.
MR. HULME: -- tell them that you're not going to hear them today.
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: If we did that, it's all your fault.

MR. HULME: It would be my fault. I would take it.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, we're getting close.
MR. HULME: Yes. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. HULME: So you'll find a date.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We'll come up with a date, yes.
MR. HULME: Come up with a date and let me
know. All right. Thank you.
MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you very much.
MR. GESSIN: Come on up.
MS. SADELI: Oh, sorry, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.

MS. SADELI: This is a hearing for Robert and Christina Young, Suffolk County Tax Map \#0907-02-02-17, 812 Dune Road, West Hampton Dunes, New York, requesting a variance of 44 feet of the front yard setback requirement of Section 560-8 for a deck, where the required setback is 70 feet, and application proposes a setback for a deck of 26 feet.

A variance of 44 feet of the front yard setback requirement of Section 560-8 for a pool, where the required setback is 70 feet, and the application proposes a setback for a pool of 26 feet.

MR. VERO: Good morning. Nicholas Vero, Architect, 120 Mill Road, Westhampton Beach, for the applicants, Robert and Christina Young. I'll wait for you to finish.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Go ahead. No, no, go, go.
MR. VERO: Okay. So the applicant is looking to build a pool on their house, and we're here to seek variances for front yard setback from Cove Lane. Because of the nature of the cul-de-sac on Cove Lane, we have two front yards, Dune Road being the southerly front yard, and now, obviously, Cove Lane being the rear of the

1 property, which would require the setback, the
2 front yard setback from Cove Lane.
Just pointing out, Aram reminded me before that there was a variance granted on this house for front yard setback when the house was built. The name was Dina Sante (phonetic). I believe Jim 7 Hulme did the variance years ago. I designed the 8 house after the variance was granted, so --

Cove Lane, obviously, the people who live on Cove Lane, once in a while they'll come down here, turn around and leave Cove Lane, you know, back out onto Dune Road where the exit -- where it comes out to Dune Road to the east of us.

So, like I said, the only variances that are required here are for the deck setback, the pool setback. We don't need a variance for lot coverage, because we meet our lot coverage requirement of $20 \%$.

And I'll answer any questions.
MR. SIEGEL: Yeah. I missed when the Clerk read out the variance requests, and it's probably written here somewhere.

MS. SADELI: Do you want a copy here?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No, we actually don't have it.
MR. SIEGEL: We don't have --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I don't have it.
MR. SIEGEL: -- the variance request? The variance says that you find what we needed. Did I see this?

MR. VERO: Two front -- a front yard variance for a deck and a pool, and the front yard variances are from Cove Lane. So the requirement is 70 feet.

MR. SIEGEL: So you're asking for a variance of 44.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes, the 26.1, the 22.1.
MR. VERO: Right.
MR. SIEGEL: So it's the pool and the deck.
MR. VERO: Pool and the deck.
MR. SIEGEL: It's the same situation with
the 70 --
MR. VERO: Correct.
MR. SIEGEL. -- versus the -- these numbers here.

MR. VERO: Your required -- your Required rear yard setback for the zone is 70 feet.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay. I actually have a couple of other questions.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Go ahead.
MR. SIEGEL: The pool, the height of the pool, what is the proposed height?

MR. VERO: The height of the pool is down at the lower level deck, not at the upper level deck. So it's going to be probably 8 feet above grade, maybe a little bit less.

MR. SIEGEL: So you're talking about flush with the current deck that's there?

MR. VERO: Flush, flush with the current
deck that's on the first floor, yes. MR. SIEGEL: Right. MR. VERO: First floor deck, not the second. MR. SIEGEL: And how -- about 8 feet there? MR. VERO: It's about 8 feet, maybe a little bit less.

MR. SIEGEL: And this is like a gunite pool, so you're going to do like a gunite pool?

MR. VERO: Yeah, we'll do a gunite. MR. SIEGEL: A hundred percent out of the ground.

MR. VERO: Yes, yes, correct.
MR. SIEGEL: And there would need to be some kind of skirt.

MR. VERO: Yeah. Well, a skirt, the outside probably with a lattice. You know, that's -- it's on the house right now.

MR. SIEGEL: I would say also that you actually -- you don't need a safety fence around the property, but you would -- but the home would become -- you'd have to have some kind of alarms for the pool and stuff like that.

MR. VERO: Yeah, all -- we would meet State Code once we would get our building permit, State Code. So either the alarm --

MR. SIEGEL: Because there's no way you can get there from the property.

MR. VERO: Correct.
MR. SIEGEL: From the homes.
MR. VERO: Right.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah.
MR. VERO: Well, that's a requirement of the Building Department.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You know, they have to have alarms on the --

MR. VERO: Or alarms on the door, or, you know, the safety fence between the house now, or another safety fence between the pool and --

MR. SIEGEL: True.
MR. VERO: -- the house. So, either way, however they want us to handle it, once we put in a building permit.

MR. SIEGEL: Right.
MR. VERO: So it's either way.
MR. SIEGEL: But the fence is ridiculous.
MR. VERO: Right, right.
MR. SIEGEL: It's not the way to go. I have one question.

MR. VERO: I mean, I think the other thing that I'm sure that the Youngs would want to do,
and I'll offer this up right now, we will screen the property line on Cove Lane --

MR. SIEGEL: That was my next question.
MR. VERO: -- with hedging so --
MR. SIEGEL: You're talking about landscaping?

MR. VERO: Yes, we would definitely landscape screen there. And I'm sure they're going to want their privacy, because it is the front yard, so to speak. I don't know if anybody cuts through their property to go to the beach through Cove Lane, but --

MR. MIZZI: They may never like that.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The Mayor used to drive through there.

MR. VERO: Oh, the Mayor used to drive --
MS. YOUNG: I actually don't want anyone coming through, because it's -- there's a few --

MR. MIZZI: People used to cut through there.

MS. YOUNG: Yeah.
MR. VERO: Yeah. So we would hedge that entire area off for privacy, the entire rear, yeah.

MS. YOUNG: And put like a real fence that

1 people don't rip down on us.

MR. SIEGEL: The interesting thing about the screening that we're talking about, being that the pool is so up in the air, it really doesn't give you any privacy.

MR. VERO: Yeah, that's true, too. They would have to put something else there.

MR. SIEGEL: So if you're really talking about like privacy, you may consider having a couple of steps down to that piece of the pool deck and lower the pool into the ground a little bit.

I know that the variances we're talking about are distance variances, they're not really bulk variances, not like -- I don't know what bulk is. FAR? Not really, but, you know, like it only is in plan. It has nothing to do with the height. But the reality is the higher it is the more imposing it is.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So is your concern the pool or the view -- or the view on the ground?

MR. MIZZI: You're saying that this would be like the mass of the --

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah.
MR. MIZZI: Is that what you're saying?

8 thing was happening, but it would be tough to get

MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
MR. VERO: -- upper level, okay?
MR. SIEGEL: I got it.
MR. VERO: Okay. So the bedrooms are
downstairs. So for them to come from the living area down to the deck down to another deck, that's -- you know, I mean, it's a little bit of a strategic, you know, problem, because kitchen's upstairs, you know, parties and entertaining take -- okay?

Problem number two is the further we go into the ground, then we're talking about possibility of dewatering, and dewatering is a nightmare in your village, in any village, anywhere where's dewatering, because, you know, we either have to pump the water back into the ground, okay, or the water has to go into a trough or a dry well, and it becomes, you know, all kinds of problems in the permit process and that is --

MR. SIEGEL: So is the -- do you know if the depth of the pool is going to be around 8 feet?

MR. VERO: The depth of the -- we're doing --

MS. YOUNG: No.
MR. VERO: We're going to probably wind up
doing --
MS. YOUNG: Sport, like four feet.
MR. VERO: Yeah, sport, four foot, right, so right, so.

MR. SIEGEL: So four feet?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: But all you're going to see is lattice.

MR. VERO: Right, all you're going to see is lattice and, you know --

MR. SIEGEL: So, but, I mean --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No. All you're going to see is the --

MR. VERO: You're not going to see -- you're not going to see lattice.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You're going to see this further out.

MR. SARETSKY: Right. You're going to see the mass.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That's all you're going to see. You're not going to see the pool.

MR. VERO: But you're not even going to see that, because if we hedge along the property line, somebody at grade --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That's true.
MR. VERO: -- is only going to see hedging,
not going to see the pool. People in the pool are going to see the end of the street.

MR. SIEGEL: Mitigation is that it will not be at the level of the kitchen. MR. VERO: Right. MR. SIEGEL: It's already 8 feet lower than what they really wanted.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You're not asking for it on the second floor, right?

MR. VERO: No.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: First floor.
MR. VERO: First floor.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah. No, he's only
asking for the first floor.
MR. SIEGEL: I know, I know.
MR. MIZZI: You made a comment about the --
MR. SIEGEL: They lowered it 8 feet from where they wanted it.

MR. MIZZI: No. And you made a comment about the hedges being for privacy for -- it's not the privacy for the swimming, it's to conceal the -- to make it look nice when you drive by.

MR. SIEGEL: I agree.
MR. MIZZI: So I think if -- if that's what Nick is proposing --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So you would like the plantings, the way $I$ see it, at grade covering the lattice, as opposed to at the pool deck level covering let's say the railings and the people?

MR. SIEGEL: Oh, I never suggested putting plantings up on the pool deck level.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: I would not suggest that. And as long as there was some kind of screening, whether it's at the property line --

MR. VERO: True.
MR. SIEGEL: -- or against the -or against the pool --

MR. SARETSKY: Structure.
MR. SIEGEL: -- structure itself, that's not relevant to me.

MR. MIZZI: Not those little shrubs they put down the street.

MR. SIEGEL: Oh, those little guys?
MR. MIZZI: Not those little shrubs you guys were putting down the street.

MR. SIEGEL: Oh, those little ones?
MR. MIZZI: Those little --
MR. VERO: I have -- I forgot a pencil. I have a crayon with me. So, I mean, I would want

1 to do something like that.

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah.
MR. VERO: And if you do something 6 to 8 feet high, you know, the people on the street in Cove Lane or the people in the car are not going to see the pool. The further you're out onto your property line with the -- with your screening, it's going to have less impact of what you're going to see 26 feet away. So we're not too concerned about them being on their deck looking at the people out in the street, okay?

MR. SIEGEL: One thing that this does not show is that there's a house right here.

MR. VERO: Correct.
MR. SIEGEL: You know. And that I would potentially suggest that maybe if you use the same budget --

MR. VERO: Oh, yeah, actually, no, we would --

MR. SIEGEL: That it go to here.
MR. VERO: Yeah. So let me take my crayon out, yeah.

MR. MIZZI: And for clarity, this 26 he's compliant?

MR. VERO: You can borrow my crayon any
time.
MR. MIZZI: This 26 for the accessory to the back of the pool is compliant?

MR. VERO: Yeah, That's compliant, yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes, yes, yes.
MR. VERO: That's compliant. Yeah, we could do it all the way across.

MR. SARETSKY: So the only real -- so the variance really is for this, right?

MR. MIZZI: This.
MS. YOUNG: No. That we already have.
MR. SIEGEL: No, no, that's already a variance.

MR. MIZZI: Just this.
MR. VERO: Just that, that's the only variance.

MR. SIEGEL: Because this is a front yard and this is a front yard.

MR. VERO: Two front yards.
MR. SIEGEL: How many properties have two front yards?

MR. VERO: There might three or four in the Village. Aram would know that. How many -- how many properties have two front yards in the Village, three?

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Well, these --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The one next door.
MR. VERO: There's one next door.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: On each end of Dune Road.
MR. VERO: Right, that's four.
MS. SADELI: Wait. For the stenographer, everybody has to speak one at a time.

MR. SARETSKY: We get excited sometimes.
MR. VERO: So I guess the question was how many --

MR. PROKOP: We need more than one stenographer now.
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: This is the other variance that this Board issued before when you were on the Board. This is the house next door?

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah. What was that for?
MR. SARETSKY: It was for --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The pool.
MR. SARETSKY: The pool.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: It's in the side yard -actually, the front yard.

MR. MIZZI: Which he blamed -- he blamed Colucci for putting in his pool, which didn't have a permit. He wanted his pool.

MR. VERO: I had nothing to do with that, did I? I don't think so.
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So that's sort of, without the lattice, what it's going to look like, if you do no plantings.

MR. SIEGEL: That's also lower down than that.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Not much.
MR. VERO: No, it's right there, up there.
MS. YOUNG: They're all flush with the first level.

MR. PROKOP: Whose house is that high?
MS. YOUNG: The one next door.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: It's eight-twelve.
MS. SADELI: No, eight-ten.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Aram, what's the name on that?

MR. VERO: Eight-ten, eight-ten.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: The name is Gomerman.
MR. PROKOP: Oh, that's Gomerman?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Yeah.
MR. PROKOP: I thought we -- I didn't know you approved a pool.

MR. MIZZI: We did.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, yeah, yeah, we did that.

MR. PROKOP: A deck?
MR. MIZZI: A lower deck.
MR. SARETSKY: It was the -- there were deck clearances.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No, they're about at the same elevation. I mean, I don't have them in the one shot.

MR. MIZZI: I'm good with this, with the landscaping.

MR. SIEGEL: So I had a question, and it was the neighbor in the rear. Do they know about this application?

MR. VERO: Of course.
MS. SADELI: Yeah.
MS. YOUNG: Well, they were noticed.
MR. VERO: They were noticed.
MR. SIEGEL: And they're not here to say --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: I actually ran into them this morning when $I$ took the pictures and they had no opinion on it.

MR. SARETSKY: The only question $I$ have --
MR. MIZZI: And we're in compliance up against the property line. We're really going

1 really into --

MR. SARETSKY: The only question $I$ raise is, you know, and I know what the applicant said, but maybe the screening should be more around the structure, because here, is it more -- I'm not sure which is better, but I'm not sure it matters.

MR. MIZZI: And also like the -- while it's -- while it's --

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah. As far as the perspective view goes, when you go away, you get more benefit from something closer to where you're looking from than over here, you're really going to --

MR. MIZZI: And also, also take note that like this was somebody's property line. It's -it would be just up against someone's property.

MR. VERO: That's correct.
MR. MIZZI: But this is actually in a road and --

MR. SIEGEL: Right.
MR. MIZZI: -- the real road like --
MR. VERO: Road is all the way back there, so it's another -- right, it's another 20 feet away.

MR. MIZZI: It's not even -- it's not as

1 great a distance.

MR. SARETSKY: I mean, I was just asking more than concerned about it.

MR. VERO: And what we tried to do, we tried to maintain what we conceived as a side yard setback, that's why the pool is set back.

MS. YOUNG: This is the only thing, is like I'm just going to put hedges, what's perceived in the middle of the property. I mean, obviously I would put my hedges here along the property line, but the fencing is kind of here, because the street is actually here.

MR. VERO: But You can't have fencing on their -- on village property.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, the fencing has to go on --

MR. VERO: This is village property.
MS. YOUNG: I don't want anymore fencing.
MR. MIZZI: Which is okay. You could have landscaping on --

MR. VERO: But you have -- your Landscaping cannot be on village property, it would have to be on the property line. So you can go -- the perception is that that's currently on top.

MR. SIEGEL: That's going to be a little

1 weird for you.

MR. VERO: Yeah, it's going to be weird, right.

MR. SIEGEL: I mean, it's going to seem like it's halfway between the street --

MS. YOUNG: I mean, not to pry or anything. Does anyone else have these things? I mean, there's all nonconforming pools around me. So the guy next door to me has the pool 8 feet up at his first level to -- when you're facing the street on the left --

MR. MIZZI: But I was joking before, though. What happened is --

MS. YOUNG: -- and on the right.
MR. MIZZI: What happened is --
MS. YOUNG: With no planting.
MR. MIZZI: -- somebody had a pool with no plantings. The next guy said, "Well, that guy put the pool in with no plantings, I'm entitled to a pool." We approved it, now there's two, now there's a third. And so I think we're allowing -we're approving variances to let people put pools in, and I think it's prudent to address it with landscaping.

MR. VERO: Which is not -- which is not --

MR. SIEGEL: I have a suggestion.
MR. VERO: -- unusual.
MR. SIEGEL: I have a suggestion, and that is that --

MR. MIZZI: And we had to --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Let's try to talk one at a time.

MS. YOUNG: I mean, we're going to put plantings, because we want privacy. I'm just like trying to figure it out. It's just --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Please, guys, one at a time. One at a time.

MS. YOUNG: I'm just trying to figure out. Obviously, the plantings, we want plantings anyway, because I do want my privacy. So I'm not -- I'm not concerned about this. I'm just thinking how this is going to look --

MR. MIZZI: Can I make a suggestion?
MS. YOUNG: -- in the middle of the property.

MR. MIZZI: Could you -- if we approve -- we could approve landscaping that gets approved, and what we've done in the past is that if Nick draws -- talks to you, draws something up, submits it and we approve it.

MS. YOUNG: For the landscaping.
MR. MIZZI: Yeah.
MS. YOUNG: Okay.
MR. MIZZI: You know, we approve this
variance subject to --
MS. YOUNG: To --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: A landscape plan.
MS. YOUNG: Because I am going to -- we are going to do landscaping.

MR. MIZZI: An acceptable -- an acceptable landscape plan.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: So you get the pool in and up.
MS. YOUNG: That makes sense. Thank you.
MR. PROKOP: Okay. So I think we can adopt SEQRA.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Are you sure?
MR. MIZZI: I can't adopt SEQRA either.
(Laughter)
MR. VERO: You want me to leave and come back?

MR. MIZZI: And give us an environmental assessment plan.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. So is there any other comments anybody would like to make?

MR. SARETSKY: I don't think I have any
issues.
MR. MIZZI: I'm good. I'm good, subject to

## a --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: A landscape plan.
MR. MIZZI: -- a landscape plan.
MR. SIEGEL: Me, too.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. So let's -- I guess we have to adopt SEQRA first.

MR. SIEGEL: Can I say something about the landscape plan?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Anything you want.
MR. SIEGEL: But we can adopt SEQRA, too, by the way, if you want.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: If you have more comments, keep going.

MR. SIEGEL: Well, having, too, the landscape plan, $I$ just wanted to ask a question about outdoor lighting, outdoor lighting. Sometimes we're pretty sensitive to the neighbors about outdoor lighting, so $I$ would say that the landscape plan should also include lighting.

MR. VERO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Lighting and landscape plan.

MR. SIEGEL: Correct.

MR. PROKOP: We've done that in the past.
MR. SIEGEL: Something that shows that you're not having like a --

MR. SARETSKY: A-dollar-and-seventeen
lights --
MR. SIEGEL: Yeah.
MR. SARETSKY: -- shining on it.
MS. YOUNG: No, no, I get it. We just had somebody do that to us.

MR. SARETSKY: Well, I had that near my house.

MR. PROKOP: The first motion is a motion for the Board to adopt Lead Agency status, and determine that this is a Type II Action for SEQRA, and that, therefore, no further $S E Q R A$ is required.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Make a motion?
MR. SARETSKY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. All vote.
MR. MIZZI: (Raised hand)
MR. SARETSKY: (Raised hand)
MR. SIEGEL: (Raised hand)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: (Raised hand)
Okay. That one was pretty easy. Okay.
Keep going, Joe.
MR. PROKOP: The next motion is a motion for
the Board to determine --
MS. SADELI: Oh, Joe, she said she didn't get a second.

MS. BRAATEN: Was there a second? I got a motion, but --

MR. SIEGEL: Second.
MS. YOUNG: Thank you.
MR. VERO: Wait, not done yet.
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: The next motion is for the Board to determine with respect to the five --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Nope, nope, Negative Declaration.

MR. PROKOP: I did. No, it's a Type II Action.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Oh, it's a Type II.
MR. PROKOP: Yeah.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: With respect to the five criteria, I suggest that the Board determine that the granting of the requested variances will not produce a negative -- excuse me -- will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties, provided there's proper buffering that

1 the applicant is going to submit in a landscaping

That the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than the requested area variances.

The requested variances are not substantial.
The requested variances will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district, provided that a proper landscaping plan is submitted, because the variances are other than for a permanent structure. I'm sorry, just end it at that. They're not going to have a negative impact on the environmental conditions in the neighborhood.

And that the alleged -- the alleged difficulty was self-created, but that consideration does not preclude the granting of the requested area variance by the Board.

MR. MIZZI: I have one question. If that wasn't a special condition, like say that if that -- if there wasn't a road, Cove Lane wasn't there, what's the required side yard setback?

MR. VERO: Twenty feet.

MR. MIZZI: Twenty feet.
MR. PROKOP: Well, if it wasn't there, the side back setback would be -- for an accessory structure, I think it's -- for a pool, I think it's 40 .

MR. TERCHUNIAN: No, it's 20 feet.
MR. VERO: It's 20 feet.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Twenty.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Twenty feet.
MR. MIZZI: So I think it should --
MR. PROKOP: On one side it is 25 feet -- 26
feet on one side, something like that.
MR. VERO: But, I can answer your -- I'll answer your question. If we didn't have Cove Lane there, then we would have a rear yard problem.

MR. MIZZI: Okay.
MR. VERO: We have no rear yard. Actually, then that becomes a rear yard.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You're right, you'd still need a variance.

MR. VERO: So we'd still probably need a variance, unless -- what's our rear yard setback? Twenty feet accessory.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Accessory is 20 feet, yeah.

MR. VERO: Yeah. No, so we wouldn't need anything --

MR. MIZZI: I guess what I'm saying is I think -- I'd like it to be noted that my approval of this is specific to this condition, where the front of the house is on Dune Road, clearly. There just happens to be this road here, which is creating the second --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: The situation is created by two front yards.

MR. MIZZI: Yeah, exactly.
MR. VERO: Two front yards, that's it.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: That oppose each other.
MR. VERO: That's it.
MR. MIZZI: Yeah, because that --
MR. SARETSKY: You need to put -- note that just --

MR. MIZZI: As we look at other variances, like this wouldn't even need to come to us if it weren't -- that was somebody's property.

MR. PROKOP: I think you're expressing that it's due to the unique circumstances of this application.

MR. VERO: Which is what I stated in the opening statement, right.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes, he did.
MR. PROKOP: Okay. So that would be the motion with regard to the five considerations, if -- based on what I heard from the Board, that would be my recommended motion.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Would you like to make a motion?

MR. SIEGEL: I would make that motion, as read by our Attorney.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Would someone like to second it?

MR. SARETSKY: (Raised hand)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. All in favor?
MR. MIZZI: (Raised hand)
MR. SARETSKY: (Raised hand)
MR. SIEGEL: (Raised hand)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: (Raised hand)
MR. PROKOP: Okay. So now you did SEQRA, you did the five considerations, so now you're free to take action on the application. And this is two variances. One is for the pool and one is for the deck.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. So --
MR. PROKOP: But you could take -- do it in one decision. Excuse me.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Do we want to vote and close this hearing today, or do we want to put it off, let them bring the landscape and lighting plan in the next 10 days and approve it at the next meeting?

MR. MIZZI: I'm willing to approve it subject to an acceptable landscaping plan.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay. Who's going to accept the landscape plan? Somebody has to say yay or nay to that.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Say that again.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: In other words, if they submit a plan that's not acceptable, what -- who's going to make that determination that it is or is not acceptable?

MR. VERO: Is it you, is it the Building Department? Sometimes the conditions are the building Department.

MR. MIZZI: In the past --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, in the past it's been this Board.

MR. VERO: Okay. Then it's fine.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. MIZZI: I mean, what we -- actually, in the past, someone said we're going to do this --

MR. VERO: Okay.
MR. MIZZI: -- and then they didn't really do it, and we came back and said, "You really didn't do it," and they fixed it. So I think if we just know that you're going to do it, it wouldn't prevent the start of the pool. I assume you want the pool for the summer.

MR. PROKOP: Once you submit the plan, you have to -- don't start until you submit the plan on this.

MR. MIZZI: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Don't start construction?
MR. PROKOP: Right. We shouldn't -- they shouldn't build it.

MS. YOUNG: Until you submit the --
MR. VERO: Right.
MR. SARETSKY: Landscape plan.
MR. VERO: All right. So we'll -- the condition, we'll meet the condition, if that's what you guys want to do for 10 day, that's absolutely perfect. No problem with that at all.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay, which means we then have to schedule another public hearing to --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Not a public hearing, it's
for decision only.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The next one?
MR. TERCHUNIAN: (Nodded yes)
MR. SARETSKY: We did this before.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Oh, but we have to
schedule another meeting.
MS. YOUNG: Do I need to --
MR. PROKOP: No, you're going to vote on it today.

MS. YOUNG: Do you need to send out notices on that and everything?

MR. VERO: No, no.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We're going to vote on this now.

MR. PROKOP: You should vote on this today --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: -- subject to the submission of a --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Of a landscape and lighting plan.

MR. SIEGEL: And the landscaping would need irrigation as well, so that --

MR. VERO: Of course, yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, don't say that.

We've had applications --
MR. VERO: No, I'm sorry. I apologize.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- that actually did not want to do irrigation.

MR. PROKOP: What's the drainage of the pool? How is the pool going to be drained?

MR. VERO: We'll have a dry well.
MR. PROKOP: How's the pool going to be drained?

MR. VERO: We'll have a dry well for backflow, put in, you know, for flushing.

MR. PROKOP: Okay.
MR. VERO: That's typical of a pool.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Just throw that on the landscape plan.

MR. VERO: We'll put it on the landscape plan, sure.

MR. SIEGEL: Yeah, because that's not shown on the survey.

MR. PROKOP: So that's the motion.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. So let's make a motion to --

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Close the hearing.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Close the hearing.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Take written submissions
within 10 days of an acceptable landscape plan, including lighting and --

MR. VERO: Irrigation.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Irrigation.
MR. VERO: And drainage.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: And drainage.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor?
MR. MIZZI: Aye.
MR. SARETSKY: Aye.
MR. SIEGEL: In favor.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: (Raised hand). Unanimous.
MR. PROKOP: And you have to vote to approve
it -- approve it. You just voted to close the hearing and accept the plan. You want to vote to approve it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Right.
MR. PROKOP: So now we need a vote to approve it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Subject to.
MR. PROKOP: Subject to.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Would someone like to make a motion to --

MR. SARETSKY: Sure
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- approve this
application subject to the landscape plan, the
lighting plan --
MR. VERO: Irrigation.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Irrigation and drainage?
MR. SARETSKY: Sure.
MR. SIEGEL: Acceptable.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: We have a motion by
Mr. Saretsky. Who's the second?
MR. SIEGEL: Second.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Mr. Siegel.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor?
MR. MIZZI: Aye.
MR. SARETSKY: Aye.
MR. SIEGEL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: (Raised hand).
MR. VERO: Okay.
MS. YOUNG: Thank you.
MR. VERO: Thank you. Take care. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen.

MS. YOUNG: Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: You're welcome.
MR. PROKOP: Just I past around a draft decision for Rollin and Terzi. So Rollin and Terzi --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. I brought my file.

Do you know, it was so long ago, Joe, I forgot what we approved.
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: And I looked at -- I read the minutes and $I$ can't -- I can't figure out what we approved.

MR. MIZZI: Which one?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The one with the steps that never got approved.

MS. SADELI: On Dune Lane?
MR. PROKOP: They applied for five variances, including the steps, and we --

MS. SADELI: On Dune Lane?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They got everything except the steps.

MR. PROKOP: We approved four without the steps, right, because --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. PROKOP: And then they ended up making -- changing it, so they don't need it. So this is more or less the -- I have to give this to these people. So maybe what you could do is approve this subject -- and maybe something --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. Let me -- I brought the file with me.

MR. PROKOP: We approved the variances.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: This is the biggest file I've ever had in one house.

MR. SARETSKY: For that one?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That, yeah, look.
MR. SARETSKY: Wow. I was just saying my only clarification was that we didn't approve the stair. Harvey, because of the issue we had with the other home --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: And the Village -- and they had the right to come back.

MR. SARETSKY: So they were able to do it without it.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: But they didn't own the house. Remember they --

MR. SARETSKY: And then it stopped.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: All right. You're killing the poor stenographer when the two of you talk at the same time. And I'm going to chastise everybody here, okay?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Because it makes her nuts and she doesn't say anything because she's so polite. So you return the politeness.

MR. SARETSKY: And I just said I was sorry.
(Laughter)
MR. SIEGEL: And say you apologize as well. MR. SARETSKY: Eric Saretsky apologizes as well.
(Laughter)
MR. SIEGEL: No side discussions.
MR. SARETSKY: No side bars.
MR. SIEGEL: So I asked our Attorney on this one why there is no problem with the stair anymore, and the answer was --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They don't have the stairs.

MR. SIEGEL: -- they changed it, and there's no stair issue.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, that's fine.
MR. SARETSKY: It's compliant.
MR. SIEGEL: It's now compliant. So they don't even have to show us what they did.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: As long -- yeah, as long as they --

MR. SIEGEL: It's as-of-right.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah. Remember, they
wanted a variance to be three feet from the property line.

MR. SIEGEL: And who determined that it was

1 as-of-right, Bob?

MR. SARETSKY: They didn't require that.
MR. PROKOP: They're waiting for this.
MR. SARETSKY: They got rid of a variance for it.

MR. SIEGEL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: They have the house, all their setbacks, that's all been voted and approved by this Board, okay? And they were waiting for the Village to create language for stairs in -- I guess you call it the front of the house or front yard, so for egress, okay, to see whether they can comply with that or have to come back to this Board, okay? I'm assuming they are going to comply with it, because they haven't come back to this Board.

MR. SARETSKY: We're not approving a variance for a stair change or a deviation.

MR. PROKOP: Oh. So I guess what I did

1 also, that -- here's a copy of the public notice that we did for --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Rollin?
MR. PROKOP: Rollin.
MR. SARETSKY: I think we should just explain to Ken that this was like on the fast track for a while, but they were really trying to get it going and then it stopped, right, Harvey? I mean --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Well, having nothing to do with us, they just didn't go forward.

MR. SARETSKY: The only reason why this has been --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Right.
MR. SARETSKY: -- such a long lapse in time.
MR. SIEGEL: So the cross-out is what used to be the stair problem?

MR. PROKOP: And here's a copy of the -this is actually a copy of the minutes when we approved it. Because it was so long ago, I printed out the minutes, so here.

MR. SIEGEL: What's the cross-out?
MR. PROKOP: Those are just changes. We --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Originally, they had a -if I remember correctly, they had a generator
on --

MR. PROKOP: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: -- the east side of the house and the neighbor objected to it.

MR. SARETSKY: And the people came.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We made him get rid of that platform.

MR. SIEGEL: All right.
MR. PROKOP: This is the transcript.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Joe, what's the last one?
Refresh my memory on what the last variance was. Front yard setback of 58.7 feet for an accessory structure is requested where a setback of 70 feet is required by the Board.

MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry, I don't see it. Maybe I missed it.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: The very last one.
MR. SARETSKY: It's this one here.
MR. PROKOP: It's going to be in the minutes I gave you. Oh, the drawing?

MR. SIEGEL: Do we have any drawings on this?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah, we do.
MR. PROKOP: Oh, the variance that they needed is 11.3 feet. The variance is 11.3 feet.

MR. MIZZI: What are we doing now?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We're just trying to go over Rollin, because it was never written. Joe never wrote it up, and no one remembers it.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: Joe, is there some action the Board has to take on this?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No, we're done. No, no, no.

MS. SADELI: Should she should not -- oh.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Sorry.
MS. SADELI: She was taking minutes for it.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.
MS. BRAATEN: I could stop where we left off.

MR. PROKOP: We're going to adjourn in a few minutes. Keep taking them until we adjourn.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yeah. Joe just has to write the decision, that's all.

MR. SARETSKY: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We previously voted on it.
MR. PROKOP: Could you approve this decision subject to the changes, the corrections? And so you just pointed out one correction. And then I actually took this from the notice and from also -- but also from the transcript. So a front

1 yard setback variance of 11.3 feet instead of 258.7 feet, that's the -- where a setback of 70 3 feet is required.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Which one is that?
MR. PROKOP: The last one you were just asking about.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Oh, okay.
MR. PROKOP: Front yard setback, it should be front yard setback, 11.3 feet.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: For an existing structure that has 58.7 feet.

MR. SARETSKY: We didn't agree to it that way, right?

MR. MIZZI: I remember.
MR. SARETSKY: It's coming back to you?
MR. MIZZI: The nice couple, yeah.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: With all the lights.
MR. MIZZI: Yeah.
MR. SARETSKY: Right.
MS. SADELI: They didn't start it yet.
MR. MIZZI: Oh, that's a house down on -- my house faces -- there's a house down there with a bunch of lights.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No, no, no.

MR. MIZZI: So is that why -- are they getting ready to build and is that why they brought it back up again?

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: No, they're blaming Joe. They haven't started building, because Joe didn't write the decision. You knew that.

MR. PROKOP: If Gary and I didn't talk about this, we would have nothing else to talk about.
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: We talk all -- can we approve it subject to --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Yes.
MR. PROKOP: Okay. Please do.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We don't need to vote on it, it's --

MR. PROKOP: Yeah. Could you vote, please?
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Do you want us to vote on it?
MR. PROKOP: Yes, please.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay.
MR. MIZZI: Can $I$ change my vote?
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: No, you're not voting on -you're just voting on this --

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: We'd like to -- just like to make a motion on the Rollin application.

MR. TERCHUNIAN: To amend the written decision.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: It's not amending it.
MR. PROKOP: No, it's to adopt.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: To adopt.
MR. PROKOP: To adopt a written decision.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Oh.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That's correct.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
MR. PROKOP: For variances that were --
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: That we've reviewed the written decision that Joe has typed up and is correct.

MR. SIEGEL: As amended.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: As amended.
MR. PROKOP: With that one change, right.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: As amended for Joe's mistake.
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: My gross mistake.
MR. MIZZI: Do you want him to write it up for Joe?
(Laughter)
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. I made that motion. Would you like to second it?

MR. SIEGEL: I second the motion.
MR. SARETSKY: Second.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: All in favor.

MR. MIZZI: Aye.
MR. SARETSKY: Aye.
MR. SIEGEL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Aye.
MR. TERCHUNIAN: Okay. Now you have a motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN GESSIN: Okay. I'd like to make a motion to end this meeting, finally.

MR. SIEGEL: Second.
MR. SARETSKY: Second, third.
(The meeting was adjourned at 11:49 a.m.)
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